

THERMAL DEVELOPMENT AND REJUVENATION
OF THE MARGINAL PLATEAUS ALONG
THE TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS
OF THE NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEA

by

NİLGÜN OKAY

A dissertation submitted to the Graduate Faculty in
Earth and Environmental Sciences in partial fulfillment of the
requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,
The City University of New York

1995

©1995

NİLGÜN OKAY

All Rights Reserved

Abstract

THERMAL DEVELOPMENT AND REJUVENATION
OF MARGINAL PLATEAUS ALONG
THE TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS
OF THE EASTERN NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEA

by

Nilgün Okay

Adviser: Professor Kathleen Crane

The predominance of large-scale paleo-shear zones in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea is thought to be the major cause of asymmetric seafloor spreading in this region. Plate reconstructions suggest that nascent mid-ocean ridges propagated into these obliquely oriented shear zones causing transtension to occur. The asymmetric evolution of the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea is evident from both the large scale morphology of the seafloor as well as its geophysical characteristics. In addition, the eastern passive margins of the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea are punctuated by volcanic plateaus which have significantly higher heat flow than the western passive margins.

It is hypothesized that marginal volcanic plateaus formed originally in response to deviatoric stress developed at nascent mid-ocean ridge-shear zone intersections along transtensional margins causing lava to pond upwards on the eastern flank of the

intersections. In addition, not only did paleo-shear zones serve as loci for the extrusion of mid-ocean ridge basalts, but the distal limbs of these shear zones appear to be present-day sites for the emanation of heat from the seafloor thus thermally rejuvenating the eastern margins in the process.

Heat flow analyses suggest that the thermal interactions between the Aegir and paleo-Mohns Ridges with the East Jan Mayen Fracture Zone System (including the Vøring, Lofoten and Vesterålen Fracture Zones) created the Vøring Plateau and rejuvenated the adjacent continental crust (to a thermal age of 16 my). The subsequent northward propagation of the paleo-Mohns Ridge into/and along the paleo-Senja Shear Zone, probably underplated the Svalbard Platform, and thus caused a broad thermal swell in the region. Multiple intrusions from this northward propagating asthenosphere probably occurred along deep-seated faults (once part of the broad-complex Spitsbergen Shear Zone System) in and adjacent to the Svalbard Platform and the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet Margin. One of these intrusions evolved into the highly oblique Knipovich Ridge in the process.

In addition, heat flow analyses suggest that recent northward propagation of the Knipovich Ridge caused rejuvenation of the southern Yermak Plateau (35-11 mybp). Thermal modeling results also reveal that a secondary detachment fault system cuts the southern Yermak Plateau and intersects the Spitsbergen Shear Zone and could have acted as a conduit for the deep-seated propagation of the Knipovich Ridge-related asthenosphere.

SeaMARC-II, magnetic, and earthquake seismic data also suggest diffuse intrusion and thermal rejuvenation along the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet Margin creating the Yermak Seamount, Mosby Peak and the Nordaustlandet Volcanic Terrain from 20-37 mybp in the process.

PREFACE

*“Everything can be found at sea according to the sprit of your quest.”
Joseph Conrad*

The world's ocean is an important part of the global environment; because of its size and shape. It interacts with the earth's atmosphere and land masses so that it is vital to man's existence. The world's ocean resources have created a tremendous interest in recent years. This interest has accelerated the growth of the earth sciences and oceanography. New sea-floor mapping techniques and shipboard geophysical sensors have greatly improved the study of the world oceans in the last thirty years. Indeed, the first detailed seafloor maps are only thirty-five years old.

The early scientists, aboard H. M. S. Challenger, accomplished the first ocean wide survey. This famous expedition (1872-1876) opened the era of ocean exploration. The Challenger, with its crew and seven scientists, crossed the Atlantic, Pacific, and Antarctic Oceans to observe weather, currents, water chemistry, temperature, bottom topography, sediments, and marine life on a global scale. These measurements have provided the factual foundation for the science of oceanography.

The Norwegian North Polar Expedition into the Arctic Ice which took place a century ago aboard the Fram, and was lead by the Norwegian explorer Fridtjof Nansen between the years 1893-1896. The first detailed knowledge of the Arctic

Ocean's oceanography was obtained during this expedition. Nansen (1904) defined the bathymetry of the Fram Strait as a deep passage for exchange of the deepest water masses between the Arctic Ocean and Norwegian-Greenland Sea. He also postulated the existence of a 1500 m-deep sill; the so called Nansen Sill at 80°-81°N.

The first results from this systematic survey were published by Louise Boyd in 1948. Bathymetric data has continued to accumulate since then by such investigators as Stocks (1950), Litvin (1964), and Johnson and Eckhoff (1966). Johnson and Heezen (1967) described the evolution of the region in the context of seafloor spreading. Vine and Matthews (1963) introduced the relationship of marine magnetic lineations to seafloor spreading, and Pitman and Heirtzler (1966) established the first magnetic time scale for the region.

Depth measurements have appeared on maps as early as 1504. Magellan made the first deep-sea sounding in the Pacific Ocean in possibly 1521. He used the technique of tossing the lead, used to this day. An electronic sounding device, called an echo sounder or sonic depth finder, was developed in the 1920's. The SS Velekari under the leadership of Louise Boyd made the first systematic echo-sounding profiles of the Nordic Seas in 1937-1938 (Boyd, 1948).

Development of the echo-sounder has resulted in improvements including high resolution digital imagery and accurate bathymetry across swaths of ensonified sea-floor: the side-scanning sonar. It constantly scans the seafloor beneath and to the sides of the research vessel. Sea mapping and remote characterization, carried out by the SeaMARC-II, sonar system combines the best abilities of the long-range side-

looking sonar, GLORIA, and SeaBeam, the multibeam hull-mounted echo-sounding systems.

More than 30 years ago, shortly after the first transpolar voyage under the ice by the USS Nautilus, nuclear-powered submarines were first recognized as unique platforms for conducting Arctic Ocean research. Since the legendary Alexander the Great descended (in 310 b.c) into the sea in a glass berry-like bell (Thurman, 1991), the use of manned submersibles such as ALVIN has contributed significantly to new discoveries in the world oceans. However, adequate surveys on the Arctic Ocean seafloor have not happened, and I propose that only multi-national submarines operating under the ice in a systematic manner can collect the quality of data required to fill in the large data gaps.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I believe this thesis will prove to be a significant contribution to the scientific community especially during the Nansen Arctic Centennial Anniversary. This is the first dissertation on marine geophysics in the City University of New York.

I would like to thank my research adviser Prof. *Kathleen Crane* for introducing me to this study and counseling in my work. I thank my committee members, Prof. *Somdev Bhattacharji* and the geology professors of Brooklyn College of the City University of New York, and Dr. *Milliard Coffin* of Texas University of Austin, whose contributions were vital.

I am indebted to those institutions and individuals that permitted me to use their data. I would like to thank Prof. *Eirik Sundvor* of the University of Bergen, Norway, for the use of heat flow and seismic data sets; and to Prof. *Brian J. Mitchell* of Saint Louis University for use of the earthquake data set. Thanks to Dr. *Norman S. Cherkis* of the Navy Research Lab for the Bathymetric Chart of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea and Arctic Ocean, Dr. *Lisa Gahagan* for the fracture zones data of University of Texas. Individual credits are given in the captions of tables and figures. I like to thank Dr. *Roger W. Buck* from Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, and Dr. *Fernando Martínez* of the Institute of Geophysics of Hawaii University, for their assistance with the numerical models.

I also wish to thank Dr. *Keith Clark* for reviewing the maps, Dr. *Andrew Souders* of Edinburgh University, and Prof. Dr. *Aral I. Okay* of Istanbul Technical University for helpful discussions. I also thank Prof. Dr. *Kazım Ergin* of Istanbul Technical University, who was recently honored with the TUBITAK Geosciences Award for giving me great inspiration from the beginning of my academic carrier.

I would like to thank the captain, officers and crew of the R/V "*Håkon Mosby*" who helped to collect these data during miserable weather, at all hours. I also acknowledge the technical and scientific assistance of the Hawaii Group, who assisted in the collection of the first set of SeaMARC-II data. The excellent cooperation between the ship's crew and the scientific personal was a main factor in the success of this cruise.

The SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar imagery and bathymetric data were processed in the Naval Research Lab. My responsibility was mapping the northern Svalbard and Nordaustlandet continental margins. I used various computer software to produce the geological and geophysical maps in the Spatial Analysis and Remote Sensing Laboratory (*SPARS*) of Hunter College of the City University of New York. I have been fortunate to have enjoyed the professional environment, during my computer modeling studies, afforded to me at Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University. I thank my adviser for providing me this opportunity. Special thanks goes to Geology Department of Istanbul Technical University.

I acknowledge financial support from the Educational Ministry of the Government of Turkey, US Office of Naval Research, City University of New York

Teaching Fellowship and The Helena Rubinstein Foundation during my master and doctoral research studies. Journalist, Mr. *Dođan Uluç* of *Hürriyet Gazetesi* and TV Producer, Ms. *Aliye Ak* of the Voice of Anatolia, New York showed great interest in my research in the Arctic Ocean.

I am grateful to my parents *Ayten and Sadık Okay* and my sisters Assoc. Prof. *Nesrin Okay* and *Esin Okay* for their faith, continued encouragement, and support during my studies in New York City.

Nilgün Okay

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
<u>ABSTRACT</u>	iv
PREFACE	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	xx
<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
 <u>Chapter 1: CHARACTERISTICS OF VOLCANIC PASSIVE MARGINS</u>	
A. MAGMATISM ALONG VOLCANIC PASSIVE MARGINS	10
1. THICK BASALTIC CRUST	10
2. MAGMATIC UNDERPLATING AND MARGINAL PLATEAUS	18
B. GEOPHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS	19
1. SEISMIC REFLECTION AND REFRACTION	19
2. GRAVITY	27
3. MAGNETICS	29
4. HEAT FLOW	33
 <u>Chapter 2: THE THERMO-MECHANICAL DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS</u>	
A. SHEAR ZONES AND TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS	34
1. STRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGIN	34

	page
2. THERMAL EVOLUTION OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS	36
3. THE EFFECTS OF RIFT WIDTH ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGIN	40
a. The Narrow Rift Mode	41
b. The Wide Rift Mode	44
c. The Core Complex Mode	44
B. MECHANICAL MODES OF LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION	46
1. PURE-SHEAR LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION MODEL	48
a. Symmetric Pure-Shear Lithospheric Extension Model	48
b. Asymmetric Pure-Shear Lithospheric Extension Model	50
c. Ridge Shift (Jump) Model	50
d. Examples of Pure-Shear Lithospheric Extension	53
2. SIMPLE-SHEAR LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION MODEL	54
a. Asymmetric Simple-Shear Lithospheric Extension Model	54
b. Examples of Simple-Shear/Detachment Fault Extension	55
3. DIFFERENTIATING LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION MODELS	58
<u>Chapter 3: THE NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEAFLOOR</u>	
A. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PLATE BOUNDARIES	62
1. PRESENT-DAY PLATE BOUNDARIES	62
2. PLATE BOUNDARY EVOLUTION	65
3. VOLCANIC EVENTS	74
4. HEAT FLOW	80
5. SEISMIC ACTIVITY	83

	page
6. MAGNETIC ANOMALIES	87
7. GRAVITY	92
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EASTERN MARGINS	94
1. MORPHO-TECTONIC TRANSECTS OF THE EASTERN MARGINS	94
a. Norwegian Margin	94
b. Senja Margin	97
c. Western Svalbard Margin	97
d. Northern Svalbard-Nordautlandet Margin	100
2. SEISMIC-REFLECTION AND -REFRACTION DATA	101
3. SEDIMENTATION ALONG THE EASTERN MARGINS	108
4. HEAT FLOW	114
5. SEISMICITY	115
6. MAGNETIC ANOMALIES	117
7. GRAVITY	118
C. SUMMARY	121
<u>CHAPTER 4: MORPHO-TECTONIC STUDIES ALONG THE SVALBARD</u>	
<u>MARGINS: SeaMARC-II RESULTS</u>	
A. SeaMARC-II SURVEYS	123
B. GEOLOGY OF THE SVALBARD REGION	125
1. TECTONICS	125
2. SURFACE GEOLOGY	130
C. SeaMARC-II DATA INTERPRETATIONS	132
1. NORTHERN SVALBARD-NORDAUSTLANDET MARGIN	132

	page
a. Bathymetry	132
b. Geological Interpretations	134
<i>i. Sedimentary and Glacial Features</i>	134
<i>ii. Volcano-Tectonic Features</i>	138
c. Geophysical Characteristics	145
2. THE MOLLOY TRANSFORM FAULT AND WESTERN SVALBARD MARGIN	148
a. Geological Interpretations	148
<i>I. Sedimentary and Glacial Features</i>	148
<i>ii. Volcano-Tectonic Features</i>	153
b. Geophysical Characteristics	155
D. SUMMARY OF SEAMARC-II DATA RESULTS	156
<u>Chapter 5: HEAT FLOW MODELING STUDY OF THE EASTERN</u>	
<u>NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEA MARGINS</u>	
A. ANALYSIS OF HEAT FLOW	159
1. DISTRIBUTION OF HEAT FLOW DATA FROM	
THE NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEA	159
2. HEAT FLOW CORRECTIONS	163
a. Blanketing Effect	164
b. Extension Rates and Estimation of Thermal-Crustal Age	165
3. TESTING LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION MECHANISMS	166
B. ANALYSIS OF HEAT FLOW FROM THE EASTERN	
NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEAFLOOR: VOLCANIC MARGINAL	
PLATEAUS AND TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS	168

	page
1. THE VØRING PLATEAU ALONG THE NORWEGIAN MARGIN	168
2. THE SENJA TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGIN	180
3. THE EASTERN JAN MAYEN-VØRING-SENJA MARGIN PROFILE	182
4. THE MOLLOY TRANSFORM FAULT-WESTERN SVALBARD MARGIN	198
5. THE SOUTHERN YERMAK PLATEAU	202
a. High Heat Flow	202
b. Correction of Heat Flow	209
c. Spreading Rate-Corrections and Thermal-Crustal Age Estimations	209
d. Testing Lithospheric Extension Mechanisms	236
6. THE NORTHERN SVALBARD MARGIN	243
7. THE NORTHERN NORDAUSTLANDET MARGIN	251
8. THE EASTERN GREENLAND AND NORTHERN SVALBARD-NORDAUSTLANDET MARGINS PROFILE	257
9. SUMMARY OF HEAT FLOW RESULTS	263
 <u>Chapter 6: DISCUSSION</u>	
A. THERMAL REJUVENATION: EVIDENCE FOR ASTHENOSPHERIC CORRIDORS	272
B. THE VØRING PLATEAU AND NORWEGIAN VOLCANIC MARGIN	274
C. THE SENJA AND WESTERN SVALBARD VOLCANIC MARGIN	278
D. THE SOUTHERN YERMAK PLATEAU AND NORTHERN SVALBARD-NORDAUSTLANDET VOLCANIC MARGIN	287
<u>Chapter 7: CONCLUSIONS</u>	296

	page
<u>APPENDIX</u>	
A. METHODOLOGY	299
1. SeaMARC II and Instrumentation	299
2. Heat Flow Models	304
<i>a. Sedimentation and Compaction</i>	304
<i>b. One-Layer Model</i>	305
<i>c. Cooling Plate Model</i>	307
3. Numerical Modeling	309
<i>a. Pure Shear Lithospheric Extension</i>	309
<i>b. Simple Shear Lithospheric Extension</i>	311
B. DATA	316
1. SeaMARC-II Data	316
2. Heat Flow Data	317
3. Velocity Structure	322
<u>REFERENCES CITED</u>	325

LIST OF TABLES

Table	page
5-1a. Corrected heat flow across the Vøring Plateau	171
5-1b. Layer 2 sedimentation corrections along the Vøring Plateau	171
5-1c. Layer 1+Layer 2 sedimentation corrections along the Vøring Plateau	171
5-1d. Corrected heat flow and thermal ages at stations on the Norwegian margin	171
5-2a. Corrected heat flow across the Senja margin	183
5-2b. Layer 1+Layer 2 sedimentation corrections across the Senja margin	183
5-2c. Corrected heat flow and thermal ages along the Senja margin	183
5-3. Corrected heat flow along the Jan Mayen-Vøring-Senja Margin Profile	193
5-4. Heat flow corrections along the Western Svalbard margin	201
5-5. Physical properties on the southern Yermak Plateau	212
5-6. Thermal parameters on the southern Yermak Plateau	213
5-7a. Thermal Episode 1 of the southern Yermak Plateau	215
5-7b. Thermal Episode 2 of the southern Yermak Plateau	215
5-7c. Thermal Episode 3 of the southern Yermak Plateau	215
5-7d. Thermal Episode 4 of the southern Yermak Plateau	215
5-7e. Thermal Episode 5 of the southern Yermak Plateau	215
5-7f. Thermal Episode 6 of the southern Yermak Plateau	215
5-7g. Thermal Episode 7 of the southern Yermak Plateau	215
5-8a. Cooling oceanic plate model of the southern Yermak Plateau (Thermal Episodes 1 to 3)	230
5-8b. Cooling oceanic plate model of the southern Yermak Plateau (Thermal Episodes 4 to 6)	230

Table	page
5-8c. Cooling oceanic plate model of the southern Yermak Plateau (Thermal Episode 7)	230
5-9. Heat flow corrections along the northern Svalbard margin	247
5-10. Heat flow corrections along the northern Nordaustlandet margin	253
5-11. Heat flow corrections along the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet margin	259
5-12. Magnetic and thermal ages along the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea	268
A-1. Initial parameters used in heat flow modeling	306
A-2. Physical constants used in numerical modeling	312
A-3. Heat flow data from the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	317
A-4. Velocity structure in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	322

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

FIGURES

Figure	page
1. Transform faults along the continental passive margins	2
2. Oblique impact of propagating ridge with paleo-shear zone	5
3. Passive margin development in the northern Red Sea	7
1-1. Passive margin classification	11
1-2. Variations in thickness of oceanic crust	14
1-3. Seismic profile on the southern Vøring Plateau	21
1-4. Deep-structure of the Newfoundland margin	23
1-5. Seismic profile from the Cuvier margin	28
1-6. Geophysical profiles along the line in the northern Red Sea	30
1-7. Deep-structure of the Eyre Sub-basin of the southern Australia	31
2-1. Geologic model of the detachment fault	37
2-2. Three modes of continental lithospheric extension	42
2-3. Lithospheric extension modes from several regions	47
2-4. Pure-shear lithospheric extension model	49
2-5. Geologic models of various lithospheric extensions	51
3-1. Map of the study area in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	63
3-2. Evolution of three plate movements	66
3-3. Initial seafloor opening of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	67
3-4. The relative motion between Greenland and Svalbard at Chron 13	73
3-5. Igneous units in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	76
3-6. Igneous units along the northern Svalbard-Nordautlandet margin	79

Figure	page
3-7. Heat flow in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	81
3-8. Heat flow in relation to crustal age	82
3-9. Heat flow in the northeastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea	84
3-10. Distribution of earthquakes in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	85
3-11. Magnetic anomalies in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	88
3-12. Magnetic lineations and smooth zones in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	91
3-13. Free-air gravity of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea and Arctic Ocean regions	93
3-14. Main structural features along the Norwegian margin	95
3-15. Bathymetry and structural features on the Senja and Western Svalbard margins	98
3-16. A geoseismic section from the Norwegian margin	104
3-17. A geoseismic section from the Senja margin	107
3-18. A seismic record from the northern Svalbard margin	109
3-19. Earthquakes in the Svalbard region	116
4-1. Tracks chart of the SeaMARC-II Expeditions	126
4-2. SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar imagery and swath-bathymetry	127
4-3. General geological basement map of Spitsbergen	131
4-4. Bathymetry of the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	133
4-5. A geological interpretation along the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	135
4-6. Iceberg-plow marks on the southwestern Yermak Plateau	136
4-7. Fault controlled sedimentary and glacial features on the Nordauslandet margin	137

Figure	page
4-8. Volcanics of Spitsbergen and Nordaustlandet	139
4-9. Side-looking sonar imagery, bathymetry and magnetics of the Yermak Seamount	141
4-10. Sonar imagery and geophysical data of the Hinlopen Volcanic Terrain	142
4-11. Sonar imagery and geophysical data along the northern Nordaustlandet margin	144
4-12. Total magnetic field along the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet margin	146
4-13. Geology and geophysics along the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet margin	147
4-14. Distribution of earthquakes in the Svalbard and Nordaustlandet regions	149
4-15. SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar imagery along the western Svalbard margin	150
4-16. Structural and volcanic interpretation of the western Svalbard margin	152
4-17. Geophysical data along the western Svalbard margin	154
5-1. Heat flow in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea and eastern Arctic Ocean regions	160
5-2. Heat flow in the southeastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea	169
5-3. Heat flow transect across the Vøring Plateau	170
5-4. Observed and corrected heat flow along the Vøring Plateau	177
5-5. Cooling oceanic crust model of the Vøring Plateau	178
5-6. Comparison of magnetic and thermal crustal ages across the Vøring Plateau	179
5-7. Heat flow transect across the Senja margin	181
5-8. Heat flow corrections on the Senja margin	187
5-9. Cooling oceanic crust model of the Senja margin	188
5-10. Crustal ages on the Senja margin	189
5-11a. Heat flow profile across the Jan Mayen-Vøring-Senja Profile	190

Figure	page
5-11b. Seismic velocity structure along the Jan Mayen-Vøring-Senja Profile	190
5-12. Heat flow corrections along the Jan Mayen-Vøring-Senja Profile	195
5-13. Cooling oceanic crust model of the Jan Mayen-Vøring-Senja Profile	196
5-14. Crustal ages along the Jan Mayen-Vøring-Senja Profile	197
5-15. Heat flow stations along the western Svalbard margin	199
5-16. Heat flow profile along the western Svalbard margin	200
5-17. Heat flow corrections along the western Svalbard margin	203
5-18. Crustal ages along the western Svalbard margin	204
5-19. Cooling oceanic crust model of the western Svalbard margin	205
5-20. Thermal ages of the western Svalbard margin	206
5-21. Main structures on the southern Yermak Plateau	207
5-22. Heat flow profile of the southern Yermak Plateau	210
5-23a. Thermal Episode 1 of the southern Yermak Plateau	223
5-23b. Thermal Episode 2 of the southern Yermak Plateau	224
5-23c. Thermal Episode 3 of the southern Yermak Plateau	225
5-23d. Thermal Episode 4 of the southern Yermak Plateau	226
5-23e. Thermal Episode 5 of the southern Yermak Plateau	227
5-23f. Thermal Episode 6 of the southern Yermak Plateau	228
5-23g. Thermal Episode 7 of the southern Yermak Plateau	229
5-24a. Cooling oceanic crust model of the southern Yermak Plateau (Episodes 1 to 4)	234
5-24b. Cooling oceanic crust model of the southern Yermak Plateau (Episodes 5 to 7)	235

Figure	page
5-25. Estimated thermal ages on the southern Yermak Plateau (Thermal Episode 4)	237
5-26. Pure-shear lithospheric extension model of the southern Yermak Plateau	238
5-27. Simple-shear lithospheric extension model of the southern Yermak Plateau	239
5-28. Kinematic model of the southern Yermak Plateau	241
5-29. Heat flow of the Northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	244
5-30. Heat flow profile of the northern Svalbard margin	246
5-31. Heat flow corrections of the northern Svalbard margin	248
5-32. Cooling oceanic crust model of the northern Svalbard margin	249
5-33. Crustal ages of the northern Svalbard margin	250
5-34. Heat flow profile of the northern Nordauslandet margin	252
5-35. Heat flow corrections of the northern Nordauslandet margin	254
5-36. Cooling oceanic crust model of the northern Nordauslandet margin	255
5-37. Crustal ages of the northern Nordauslandet margin	256
5-38. Heat flow profile of the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	258
5-39. Heat flow corrections of the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	260
5-40. Cooling oceanic crust model of the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	261
5-41. Thermal ages of the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	262
5-42. Thermally derived crustal ages in the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea	264
5-43. Corrected heat flow vs. thermal ages in the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea	266
6-1. Evolutionary model of the Vøring Plateau and the Norwegian margin	276

Figure	page
6-2. Evolution of the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone	280
6-3. Development of transtensional margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea	283
6-4. Thermal rejuvenation model of the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	289
6-5. Kinematic model of the southern Yermak Plateau formation	291
A-1. SeaMARC-II towfish on transportable launch and recovery system	300
A-2. The schematic SeaMARC-II mapping system configuration	301
A-3. Schematic illustration of the SeaMARC-II measurement technique	303
A-4. Theoretical heat flow versus age	308
A-5a. Numerical modeling for the pure-shear lithospheric extension mechanism	310
A-5b. Numerical modeling for the simple-shear lithospheric extension mechanism	314
A-6. Location of seismic stations in the southern Norwegian-Greenland Sea	324

PLATES

Plate 1. SeaMARC-II sonar imagery of the Svalbard-Nordauslandet margin	316
--	-----

INTRODUCTION

Substantial topographic and volcanic asymmetries evolve during the development of some passive margin pairs. This asymmetric development is reflected in the passive margin-morphology (for example, deeply subsided margins vs. marginal plateaus), structure (generally narrower continental-oceanic transition zone with a structural high vs. a broad continental-oceanic transition zone), and thermal evolution (rapid uplift, magnetic smooth zones, high sedimentation rate, and unusually high heat flow vs. subsidence, well developed magnetic anomalies, low sedimentation rates and normal heat flow) of the passive margin (Lister *et al.*, 1986, 1991; Steckler, 1989; Myhre and Eldholm, 1987; Etheridge *et al.*, 1990; Keen *et al.*, 1990; Okay and Crane, 1993; Okay, 1994). Those margins that are characterized by broad volcanic plateaus are often referred to as volcanic margins.

Detailed studies suggest that not all of the volcanic passive margins have "obvious connections to hotspots during the continental thinning and extension phases (Coffin and Eldholm, 1994)". For example, parts of the US and Canadian east coast (Sheridan *et al.*, 1993), the western Svalbard-Barents Sea margin (Myhre *et al.*, 1982), and the northwestern Australian Cuvier-Exmouth margins (Hopper *et al.*, 1992) have no spatial relation to "known hotspots" (Figure 1). In these cases, volcanic passive margins may form at the oblique intersection of a pre-existing fracture zone (or paleo-shear zone) with a propagating mid-ocean ridge because asymmetric stress fields about the intersection create asymmetric thermal structures and local plate kinematics (Figure 2, Bonatti and Crane, 1982; Crane *et al.*, 1988, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993; Okay *et al.*, 1993; Okay, 1994). This type of volcanic margin is classified as "transtensional" or transform margin (a combination of shearing and extension, Myhre *et al.*, 1982; Max and Ohta, 1988; Mutter *et al.*, 1989; Etheridge *et al.*, 1990; Crane *et al.*, 1991; Lister *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993; Keen *et al.*, 1993; Okay, 1994).

Transtensional margins, although they are globally significant, have been largely neglected in the literature. Transtensional passive margins can be found in almost every ocean basin (Figure 1).

Their importance is related to the effects of changes in relative plate motion, complex- and multiple-stage shear movements, and the degree to which a propagating mid-ocean ridge enters into a pre-existing shear zone. All of these events contribute to at least one or several episodes of extensive volcanic activity. Some transtensional margins are also characterized by thickening of basaltic crust associated with seaward-dipping volcanic sequences along the line of continental breakup (Keen and deVoogd, 1988; Myhre *et al.*, 1988; Etheridge *et al.*, 1990; Lister *et al.*, 1991; Lorenzo *et al.*, 1991; Keen *et al.*, 1993; Okay and Crane, 1993).

The term transtensional margin is generally used to describe a continental margin that is aligned parallel to the direction of relative motion at the time of continental rifting. Strike-slip motion occurs along such a margin during early ocean formation, first between adjacent continental blocks and later between continent and ocean, giving a distinctive thermal evolution (Keen *et al.*, 1990).

Another example of transtensional passive margin development can be seen today in the northern Red Sea where the propagating Red Sea spreading center is impinging obliquely upon series of parallel multiple-fault zones (the Dead Sea, Brothers, Shagara, and Hamish Fracture Zones in Figure 3). It has been suggested that these shear zones are actively breaking up into small pull-apart basins (Courtilot, 1982; Bonatti and Crane, 1982, 1984; Crane and Bonatti, 1987). At the present, the western margin of the northern Red Sea is undergoing compression and the eastern margin is undergoing extension. The compressive side of this impact is characterized by underplating of the continental crust by ultramafic assemblages (Bonatti *et al.*, 1984, 1986). Crane and Bonatti (1987) suggested that the compressional front at the tip of the obliquely propagating ridge could have uplifted the (underplated) continental crust creating ultramafic islands (Zabargad Island) in the process.

Oblique paleo-shear zones and propagating ridges are also very common in the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea created by the separation of Norway-Svalbard and Greenland. These offer a unique opportunity to investigate the development of transtensional volcanic margins. The asymmetric uplift, high heat flow and volcanism bordering the eastern margin is well known and has been cited as evidence for rifting and spreading across a throughgoing lithospheric detachment which dips eastwards underneath Svalbard (Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993).

A number of detailed studies and surveys have been carried out in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea and provided a great deal of new geological and geophysical data (e.g., Vogt *et al.*, 1981; Myhre *et al.*, 1982; Mutter *et al.*, 1982, 1984; Crane *et al.*, 1982, 1988; Jackson *et al.*, 1984; Vogt, 1986; Eldholm *et al.*, 1987, 1989; Vorren *et al.*, 1989; Mitchell *et al.*, 1990; Sundvor and Austegård, 1990; Zehnder *et al.*, 1990; Thiede *et al.*, 1990; Faleide *et al.*, 1991; Okay *et al.*, 1991, 1993; Sundvor *et al.*, 1991; Doss *et al.*, 1991; Vogt *et al.*, 1991, 1993; Crane and Solheim, 1995). The purpose of this dissertation is to use the new data (bathymetry, SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar, seismic, magnetics, earthquake-seismicity, gravity, and the results of the Deep Sea Drilling Project and Ocean Drilling Program) to constrain thermo-mechanical models for the evolution of the Eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea and adjacent Eastern Arctic Ocean margins, and to better understand the development of transtensional volcanic margins and marginal plateaus.

The study is based on heat flow tied to geological and geophysical data. These data from seven transtensional margin transects are tested against various lithospheric-extension models. In this work, I only speculate on the mechanical causes for asymmetric ocean basin development, but the kinematic opening models can give some insight into how the interaction of a propagating rift/ridge with a paleo-shear zone affects the development of a rift/ridge and passive margin system and related asthenospheric underplating of adjacent continental crust.

The dissertation consists of seven chapters. Chapter 1 introduces characteristics of volcanic passive margins based on the evidence for thick basaltic crust determined from various geophysical parameters. Chapter 2 discusses the unique role that paleo-shear zones play on the thermal mechanisms of the rifting, modes of the lithospheric extension, and development of passive margins. Chapter 3 contains a brief summary of plate boundary evolution and overall geology and geophysics, including the morphological characteristics, seismic data, sedimentation history and variations of heat flow, earthquake seismicity, magnetics and gravity data along the plate boundaries and margins of the Eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea.

New observations from the SeaMARC-II (side-looking sonar) Surveys allow for new interpretations of morpho-tectonic/volcanic events along the Svalbard-Nordauslandet Margin and are

presented in Chapter 4. These allow us to test models for the evolution of the northern margin. Chapter 5 presents the modeling of heat flow data from marginal plateaus and margins of the Eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea. Chapter 6 discusses the development of the region controlled by the interactions of obliquely propagating ridges and paleo-shear zones. In addition, the concept of rift/ridge related "asthenospheric corridors" which may underplate broad regions of continental crust adjacent to transtensional volcanic margins, creating large-scale thermal rejuvenation is introduced. Chapter 7 summarizes the development of transtensional volcanic margins and surroundings interacting with paleo-shear zones and related pre-existing continental weakness zones.

Chapter 1

CHARACTERISTICS OF VOLCANIC PASSIVE MARGINS

A. MAGMATISM ALONG VOLCANIC PASSIVE MARGINS

1. THICK BASALTIC CRUST

The recognition of thick, seaward-dipping volcanic sequences in some passive margins has recently led to reconsideration of passive margin development (e.g., Hinz and Weber, 1976; Mutter *et al.*, 1982, 1984, 1988; Coffin and Eldholm, 1991). These margins are distinct from margins where magmatic activity is limited (or absent) prior to the development of oceanic crust (Figure 1-1, Mutter *et al.*, 1988). For example, volcanic passive margins have thick seaward-dipping volcanic sequences (Hinz and Weber, 1976; Hinz, 1981; Myhre *et al.*, 1982; Mutter *et al.*, 1982, 1984, 1989; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987; Larsen and Jakobsdóttir, 1988; Keen *et al.*, 1988; Coffin and Eldholm, 1994) which may also form marginal plateaus (Eldholm *et al.*, 1989; Mutter *et al.*, 1989; Lister *et al.*, 1986, 1991; Lorenzo *et al.*, 1990; Okay and Crane, 1993) whereas non-volcanic margins are characterized by rapid initial subsidence, prominent block-faulting, and little- or no-volcanism during continental breakup (e.g., LePichon and Sibuet, 1981; Austin and Uchupi, 1982; Dunbar and Sawyer, 1989). In addition, non-volcanic passive margins generally have a broader continent-oceanic crustal transition zone than the volcanic ones.

Even though this classification has led to a better understanding of the variability of continental margins, each margin has some special characteristics that make it almost unique (Mutter, 1993). There are some individual margins which may lie along a continuum between the two groups: volcanic and non-volcanic (Figure 1-1). For example, Mutter *et al.* (1988) described the seaward-dipping reflectors from the Wilkes Land margin, off Antarctica, as intermediate in the type between

volcanic and non-volcanic "end-members". Another example is the broad passive margin of the US east coast which has been considered as a non-volcanic margin (Mutter, 1993). However, recently seismic studies along this margin have revealed seaward-dipping reflectors and a high velocity deep layer casting doubt on the nature of its origin (Mutter, 1993). Also, a non-volcanic passive margin may not be necessarily broad (Figure 1-1). In contrast, parts of the SE Australian margins are quite narrow (Lister *et al.*, 1986, 1991; Etheridge *et al.*, 1990). Therefore, a wide range of data (including deep seismic-reflection profiles, seismic-refraction profiles, gravity, heat flow, uplift/subsidence histories) is necessary for the complete reconstruction of a passive margin.

Previous studies have already pointed out that thick igneous units formed in the immediate vicinity of the continent-ocean transition along the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Hinz, 1981; Mutter *et al.*, 1988; Coffin and Eldholm, 1994; Eldholm and Grue, 1994). In this location, volcanism is generally observed as (but not limited to) seaward-dipping reflector sequences that volumetrically exceed the volcanism in most continental rifts. In addition to the Norwegian-Greenland Sea, Hinz (1981) noted their presence off Argentina and Antarctica, Austin and Uchupi (1982) documented their presence off southwest Africa, and Mutter *et al.* (1989), Lister *et al.* (1986, 1991), and Lorenzo *et al.* (1990) proposed their presence off NW Australia. Similar features are also known in the NE Atlantic off the Hatton Margin (Roberts *et al.*, 1984; Roberts and Ginzburg, 1984) and in its conjugate margin off Eastern Greenland (Larsen and Jakobsdóttir, 1988; Zehnder, 1990).

Mutter and Zehnder (1988) showed that very thick oceanic crust at the continent-oceanic crustal transition (large thicknesses of intrusive and extrusive magmatic rocks) is associated with seaward-dipping reflectors (Figure 1-2). Hinz (1981) suggested that seaward-dipping reflector series (volcanic units) resulted from seaward tilting and foundering of an intra-continental rift system that had been strongly affected by magmatic activity, placing the seaward-dipping units on continental crust. Mutter *et al.* (1982, 1988), Eldholm *et al.* (1989), and Eldholm and Grue (1994) suggested that these units formed during a period of seafloor spreading in the first few million years of opening, whereby, in the initial stage the oceanic crust was very thick thinning to its normal thickness 10-15 Ma after the initiation of seafloor spreading.

Evidence for early Tertiary magmatism in the Northern Atlantic Ocean is well documented in the form of extensive exposed flood basalts, buried volcanic sequences on the inner continental margin and unusually thick igneous crustal sections at the continent-oceanic crustal transition which includes, as its' uppermost unit, an extrusive sequence that is recognized as distinctive seaward dipping reflectors in seismic reflector profiles (Larsen *et al.*, 1989). In addition, Larsen and Jakobsdóttir (1988) suggested that the Eastern Greenland Margin is characterized by distinctive seaward-dipping reflectors which indicate thick basaltic crust at the continent-oceanic crustal transition. Observations also show that the formation of the continental margins of Norway and eastern Greenland was characterized by early Tertiary breakup accompanied by intense magmatism, continuing to the present as the Icelandic hotspot (Talwani and Eldholm, 1977; Eldholm *et al.*, 1989; Larsen *et al.*, 1989; Eldholm and Grue, 1994). The primary physical evidence for such magmatism is the eruption of massive volumes of basaltic lavas over an area of several thousand square kilometers. Well-focused hotspot magmatism at the axis of seafloor spreading clearly influences processes of crustal accretion in Iceland even today (Roberts *et al.*, 1984; Larsen and Jakobsdóttir, 1988; White and McKenzie, 1989). However, it is not fully understood to what extent this mantle-upwelling has influenced the more distant volcanic margins within the northeastern Atlantic and the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea during the initiation of seafloor spreading.

Three models to account for the magmatism and thick basaltic crust along volcanic passive margins have been proposed: "active (mantle-activated rifting)" and "passive (lithosphere-activated rifting)" mantle plume models, and a third "secondary convection" model, in which asthenospheric mantle convectively overturns close to the conjugate trailing edges of pre-existing thick and cold lithosphere (Storey *et al.*, 1991; Kent *et al.*, 1992). The active and passive mantle plume models are mutually exclusive, but secondary convection could contribute additional magmatism to either (White and McKenzie, 1989).

White and McKenzie (1989) suggested that the development of a volcanic passive margin is a result of a "timely" interaction between an evolving active-mantle plume (hotspot) and an intra-continental rift. They also suggest that the voluminous magmatism results from decompressional melting of the mantle in the

presence of elevated mantle temperatures associated with the hotspot. The enhanced melt volume is derived from increased mantle temperatures reflecting thermal upwelling from deep in the mantle (perhaps even the mantle-core boundary). White and McKenzie (1989) proposed that hotter mantle begins to melt at a greater depth in the Earth than cooler mantle would, thus resulting in a greater volume of melt. In this case, mantle-induced convective melting (focused in a narrow zone) produces a uniform pure-shear extension (McKenzie, 1978) across the region that characterizes the evolution of a symmetric rifted volcanic margin (McKenzie, 1985; White and McKenzie, 1989).

For the lithosphere-activated rifting model, Mutter *et al.* (1988) suggested that large horizontal-temperature gradients resulted from rapid lithospheric extension and asthenospheric upwelling at a nascent spreading center. They proposed that small-scale convection could account for the excess-melt production during the earliest phase of seafloor spreading, and the convection increases the melt production by circulating a greater volume of mantle through the solidus. Buck (1986) and Keen (1987) have evaluated extensional models which incorporate small-scale convection, and showed that the convective thinning (from below) could become the dominant process in the continental breakup, and could provide stretching to create the necessary conditions to trigger the flow. They suggested that it is not necessary to invoke the active involvement of high-temperature convective mantle plumes impinging at the base of the lithosphere (Pengör and Burke, 1978; Bonatti, 1987), although lithospheric doming above a mantle plume could result in extension and provide the link between active- and passive-rifting mechanisms (Houseman and England, 1986).

Zehnder *et al.* (1990) suggested, based on seismic and geochemical studies (Mutter and Zehnder, 1988; Klein and Langmuir, 1989) that the emplacement of thick basaltic crust at the passive margin is caused by moderate partial melting through rift-induced small-scale convection (Keen and deVoogd, 1988). After the initiation of seafloor spreading, the convection cells will become broader and the rate of material circulation should be much less. Mutter and Zehnder (1988) and Zehnder *et al.* (1990) suggested that after seafloor spreading commences, convection slows, and crustal thickness is expected to diminish, however, the amount of partial melting of the source does not change dramatically. As the plate boundary moves further from the continental crust, the horizontal-

temperature gradients will decrease and the convection will abate. As the spreading continues the volcanic margin will subside and the continent-oceanic crustal transition will remain shallow (Mutter *et al.*, 1988). Buck (1986) and Steckler (1985) have also shown how this phenomenon can account for anomalous uplift of rift flanks (for example, the Gulf of Suez).

2. MAGMATIC UNDERPLATING AND MARGINAL PLATEAUS

Volcanic rocks associated with large igneous provinces, flood basalts, seaward dipping reflector sequences, and multiple intrusion zones (within adjacent continental crust) probably represent only a small part of the total melt production along the passive margin (Mutter *et al.*, 1982, 1984; Eldholm, 1989). A large percentage of the melt generated on passive margins is probably underplated in the lower crust adjacent to continental crust where it can be identified by its high seismic velocity, thermal swell uplift and thermal rejuvenation (McKenzie, 1985; McKenzie and Bickle, 1988; McNutt, 1984; Mutter and Zehnder, 1988; Okay, 1994). Magmatism may lead to the underplating of the adjacent continental crust by asthenospheric mafic melts (e.g., Yoder, 1976; Michael and Bonatti, 1985; White *et al.*, 1987; Okay, 1994). Keen and deVoogd (1988) noted that the process of magmatic underplating, which creates a pile of mafic (and ultramafic ?) rocks whose thickness approaches that of oceanic crust, may have produced "oceanic" layers, and these "oceanic" rocks may in some cases strongly resemble ophiolite suites.

White *et al.* (1987) and Keen (1987) suggested that partial melting in the mantle generates deep-seated magmatic injections creating thick basaltic crust along the volcanic passive margins (for example, margins of the Northeastern Atlantic Ocean and Norwegian-Greenland Sea). However, they did not explain why thick basaltic marginal plateaus occur where they do. Mutter *et al.* (1989), Lorenzo *et al.* (1991), and Okay and Crane (1993) proposed that the development of the volcanic marginal plateaus (for example, Exmouth Plateau, southern Yermak Plateau) is governed by simple-shear lithospheric extension mechanisms along a major detachment fault. Okay and Crane (1993) recently proposed that mid-ocean ridge related magmatic injections may propagate within/along paleo-shear zones contributing to the vertical ponding of basalts on the compressional side of the

propagating mid-ocean ridge and paleo-shear zone intersection (Figure 2). In this manner, over time, a marginal plateau may be created. The thermal effects from this preferential magmatic intrusion should be observed at considerable distance from the mid-ocean ridge, but only in regions cut by pre-existing fault zones. In addition, asymmetric simple-shear lithospheric extension across a shear zone may be responsible for emplacement of thick basaltic crust, plateau formation along the continent-oceanic crustal transition of the passive margin (Okay and Crane, 1993) and underplating the adjacent continental crust (Okay, 1994, 1995).

B. GEOPHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS

1. SEISMIC REFLECTION AND REFRACTION

In the past fifteen years studies of passive margin structure that use mostly multichannel seismic-reflection and -refraction data have yielded important new observations, which considerably advanced our understanding of events during the early rifting and divergent phases of passive margin formation (DGP Report, 1991; Mutter, 1993; Coffin and Eldholm, 1994). Eldholm and Grue (1994) compiled multichannel seismic reflection, wide-angle reflection, and refraction profiles to show that the offshore igneous rocks emplaced during the transient breakup event of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea were voluminous extrusive complexes creating thick initial oceanic crust, commonly with a lower crustal high-velocity (7.2-7.7 km/s) body beneath the extrusives, and intrusives within pre-Eocene continental crust (along the margins of eastern Greenland and off Norway).

Reflection profiles at many passive margins exhibit sequences of reflectors that roughly describe a wedge-shaped pattern with upwardly curved (seaward-dipping) shapes (Hinz, 1981). These seaward-dipping reflectors were first recognized in marine geophysical surveys of the Vøring Plateau off Norway (Figure 1-3, Hinz and Weber, 1976; Hinz, 1981). The magmatic origin proposed for the seaward-dipping reflectors was verified during the Deep Sea Drilling Project-Leg 38 (Talwani *et al.*, 1976) and the Ocean Drilling Program-Leg 104 of the Vøring Plateau (Eldholm *et al.*, 1987). In this location, the top of the extrusives, a smooth, opaque acoustic basement-horizon, develops above intra-

basement reflectors of variable character. In some areas, the basement is seismically indistinct and unstructured; in other areas, it comprises thick wedges of seaward dipping reflectors. Eldholm and Grue (1994) also observed features typical for the continent-oceanic crustal transition on the Norwegian volcanic margin where the upper crust consists of extrusive (sub-aerial ?) basaltic lavas and interbedded sediments of variable thickness. Some seaward-dipping wedges are approximately 6 km-thick and the velocity increases from 3.5-4 km/s (at the top) to 6-6.5 km/s (in the deepest parts). The maximum velocity-gradient occurs in the uppermost lava unit. Upper crustal velocity-gradients decrease moderately, but distinctly, between the main dipping wedge and its innermost part. Local high-velocity zones also exist below the thick basaltic unit. Eldholm and Grue (1994) interpret these lateral changes as varying crustal properties across the continental-oceanic crustal transition. This transition is underlain by thick lower crustal bodies above an 8+ km/s mantle, which lies within the continental crust and magnetic anomaly 23 (Eldholm *et al.*, 1989). The isovelocity sections show that the deep crustal structure of these margins change dramatically near the margin escarpments (Mutter and Zehnder, 1988); although total crustal thickness remains about the same as that of the continental basins, crustal velocities are significantly lower than either continental or oceanic structures proximal to the marginal escarpment (Figure 1-2).

Along the Newfoundland margin it was found that seaward-dipping reflectors show the seaward rise of Moho toward the continental-oceanic crustal transition associated with a shear zone (cutting the crust and mantle) along which lithospheric extension was accommodated (Keen and deVoogd, 1988). The location of the shear zone inferred from the seismic data between the edge of the shelf and the oceanic crust is shown in Figure 1-4. This shear zone was probably formed during the continent-continent shearing and transtensional opening of Africa and North America. In this case, motion along the shear zone probably proceeded to the point where upper mantle became exposed. Seismic refraction results also show that the crustal transition from continental to oceanic occurs across a narrow zone probably a paleo-transform fault (about 50 km-wide under the outer continental shelf and slope; Keen and Roest, 1990). Keen (1987) reported that the oceanic crust in this region has

a velocity of 7.4 km/s; and the oceanic crust adjacent to the transform fault is anomalous in that no oceanic layer 3 is present, yet the total thickness of oceanic crust is within normal limits (7-9 km).

Seismic refraction measurements give estimates of the velocity structure of the deep crust in regions that are typically difficult to image by reflection. Seismic refraction studies along the Norwegian-Greenland-Barents Sea margins indicate a heterogeneous crustal structure (Talwani and Eldholm, 1973). The seismic refraction velocities from the crust strongly show the existence of high-density crustal bodies at the ocean-continent transition zone. Beneath the dipping wedges, the distinct layer is often found with a seismic velocity of around 7.2 km/s (not present in either the adjacent continental or the oceanic crust (Figure 1-2).

The velocity of the lower crustal body is not characteristic of normal oceanic or continental crust, although it occurs in some other continental settings (Meissner, 1986) and in thickened crust on volcanic margins (Coffin and Eldholm, 1992). Mutter and Zehnder (1988) interpreted these high-velocity (5-7 km/s) layers to be igneous rocks that intruded (and/or underplated) the cold, old continental crust adjacent to the passive margin. Similar velocities are also found under actively rifting areas such as Afar in East Africa where they are usually interpreted as hot, low velocity mantle.

Some lower crustal bodies have been explained as ponding or magmatic underplating by accumulation of mantle-derived material (mafic magma) at the base of the continental crust (McNutt, 1984; LASE Study Group, 1986; White *et al.*, 1987; Coffin and Eldholm, 1994; Okay, 1994). The low velocity crust might represent serpentinized mafic intrusive rocks incorporating continental fragments at depth, overlain by sediments and basalts nearer the surface. Mafic magma ponded or underplated at the base of continental crust depends on the density contrast between the old crust and the melt (Furlong and Fountain, 1986). Decompressional melting of hot asthenospheric mantle would produce 7.1 to 7.2 km/s velocities in ponded basaltic melt because of increasing MgO content (White and McKenzie, 1989). It is also questionable whether magmatic underplating takes place when the continental crust is highly attenuated (e.g., during the late rift stage) because crustal strength rapidly becomes negligible due to conductive heat transfer whereas massive mafic upwelling reaches the brittle crust (Dixon *et al.*, 1989).

Thus underplating should only occur beneath continental crust (Eldholm and Grue, 1994), off the US East Coast (LASE Study Group, 1986), and the Cape Verde Rise-West Coast of Africa (McNutt, 1988), and the southern Yermak Plateau and northern Svalbard margin (Bonatti and Michael, 1989; Okay and Crane, 1993). Drilling at site 642 of ODP Leg-104 (the Vøring Plateau off Norway; Eldholm *et al.*, 1986) and site 918 of the Ocean Drilling Project Leg-152 (the eastern Greenland margin; Larsen *et al.*, 1994) revealed that extrusive flows formed the seaward-dipping events. The thinning of oceanic crust away from the margin is thought to reflect a diminishing magma budget (Figure 1-2; Mutter and Zehnder, 1988).

The Cuvier margin, part of the western Australia, occurs on the outer flank of the Exmouth sub-basin's bounding structural high, where the development of seaward-dipping reflectors are observed (Figure 1-5, Mutter *et al.*, 1988). The western Australian margin is assumed to be the boundary between upper- and lower-crustal velocities (between approximately 6.0 and 7.2 km/s from Talwani *et al.*, 1979). The Cuvier margin can thus be interpreted as a truncated equivalent of the extremely broad Exmouth Plateau margin to the north, where no dipping units are present (Mutter *et al.*, 1988).

2. GRAVITY

In some places gravity anomalies occur on the continental shelf edge (in the much younger ocean basins) or within the continent-oceanic crustal transition where lower (mafic) crustal bodies coexist with the continental crust. This transition is sometimes associated with a depth discontinuity (Cochran, 1982) and with a steep gravity gradient (Talwani and Eldholm, 1973; Rabinowitz and LaBrecque, 1977). These marginal isostatic gravity highs may indicate oceanic crust injected during the initial spreading between continents (Rabinowitz and LaBrecque, 1977). They imply that as the ocean basin matures, the elevated crust which is preserved as partly uncompensated basement highs, causes gravity maxima. In most cases, such as over the Grand Banks, Newfoundland (Figure 1-4) the anomalies are strongly positive, with maxima of 40-60 mGal, while over the oceanic region the anomalies are generally negative (because of the edge effect created by the continental margin).

In the young northern Red Sea (Figure 1-6), the central rift of the nascent spreading center is characterized by both a gravity minimum and a heat flow high. In contrast, over the flanks of the spreading center (the continental margins of the Red Sea) the gravity anomalies are a maximum (Pout *et al.*, 1986; Cochran *et al.*, 1986, 1991; Martínez and Cochran, 1988, 1989).

3. MAGNETICS

Although some continental margins exhibit prominent magnetic anomalies near continent-oceanic crustal transitions, they are often characterized by a "magnetic smooth zone" with extremely low-amplitude (or moderate) magnetic anomalies. The crust beneath the magnetic smooth zone has been interpreted as a unique "inhomogeneous amalgamation of different crustal types" or "rift-zone crust" (Talwani *et al.*, 1979).

Along the southern Australian margin (Eyre Terrace and western Tasmanian margin, Figure 1-7) a broad (150 km-wide) magnetic smooth zone is cut by two main half-grabens and associated smaller fault blocks which comprise the Eyre sub-basin (Lister *et al.*, 1991). The basin consists of rotational normal faults that trend east-northeast and transfer faults that trend northwest. The outer (seaward) boundary of the magnetic smooth zone coincides with this anomalously shallow, complex basement structure, and represents the sharp boundary with anomalously shallow basement topography with obvious oceanic crust produced at the southeast Indian Ridge (Lister *et al.*, 1991).

Another example is the northern Red Sea region where the magnetic field is very flat (Cochran, 1982). The magnetic anomalies in this region are characterized by smooth low-amplitude (less than 100 γ) anomalies. Many of the magnetic anomalies are associated with gravity anomalies suggesting that they are due to complex basement structure or to intrusion of dense highly magnetic rock (Figure 1-6). The relationship between the gravity and magnetic anomalies in the northern Red Sea is typical for the early development of passive margins and suggests that when continental rifting was initiated by the plate motion of Arabia respect to Africa, the lithosphere was still thick and cold, and thus extension therefore appears to occur diffusely across an area of the order of 100 km-wide rather than at a single "spreading center" (Bonatti and Crane, 1982). However, along transtensional

margins, such as in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea, magnetic lineations are unclear or absent (Vogt, 1986).

4. HEAT FLOW

According to Sclater *et al.* (1980) the newly-formed oceanic lithosphere progressively cools as it moves away from the mid-ocean ridge yielding heat flow which falls off at a decreasing rate from the ridge crest towards the oldest oceanic crust near the continental margins where it is often characterized by below average and relatively uniform values (about 40 mW/m² for the ages of >50 Ma). However, unusual scatter may occur in the plot of heat flow versus oceanic crustal age, which may be the result of the sedimentation effect or of the thermal structure of the margin (Hutchison, 1985).

Some marginal plateaus are thermally uplifted and reheated. For example, high heat flow from the margins of the Northern Red Sea (Buck *et al.*, 1988; Martínez and Cochran, 1989), along the southern and western margins of Australia (Cull and Denham, 1979), the eastern margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Langseth and Zielinski, 1974; Crane *et al.*, 1982; 1988; 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993) and eastern Arctic Ocean may suggest a volcanic build-up related to seafloor-spreading, perhaps due to interaction between marginal fracture zones and obliquely propagating mid-ocean ridges. Corresponding changes in a continent-ocean transition, seaward dipping volcanic sequences, oceanic basalt chemistry, positive free-air gravity anomalies as well as a magnetic smooth zone are all characteristic of a volcanic margin.

Chapter 2

THE THERMO-MECHANICAL DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS

A. SHEAR ZONES AND TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS

1. STRUCTURAL DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS

Lithospheric structures, such as fracture zones can control the rifting process and distribution of volcanism originating in the asthenosphere (Dewey and Pengör, 1979; McNutt, 1984). Newly forming plate boundaries interacting with pre-existing continental fracture (or paleo-shear) zones may control the initial break between continents (Atwater and Macdonald, 1977; Crane and Bonatti, 1987) and the development of passive margins (Courtilot, 1982; Bonatti and Crane, 1984; Bonatti, 1994). According to Courtilot (1982) and Bonatti and Crane (1984) paleo-shear zones can be thought of as "locked zones" that are resistant to opening by propagating ridges. These fractures are caused either by shallow mantle convection controlled by episodic mid-plate stresses or pre-existed in the crust prior to seafloor spreading.

In contrast to most oceanic fracture zones, which evolve at right angles to the direction of the mid-ocean ridge axis, paleo-shear zones tend to maintain their original orientation in the crust millions of years after the initiation of new episodes of seafloor spreading. As new plate motions evolve, these pale-shear zones tend to remain oriented obliquely to present-day plate movement directions (Menard and Atwater, 1968; Atwater and Macdonald, 1977; Crane and Bonatti, 1987). When a mid-ocean ridge propagates into a paleo-shear zone, the angle of impact (θ° , Figure 1) of the propagating ridge and paleo-shear zone may control the state of stress across the newly forming volcanic margin (Crane, 1976; Kastens *et al.*, 1979; Crane and Bonatti, 1987). Analyses of bathymetry and magnetics suggest

that ridges and paleo-shear zones readjust themselves to a nearly right-angled configuration (VanAndel *et al.*, 1969; Crane, 1976; Atwater and Macdonald, 1977). The stress field surrounding a ridge-shear zone oblique intersection (depending on the angle of impact) must be asymmetric creating compression and transtension across the shear zone. In addition, during adjustment of the pre-existing structure to the new stress regime, extensional and compressional stresses must change in magnitude across the paleo-shear zone (VanAndel *et al.*, 1969; Crane, 1976; Kastens *et al.*, 1979; Crane and Bonatti, 1987; Crane *et al.*, 1982). Thus, it is expected that asymmetric crustal structures and volcanism evolve as oceanic crust is created (Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay *et al.*, 1993; Okay and Crane, 1993).

The asymmetric stress across the ridge-shear zone intersection may create passive margins under compression on the acute angle side of impact (Figure 1). The compressional margin at the intersection should also be the site where magma accumulates vertically and dips seaward (Bonatti and Crane, 1982, 1984; Crane *et al.*, 1988; Okay and Crane, 1993). Through time, due to deviatoric stress around the ridge-shear zone intersection, forward propagation of the ridge ceases and it is deflected along the paleo-shear zone creating small zones of extension (pull-apart basins) in the process (Figure 2). The "punctiform" style of propagation along the shear zone allows the most rapid adjustment of the ridge and paleo-shear zone to its ideal orthogonal shape (Bonatti and Crane, 1982; Bonatti, 1994). Besides compressional and extensional stresses, there may be combined dynamic effects of forward-push force and lateral-spreading force acting on the newly forming margin.

The paleo-shear zone may be constructed of deep-seated faults that act as strike-slip (shear) planes (Crane *et al.*, 1988), accommodation zones (Rosendahl, 1987), or detachment faults (Figure 2-1; Wernicke, 1985; Lister *et al.*, 1986, 1991; Buck *et al.*, 1988; Etheridge *et al.*, 1990; Crane *et al.*, 1991; Torske and Prestvik, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993). In the extreme case, rifting along a detachment fault generates asymmetric extension that forces the spreading center to remain on one side of the newly forming ocean basin (Buck *et al.*, 1988; Martínez and Cochran, 1988; Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993). This case will be discussed later in the text.

2. THERMAL EVOLUTION OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGINS

Paleo-shear zones may evolve into volcanic passive margins if the temperature-structure at the intersection of a propagating ridge and a paleo-shear zone is characterized by large lateral thermal gradients. Several studies (England, 1983; Houseman and England, 1986; Sonder and England, 1989; Buck, 1991) show that lithospheric extension along a newly forming plate boundary can be considered as the deformation of a thin-viscous sheet with a temperature-dependent rheology. According to the thin-sheet model, crustal rheologic variations at the transition zone produce lateral pressure gradients that cause the hot, weak, lower crust to flow (Buck, 1991). The lower-crustal flow produced at the transition zone is analogous to the flow of mantle under a mid-ocean ridge (Buck, 1991). The rate of lower crustal flow also depends on crustal rheologic variations such as crustal viscosity, density, heat flux, thickness, and the rift width. The strain rate dependence of viscosity also plays an important role in the flow rate. For example, high stress decreases the effective viscosity, and increases the lower crustal-flow rate (Buck, 1991).

Along the nascent transtensional volcanic margin, the geometry of the obliquely propagating ridge and paleo-shear zone interaction produces asymmetric deviatoric stress that should increase the rate of the lower crustal-flow. The resulting thermal gradients should cause density instabilities that can induce small-scale convection in the upper mantle creating isotherms which form asymmetrically across the transtensional plate boundary. In this case, mantle material passively upwells to a depth where partial melting occurs (Verhoogen, 1954; Ahern and Turcotte, 1979; Foucher *et al.*, 1982) inducing a great volume of melting (Mutter *et al.*, 1988; Pedersen and Skogseid, 1989; Zehnder *et al.*, 1990; Keen *et al.*, 1994). This type of convection is enhanced by the temperature structure of the lithosphere that in turn changes the temperature of the lithosphere by thermal expansion and causes a dynamic crustal uplift at the surface (Keen, 1987; Buck, 1986).

Along transtensional volcanic margins, the deviatoric stress fields surrounding the intersection of a propagating ridge and a paleo-shear zone may cause shallow magma bodies to erupt from the shear zone. However, the deeper asthenosphere may continue to propagate in its original direction perhaps in response to far-field state of stress (Okay, 1994). If the paleo-shear zone only

cuts through the shallow crust, then its likely that this propagating asthenospheric corridor will proceed underneath the paleo-shear zone intersecting other neighboring deep-seated pre-existing continental faults. These deep-seated faults could act as vertical thermo-mechanical boundaries (conduits) which promote the intrusion by dikes and in some cases the extrusion of magma. In this manner, continental crust is thinned and underplated by mantle material (Bonatti, 1985, 1994), and the uplifting and thermal rejuvenation of the passive margin and adjacent continental crust will follow in the process (Okay and Crane, 1993; Okay, 1994).

Data suggesting asthenospheric underplating of adjacent continental crust might be the following:

1. a broad thermal swell and uplift on the continental crust ahead of the propagating mid-ocean ridge,
2. regions of off-axial high heat flow on and adjacent to the continental margin,
3. apparently younger crust on the compressional side of the ridge and paleo-shear zone intersection,
4. low free-air gravity anomalies and low seismic refraction velocities that are indicative of hot, low-velocity mantle,
5. a magnetic smooth zone,
6. a seismically active region ahead of the propagating ridge tip or on the compressional side of the intersection indicating an asymmetric stress field,
7. large erosion and high sedimentation rates indicating rapid thermal uplift in the neighboring continental crust, and
8. oceanic-type mantle rocks lying at shallow levels on the edge of a transtensional volcanic margin (seaward-dipping igneous sequences), and "multiple zones of intrusions" on the adjacent continental crust suggesting a propagating lateral heat source.

3. THE EFFECTS OF RIFT WIDTH ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGIN

Observations show that thermal development of some volcanic margins is controlled by asymmetric convection depending on the rift width (of lithospheric extension; Turcotte *et al.*, 1973;

England, 1983; Buck, 1986; Mutter *et al.*, 1988; Martínez and Cochran, 1989). For example, a wide rift would take longer to develop than a narrow rift. Melt produced across a wide rift would be distributed over a much greater area than across a narrow rift. As an example, the northern Blake Plateau from the Carolinas to the Canadian Maritime provinces, has a width of transition which varies but is relatively narrow ranging from 15 to 20 km beneath the Baltimore Canyon Trough (Austin and Uchupi, 1982) to 70 km southeast of Nova Scotia (Keen and Keen, 1974). On the eastern side of the North Atlantic, faulted-thinned continental crust has a sharp contact with oceanic crust off Norway (Talwani and Eldholm, 1973), in the Bay of Biscay, and off northwestern Spain (Boillot *et al.*, 1980). Lake Tanganyika and Lake Malawi (and other) segments of Africa rift system (Rosendahl, 1987), segments of the North Anatolian Fault System (Pengör *et al.*, 1985), and the southern part of the Western Australian margin (Marshall and Lee, 1987) are also characterized by sharp continental transitions.

Buck (1991) recently proposed three extension modes observed in continental lithosphere: the narrow mode, the wide mode, and the core complex mode. Geologic conditions and observable differences of the three modes of extension are illustrated in Figure 2-2.

a. The Narrow Rift Mode:

Localized crustal thinning results in a narrower initial zone of lithospheric extension. Continued crustal thinning leads to narrow rifting. If the lower crustal rheology is weak compared to the mantle rheology, narrow rifting occurs at a high strain rate. In this case, mantle lithosphere controls the weak lower crust to produce a narrow extension (Sawyer, 1985; Buck, 1991). For example in Figure 2-2a a narrow rift forms with an observed heat flow of around 60 mW/m^2 at the rift valley (of a continental rift), and the estimated crustal thickness at the time of rifting is greater than 80 km (Buck, 1991). Along the passive margin, the extension of the lithosphere continues as a narrow rift until an ocean basin forms and the locus of extension becomes a mid-ocean ridge (Rosendahl, 1987; Buck *et al.*, 1988; Buck, 1991).

b. The Wide Rift Mode:

The wide rift mode occurs when an upper- and lower-crust thins homogeneously over a wide area that is greater than its lithospheric thickness (Figure 2-2b). Examples of this type of rifting are similar to the Basin and Range and Aegean regions. In the Basin and Range the average heat flow is about 90 mW/m^2 (Lachenbruch and Sass, 1978) and the crustal thickness is 25-35 km (Eaton, 1963). The Aegean Sea region extends with an average extension rate of 3-10 cm/yr (McKenzie, 1978), and is without any extrusive volcanic imprints (Dewey and Pengör, 1979). The heat flow in the Aegean region is greater than 90 mW/m^2 (Jongsma, 1974), and crustal thickness is about 30-35 km (Makris, 1982).

c. The Core Complex Mode:

Core complexes are areas where high-grade metamorphic rocks have surfaced from the lower crust (Davis, 1988). Core complexes occur when the upper crust is extended locally in association with a detachment fault or a shear zone (Wernicke, 1985; Lister *et al.*, 1986; Buck *et al.*, 1988; Block and Royden, 1990; Buck, 1991).

The transtensional volcanic margin geometry may produce a core complex formation if it forms at the intersection of a propagating ridge with a shear zone. In this case, the newly forming crustal transition zone causes crustal differences that produce horizontal-thermal gradients and lower-crustal flow. With increasing deviatoric stress the lower-crustal flow causes the lithosphere to be hotter or thinner than normal. This crustal rheology could produce core complexes at a region, where broad underplating occurs identifiable by a thermal swell and uplifting of the continental crust.

The lower crustal flow has the effect of thinning the crust over a broad area (Figure 2-2c, less than 100 km; Buck, 1991). When the lower crust cannot flow fast enough then the mode of extension depends on the lithospheric strength. For example, the Northern Red Sea (a narrow rift) and the Basin and Range (a wide rift) have experienced and are presently experiencing similar extension rates (Buck, 1991). This indicates that these regions were subjected to different initial thermal parameters depending on their crustal rheologies. A narrow rift forms when the lower-crustal rheology is weak or

the lithospheric rheology is strong (if the lithosphere is initially cold). A wide rift forms when the crustal rheology is strong or lithospheric strength is weak (if the lithosphere is initially warm). A core complex forms when the lithosphere is hotter or thinner than normal. Then the lower-crust can flow rapidly to form core complexes. This may be produced by a broad underplating of cold lithosphere and lateral heating.

Heat flow versus crustal thicknesses for all three modes from several locations are compared in Figure 2-3. The crust is considered to be thick at the time of core complex extension in the Altiplano, in the Andes, and the Tibetan Plateau (Hamilton, 1987). Both the Altiplano and Tibetan Plateau have a crustal thickness of around 60 km and their heat flow values average 90 mW/m^2 (Henry and Pollack, 1988). It is thought that a good example of a core complex formation is found in the Basin and Range Province (Buck, 1988, 1991). Buck (1991) suggests that the southern Basin and Range passed through all three modes of extension. Rifting started with the core complex formation followed by a wide rift mode, which is characterized by high angle normal faults and distributed upper crustal extension. He suggests that the last phase has now begun with the propagation of narrow rifting in the western and eastern sides of the region.

B. MECHANICAL MODES OF LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION

Extension thins the continental crust and heats the lithosphere by upwelling or propagation of asthenospheric material. The role of lithospheric extension models: pure-shear and simple-shear on the development of various types of continental margins are described in the following sections. Model formulations and parameters for various types of lithospheric extension models are presented in Appendix A-3.

1. PURE-SHEAR LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION MODEL

a. Symmetric Pure-Shear Lithospheric Extension Model:

Pure-shear lithospheric extension can be produced by mantle-activated rifting that is a result of upwelling asthenosphere or a vertically rising mantle plume from the core-mantle boundary (LePichon and Sibuet, 1981; White and McKenzie, 1989; Latin and White, 1990; Kent *et al.*, 1992). In this case, rifting extends upper crust over uniformly thinned lower crust (McKenzie, 1978). This type of extension mechanism thins the upper-brittle crust above the lower-ductile crust (Figure 2-4). The depth to Moho moves symmetrically into the region where the maximum thinning occurs. Melting is centered under the region of maximum crustal thinning. Upwelling of asthenospheric material produces a rapid symmetric extension and uplifting. The extension and melt production depend on the changing width of the extension over time. More partial melt can be produced with a large extension rate or with a narrow width of extension (Buck *et al.*, 1988). Slow extension rates (<1 cm/yr) or zones of wider extension cannot produce the conditions approaching the mantle solidus anywhere in the lithosphere (Buck *et al.*, 1988; Martínez and Cochran, 1989).

The amount of uplift depends on the amount of heat transported by convection and the anisotropy of viscosities in the lithosphere (Artyushkov, 1973; Bhattacharji and Koide, 1978; Bott, 1982). Mantle-activated rifting is triggered by the strength of the lithosphere to respond to vertical movement of the anomalously low-density mantle below it. If the plates bounding the region prevent the rifting apart at a rate that forms oceanic crust, then a hotspot will result.

b. Asymmetric Pure-Shear Lithospheric Extension Model:

The asymmetric pure-shear lithospheric extension model is a migrating pure-shear extension (Figure 2-5). The lithospheric plates on either side of the extension move with different extension rates in opposite directions. Asymmetric extension occurs when one flank of a spreading center extends more than the other flank. Mantle-activated rifting may produce asymmetric pure-shear extension that in turn produces asymmetric topography, heat flow and crustal thickness (Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993). In addition, asymmetric deviatoric stress across the intersection of a propagating rift and shear zone may produce asymmetric pure-shear extension of the lithosphere (Crane *et al.*, 1991). In this model, it is assumed that the horizontal gradient of the horizontal

extension is constant across the extending region and is equal to the vertical gradient of vertical extension.

c. Ridge Shift (Jump) Model:

The ridge shift model presents a rifting scenario where one active rift dies and a new rift opens up at some distance from the previous rift. This mechanism is illustrated in Figure 2-5 (Crane *et al.*, 1991). Episodic behaviors of mantle-activated rifting may regenerate or relocate the convective melting and ridge axis. Conjugate aseismic ridges may also develop during this process (LaBrecque and Hayes, 1979; Mutter *et al.*, 1988). There is no direct evidence how this relocation occurs although one hypothesis proposes that the rifting shifts in response to the state of deviatoric stress about the plate boundary.

When the ridge-axis shifts into an older region of an oceanic basin, vigorous short-lived convective flow generates a newly forming thick oceanic crust (Mutter *et al.*, 1988). Detailed studies indicate that shifting occurs almost instantaneously (LaBrecque and Hayes, 1979; Cande *et al.*, 1982). During ridge propagation there may be one or more shifts of the ridge axis towards a particular direction. The ridge shift model generates a net strain that is created by at least two episodes of symmetric spreading displaced laterally from one another (Mutter *et al.*, 1988). This type of extension produces asymmetric topography, heat flow and crustal thickness (Figure 2-5; Crane *et al.*, 1991).

d. Examples of Pure-Shear Lithospheric Extension:

Pure-shear extension is thought to be the most common type of extension associated with seafloor spreading. In fact, most of the quantitative extension models depicting the formation of extensional plate boundaries were based upon the concept of pure-shear extension (e.g., the Mid-Atlantic Ridge and the East Pacific Rise).

Examples of ridge-shift extension are more commonly found in the Pacific where plate boundaries are thought to be more mobile and have faster spreading rates (>1 cm/yr). Cases such as the Galapagos Rise, and the Mathematicians Seamounts characterize once active extensional plate

boundaries that were deactivated and abandoned by ridge shifting during the incremental formation of the presently active East Pacific Rise.

2. SIMPLE-SHEAR LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION MODEL

a. Asymmetric Simple-Shear Lithospheric Extension:

Some young continental and oceanic rifts (with slow spreading rates) are thought to open by simple-shear lithospheric extension (produced across a detachment fault or shear zone surface), in an environment where propagating rift-magma enters into the previously fractured crust characterized by obliquely dipping faults (Wernicke, 1985; Lister *et al.*, 1986, 1991; Mutter *et al.*, 1989; Etheridge *et al.*, 1990; Crane *et al.*, 1991). Detachment faults are thought to extend from the upper crust through the Moho and into the lower crust (Figure 2-5). During extension, lower crust and upper mantle lithosphere is thought to be dragged from under the upper crust along the detachment fault surface. The result is the substitution of mantle material for lower crustal rocks and the asymmetric uplift of the continental crust. However, at no point is the advected lithosphere near the mantle solidus (Buck *et al.*, 1988). Since simple-shear extrusion is always less effective than pure-shear in including partial melting (Buck *et al.*, 1988), a relatively steep detachment is required.

Symmetric pure-shear models assume that the detachment fault represents the brittle-ductile transition and is characterized by rotated tilt blocks, over a more uniformly stretched ductile lower crust. However, Wernicke (1985) suggested that some detachment faults represent low-angle normal faults that cut through the entire lithosphere (Figure 2-1). An alternative extension geometry may involve delamination of the lithosphere suggested by Lister *et al.* (1986), where the detachment zone runs horizontally below the brittle-ductile transition, steepens, and then again runs horizontally at the crust-mantle boundary. Lister *et al.* (1986) also emphasize that detachment faults may be merely upper crustal manifestations of major ductile shear zones at depth (Davis *et al.*, 1986). Lister *et al.* (1986) also predicted that there may be two broad classes of passive margins: upper- and lower-plate margins. Upper-plate margins comprise rocks originally above the detachment fault and lower-plate margins comprise the deeper crystalline rocks of the lower plate. Upper-plate and lower-plate margins

will differ in their rift-stage structure and their uplift/subsidence characteristics. Their character may suggest the location of: the continent-ocean transition zone, the nature of complex detachment-fault geometries, and the role of transfer faults in the creation of asymmetric margins (Lister *et al.*, 1986, 1991).

Simple-shear lithospheric extension produces asymmetries in crustal thinning, uplift, and heat flow (Buck *et al.*, 1988; Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993). The degree of asymmetry is controlled by the rate of extension, width of the shear zone and dip angle of the detachment fault (θ° in Figure 2-5), because these have an effect on the lateral heat input from the propagating asthenosphere into the fractured continental lithosphere.

b. Examples of Simple-Shear/Detachment Fault Extension:

Detachment style-lithospheric extension has been suggested for regions in the US Basin and Range Province, the northern Aegean Sea, Western Anatolia, the northern Red Sea, regions along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, the NW Australian Margin, and in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea. Also, examples of such rotated high-angle normal faults have been observed from the Bay of Biscay, the Galicia margin, Grand Banks of eastern Canada, and outer continental slope of the Otway Basin off the northwestern Tasmanian margin (Figure 3).

The concept of detachment fault-extension was first applied by Wernicke (1981, 1985) to the Basin and Range Province, a region where lithospheric extension could be accommodated by a zone penetrating the lithosphere along a low-angle normal fault ($<30^\circ$). This fault is associated with the formation of the metamorphic core complexes and/or (mylonitic) detachment terranes that consist of a brittle upper plate overlying ductilely deformed igneous and metamorphic rocks. The upper plate is truncated at its base by low-angle faults (Lister *et al.*, 1986).

The detachment fault-extension in the Aegean Sea and Western Anatolia are presently characterized by a number of normal faults (listric faults) which have steep dips at the surface in the E-W and N-NE trending grabens (McKenzie, 1978; Dewey and Pengör, 1979). Earthquake fault plane solutions show that the dips decrease with depth (McKenzie, 1978) suggesting that these faults are

detachment surfaces. Structural and paleomagnetic data show that large fault rotations ($>20^\circ$) occurred. Similar listric faults have been proposed for the Rhinegraben (Pengör *et al.*, 1978; Illies, 1979).

In the Red Sea, simple-shear lithospheric extension is thought to produce significant melting depending on the rate of extension and the dip of the detachment fault (Buck *et al.*, 1988). In the northern Red Sea a simple-shear lithospheric extension model (with an extension rate of 1 cm/yr and a fault dip of 30°) predicts that the asthenosphere moves slowly (or the lower crust flows) into the base of the attenuated lithosphere during the rifting process.

Mutter *et al.* (1989) and Lorenzo *et al.* (1991) proposed that initial deformation of the northwest Australian passive margin was caused by simple-shear extension along low-angle detachment fault planes. As the asymmetric extension continued, partial melting resulted from the decompression of propagating asthenosphere underplating the continental crust in the region. In the process, convective heating induced thermal uplift of the continental crust creating the Naturaliste, Wallaby, Cuvier, Exmouth and Scott Plateaus in the process (Lister *et al.*, 1986, 1991; Mutter *et al.*, 1988, 1989; Etheridge *et al.*, 1990; Lorenzo *et al.*, 1991). Later, simple-shear extension passed laterally into pure-shear extension characterized by high-angle normal faults and more volcanism. The region thus became the locus of continental break-up and seafloor spreading (Mutter *et al.*, 1989; Lorenzo *et al.*, 1991).

More recently, Crane *et al.* (1991) and Okay and Crane (1993) proposed detachment fault-extension scenarios for the evolution of the plate boundary in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea. A full description of the evolution of this plate boundary and the eastern margin forming the boundary between the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea and western Barents Sea are the focus of this thesis.

3. DIFFERENTIATING LITHOSPHERIC EXTENSION MODELS

Pure- and simple-shear lithospheric extension models have been widely used to explain the morpho-volcano-tectonic variations of passive margins (Lister *et al.*, 1986, 1991; Buck *et al.*, 1988;

Mutter *et al.*, 1989; Etheridge *et al.*, 1990; Lorenzo *et al.*, 1991; Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993). For the past 12 years, there has been considerable controversy concerning the nature of lithospheric extension. In 1978, McKenzie proposed the uniform stretching model, which stated that the lithosphere deforms by bulk pure-shear during extension (Figure 2-4). On the basis of field mapping, seismic experiments, and considerations of the asymmetric distribution, magmatism and topography in the Basin and Range Province, Wernicke (1981, 1985) argued that lithospheric extension could be accommodated by slip along a low-angle (10° - 30°) normal fault that penetrates the entire lithosphere (Figure 2-5).

In many cases, topographic asymmetries are also observed across conjugate margins. The observations in these regions indicate that normal faults which extend through the lithosphere act as ductile-shear zones, or detachment faults (Wernicke, 1985; Sawyer, 1985; Lister *et al.*, 1986; Buck, 1988), which can not be predicted by symmetric pure-shear lithospheric extension models. In this case, a low-angle detachment fault is thought to form along deep-seated faults rooted orthogonally to the lithosphere, in which all these faults control the development of half-graben complexes (Wernicke, 1985). Lister *et al.* (1986) proposed that, the asymmetry of an evolving ocean-margin is determined by the direction the detachment fault dips: toward the mid-ocean ridge or away from it. In this case, conjugate passive margins are described as either upper- or lower- plate in character, depending on their particular geometries. However, following McKenzie and Bickle (1988), Latin and White (1990) argued that on the basis of seismological data from areas of active extension, such as the Aegean, Basin and Range, Yunnan (China), East Africa, Suez, and the North Sea, active normal faults do not have dips of $<30^{\circ}$. These authors favor a pure-shear rather than simple-shear mechanism for magma generation resulting from adiabatic upwelling of the asthenosphere during extension. Like others (Buck *et al.*, 1988), Latin and White (1990) propose that only very small amounts of melt are likely to be produced by simple-shear lithospheric extension mechanism.

In contrast, some authors have proposed that detachment faults are thought to control both the structural and thermal development of volcanic passive margins and continental-oceanic crustal transition zones. The investigations of Buck *et al.* (1988), Crane *et al.* (1991), and Okay and Crane

(1993) suggest that once intruded by a propagating ridge, new basaltic crust may intrude up along the detachment surface. As extension continues, oceanic crust should develop asymmetrically towards the ocean side of the detachment fault. Mantle lithosphere is then dragged along the detachment fault from the lower crust. If extension continues for a sufficient time, then marginal plateaus and new oceanic crust develops asymmetrically on either side of the detachment surface (Okay and Crane, 1993).

Lister *et al.* (1986) and Okay and Crane (1993) advanced this model further, stating that more than one detachment fault can be involved in continental lithospheric extension. When a shear zone related detachment fault cuts another detachment fault with opposite dip, movement across one zone deactivates the other, creating low-standing plateaus along the transtensional volcanic passive margin. Entrapment of asthenospheric material may occur within any of the deep-seated faults cutting the detachment surface. If a rift propagates into one or more of these faults, then magma may erupt along the surface of the fault, while the deep-seated asthenosphere may continue to propagate in its original direction. In this way, multiple zones of intrusions could occur as one fault at a time is crossed by moving asthenosphere. The net effect on the surface would be the appearance of multiple zones of extrusion creating a broad-diffuse plate boundary.

Since pure- and simple-shear lithospheric extension mechanisms produce different geological and geophysical patterns within an extending region, volcanic passive margins formed by the pure-shear extension process (a rifted volcanic margin) should exhibit:

1. symmetric bathymetry created by the subsidence of cooled continental and oceanic crust.
2. relatively low and uniform heat flow (averaging 40 mW/m^2) predicted by subsidence models for age-dependent cooling of oceanic crust,
3. prominent magnetic anomalies that allow determination of crustal ages along the margin,
4. marginal isostatic gravity highs, indicating oceanic crust injected during the initial spreading between continents.
5. broadly spaced, listric faults interspersed by dipping reflector sequences suggesting the extrusion of ponded lavas through these faults.

In contrast, a volcanic margin formed by simple-shear lithospheric extension (transtensional volcanic margin) should exhibit:

1. a relatively narrow structural transition from continental to oceanic crust dominated by one or a few closely spaced deep-seated faults interspersed with shallow marginal plateaus,
2. asymmetric bathymetry created by compressional and volcanic uplift and marginal plateau formation on one margin vs. low lying broad extensional-type relief on the opposing margin,
3. regions of high heat flow along the margin,
4. large erosion and high sedimentation rates indicative of rapid uplift,
5. a "magnetic smooth zone" indicating a broad intrusion/extension of volcanic material near and overlapping the continent-oceanic crust transition,
6. an asymmetric distribution of earthquakes indicating an asymmetric stress field,
7. oceanic-type mantle rocks at shallow levels on the edge of the margin, and
8. "Seaward-dipping igneous sequences" similar to "core complexes" in the continental lithosphere, and "multiple-zones of intrusions" on the continental crust.

Chapter 3

THE NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEAFLOOR

A. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PLATE BOUNDARIES

1. PRESENT-DAY PLATE BOUNDARIES

The Mid-Atlantic Ridge can be traced as the Knipovich Ridge into the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea and divides it asymmetrically (Figure 3-1). The ultra-slow spreading Knipovich Ridge (1.5-2.3 mm/yr half rate on the eastern flank and 1.9-3.1 mm/yr on the western flank at 78°N; Crane *et al.*, 1988; 1991) lies close (less than 100 km) to the western Svalbard Margin. The Knipovich Ridge is characterized by a partially buried rift valley covered by sediment (3200-3700 m deep its northern terminus; Crane *et al.*, 1990, 1991). The current spreading half-rates vary from 1.1 cm/yr in the southern Norwegian-Greenland Sea to 0.3 cm/yr in the eastern Eurasia Basin within the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Eldholm *et al.*, 1987). The present-day structural geometry also supports the hypothesis of complicating ridge propagation (Crane *et al.*, 1991).

Off-axial bathymetric highs trend obliquely away from the Knipovich Ridge (roughly parallel the NW-SE relative plate direction, Crane *et al.*, 1988, 1990, 1991). Close to the intersection with the Molloy Transform the Knipovich Ridge bends westward (Crane *et al.*, 1990; Okay *et al.*, 1993). The Molloy Ridge and Molloy Transform Fault represent small pull-apart basins which have grown into tiny mid-ocean ridge segments offset by transform faults (Crane *et al.*, 1982, 1991; Okay *et al.*, 1993). A fossil transform fault-ridge (the Hovgård Ridge) is comprised of a seamount-like peak on its eastern part (Crane *et al.*, 1991) and an elongated (fossil) ridge on its western part (Myhre and Eldholm, 1988) in the northern Boreas Basin (78.4°N, 1°E). The Molloy Ridge, a pull-apart basin within the Spitsbergen Fracture Zone is a small section of newly forming oceanic crust (Crane *et al.*, 1982, 1990,

1991). The Molloy Deep (79.2°N, 2.8°E), a nodal basin (exceeding 5000 m) lies at the intersection of the Molloy Ridge with the Molloy Transform (Thiede *et al.*, 1990).

The current plate boundary is believed to continue into the Arctic Ocean along a deep trough that was once called the Spitsbergen Shear or Fracture Zone (Crane *et al.*, 1982, 1991), and its detailed structure is still not well-known (Talwani and Eldholm, 1977; Sundvor *et al.*, 1977; Vogt, 1986; Thiede *et al.*, 1990). Crane *et al.* (1982) suggested that sections of the northern Spitsbergen Shear Zone had been invaded by rift propagation southward from the Nansen Ridge.

The northern terminus of the Spitsbergen Transform Fault continues into the elongate Lena Trough (81°N, 3°W; the most northerly section of the obliquely opening mid-oceanic ridge; Crane *et al.*, 1991). Detailed morphology of the Lena Trough is not available as it lies under year-long ice cover. It is perceived to be a leaky-transtensional zone oriented subparallel to the Knipovich Ridge. Further to the NW, the Lena Trough intersects with the Nansen Ridge at the northern terminus of the Fram Strait (Thiede *et al.*, 1990).

2. PLATE BOUNDARY EVOLUTION

Prior to the Cenozoic, the area between Norway and Greenland was a part of a large epicontinental sea extending into the North Sea and Barents Sea (Birkenmajer, 1981). From Chron 25/24 to 13 the plate boundaries of the North American, Greenland and Eurasian plates met a triple junction, called the North Atlantic Triple Junction (Figure 3-2, Kristoffersen and Talwani, 1978; Srivastava, 1978; Reksnes and Vågnes, 1985).

During several extensional phases in the Mesozoic and the late-Paleocene, the crust between Norway and Greenland was thinned without a crustal break-up (Talwani and Eldholm, 1977). Seafloor spreading was initiated between Chron 25 and 24 (LaBrecque *et al.*, 1979). According to Talwani and Eldholm (1977), Reksnes and Vågnes (1985), Max and Ohta (1988), and Crane *et al.* (1991) since the inception of seafloor spreading, the plate boundaries between Greenland, Norway, and Svalbard have been controlled first by shearing and then transtension (Figure 3-3). Prominent

fault lineations and sedimentary basins off Norway and the North Sea resulted from a widespread, nearly synchronous rifting episode during the late Jurassic and early Cretaceous (Ziegler, 1978).

The Jan Mayen Fracture Zone System may have originated as a zone of structural weakness in the late Paleozoic and may have been active during the rifting events in the Mesozoic (Bukovics and Ziegler, 1985; Mutter *et al.*, 1984; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987; Eldholm *et al.*, 1984; Torske and Prestvik, 1991). The paleo-Jan Mayen and Senja-Greenland Fracture Zones were oriented in such a way that the early episode of rifting propagated obliquely into these features (Figure 3-3). The Vøring Plateau was formed, in the region, during the initial opening of the southern Norwegian-Greenland Sea by a voluminous volcanic pulse at the oblique intersection of the Aegir Ridge with the Jan Mayen Fracture Zone (Mutter *et al.*, 1984; Hinz *et al.*, 1987; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987; Eldholm, 1991; Eldholm and Grue, 1994).

It is generally believed that the Jan Mayen Ridge is of continental origin and that it was separated from Greenland (25 mybp) by the formation of a new spreading center: the Kolbeinsey Ridge (Figure 3-1; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987). During this separation, the Jan Mayen block was faulted and its configuration of en-echelon rifting was the result of shearing of the Jan Mayen blocks (Nunns, 1982, 1983; Nielsen, 1983). On the eastern side, faults occurred during episodic extension in the Paleocene. Spreading in the new location (between Greenland and the Jan Mayen Ridge) continued until it centralized about the Kolbeinsey Ridge axis during the last 10 mybp (Vogt, 1986). After the spreading axis shifted from the Aegir Ridge to the Kolbeinsey Ridge, the Jan Mayen Ridge was left as a remnant on the seafloor.

The Senja Margin was formed from a megashear zone during the Eocene. Between Chron 25 and 24 the plate boundary was offset between the incipient Lofoten-Greenland and Eurasia Basins by a regional shear zone probably along the Senja Fracture Zone (Trolle Land Fault Zone) lineament (Figure 3-3). The plate boundary along the Senja Margin propagated to the northeast between Chron 21 and 13. About 50 Ma ago the separating axis shifted to the northwest forming the passive margin between Bjørnøya and 76.5°N creating a deep basin north of the Senja-Greenland Fracture Zone. The early-Paleocene reactivation of the Kimmerian Wrench system along the Senja-Western Svalbard

Margin coincides with the dextral shear motion along the Trolle Land Fault Zone (Max and Ohta, 1988).

The northern part of the Senja Margin evolved during the major reorganization of relative plate motion at 36 Ma. During this period, the plate configuration caused transpression between northern Greenland and Svalbard, creating local transpression and transtension across the Tertiary Wrench Regime in the region (Steel *et al.*, 1985; Reksnes and Vågnes, 1985; Müller and Spielhagen, 1990). Along Bjørnøya and the Western Svalbard Margin, pre-existing faults were repeatedly reactivated during the mid-Jurassic and late-Cretaceous (Birkenmajer, 1981). Also, during this time, dextral transpression controlled the wrench movement of the Hornsund Fault Zone (Sundvor and Eldholm, 1979; Vogt, 1986; Max and Ohta, 1988). At Chron 13 the Hornsund Fault Zone became the plate boundary (Figure 3-4). This shift in relative plate motion to the northwest along the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone, caused crustal thinning and later sea-floor spreading in the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea.

Because of increasing deviatoric stress around and near the Spitsbergen Shear Zone, seafloor spreading could have caused the Mohns Ridge to propagate northward and into the shear around 50 mybp forming the Knipovich Ridge in the process (Figure 3-4). The oblique intersection between the Mohns Ridge and the Spitsbergen Shear Zone could have generated complex regions of extension and compression along and across the former shear zone. Continuing readjustment of the plate boundaries throughout the transtensional shear zone could have resulted in the slivering of the Western Svalbard Margin and the transportation of these slivers to the northwest along the coast of Svalbard. For instance, the Hovgård Fracture Zone is thought to be a remanent piece of continental crust rafted away from the Senja Margin (Myhre *et al.*, 1982).

According to Crane *et al.* (1988, 1991) the latest episode of rift propagation along the Knipovich Ridge occurred between 12 and 16 mybp, and was marked by new episodes of volcanism on Svalbard. As the spreading was slow and confined to a narrow region, magma ponded upwards perhaps creating or thermally rejuvenating pre-existing plateaus in the process (Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993).

One of these, the southern Yermak Plateau was probably thermally reactivated between 16-10 mybp (Crane *et al.*, 1982). During Chron 13 to 7, Birkenmajer (1981) suggests that this region underwent extension as well as shear and compression. The southern plateau could be the result of a thinned and stretched continental crust created as ridge and transform systems migrated across the region. In addition, underplating of Spitsbergen by a propagating asthenospheric front may have caused injection of basalt into pre-existing shear zone related faults on Svalbard (Okay, 1990; Okay and Crane, 1993; Okay *et al.*, 1991, 1993). The southern section of the Yermak Plateau may have been created in response to a broad and rapid injection of basalt along the continental margin (Okay and Crane, 1993). Thus, the present Spitsbergen Transform should be a post-Chron 5 (ca. 10 Ma) feature, and only a small remnant of the once immense Spitsbergen Shear Zone.

According to Skjelkvåle *et al.* (1989) during Chron 5 either the Yermak Hotspot was regenerated and formed the Quaternary volcanic centers in the area (Prestvik, 1978), or magma erupted in response to the now close proximity of the northward propagating Knipovich Ridge as suggested by Crane *et al.* (1988, 1991). On the other hand, rejuvenated volcanism on the southern Yermak Plateau and Svalbard could have coincided with either increased volcanic activity on the Nansen Ridge or the southern propagation of the Nansen spreading axis into the Spitsbergen Shear Zone as suggested by Feden *et al.* (1979) and Crane *et al.* (1982).

3. VOLCANIC EVENTS

A major pulse of short-lived voluminous magmatism accompanying early seafloor spreading has been suggested for the formation of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea ocean basin (Eldholm, 1991). Continuous volcanism in the region is marked by the Iceland-Faeroe and the Greenland-Iceland Ridges that lead from the margins to Iceland. The early Tertiary break-up of the North Atlantic Ocean and the Norwegian-Greenland Sea was associated with short-lived but voluminous magmatic activity in the North Atlantic and eastern Arctic Ocean (Figure 3-5). According to White *et al.* (1987), most igneous accumulations in the North Atlantic and the Norwegian-Greenland Sea are coincident with the early-

Tertiary hotspot event centered at the presently active island of Iceland. Composition of the lava on Iceland is typically andesite although more silicic lavas (such as dacite) may be emitted early in an explosive eruption, and later lavas may approach the composition of alkali basalt. This event is documented as the North Atlantic Volcanic Province along the volcanic passive margins and characterized by seaward-dipping seismic reflector sequences (Figure 3-5, Hinz and Weber, 1976; Mutter *et al.*, 1982; Roberts *et al.*, 1984; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987; Larsen and Jakobsdóttir, 1988; Eldholm *et al.*, 1989a). Vogt (1986) suggested that the frequency of activity or the volume of eruptions (or both) decrease away from Iceland, being generally highest on the Kolbeinsey Ridge and lowest along the Knipovich and Molloy Ridges, where spreading is slow, oblique and the axis is exceptionally deep, or some combination of these.

The Vøring Plateau on the Norwegian Margin to the NE of Iceland, is underlain by volcanic accumulations at the continent-ocean boundary. The drilling results from Leg 104 of the Ocean Drilling Program (Sites 642 and 643 in Figure 3-5) indicate two distinct lava flows of a lower-series of dacitic flows (dated 54 Ma) and an upper-series of subaerial MOR-type tholeiitic flows (dated 57.8 Ma, Eldholm *et al.*, 1989b; Eldholm, 1991).

Jan Mayen, an active volcanic island in the southern Norwegian-Greenland Sea, is not located on the present-day plate boundary. Although Jan Mayen was thought to have existed since late middle Tertiary on the basis of glacial morphology or since early Pliocene on the basis of tephra chronology studies performed by Sylvester (1975) on Deep Sea Drilling Project-Leg 38 (Sites 342 and 343 in Figure 3-5), K-Ar dates show that the oldest lavas are only of late Pleistocene in age.

Two occurrences of alkalic volcanic rocks (adjacent to and in the southern Norwegian-Greenland Sea) are situated in continental fault zones along the Kaiser Franz Josephs Fjord in East Greenland and along the paleo-Eastern Jan Mayen Fracture Zone off Norway (Figure 3-5). Torske and Prestvik (1991) suggested that the Greenland rocks are K-Ar dated at 56 Ma and the Norwegian rocks at 55.7 Ma. These volcanics erupted close to the time of initial breakup prior to seafloor spreading.

In north Greenland, along the Arctic coast in northern Peary Land rhyolitic volcanics called Kap Washington Group (83.3°N, 37.45°W) were dated about 63-64 Ma (Feden *et al.*, 1979). This largely subaerial tholeiitic-type volcanic activity associated with the Morris Jesup Rise occurred between Chron 24 and 13 (60-38 Ma, Håkonsson and Schack-Pedersen, 1982). This is in contrast to Svalbard which has been shown to be more recently active (10-12 Ma, Prestvik, 1978).

Some volcanic activity and a few dykes (related to steeply-dipping deep-seated faults trending north-south) occur in the Devonian Graben of Spitsbergen (Max and Ohta, 1988). Amundsen *et al.* (1987) show several Tertiary and Quaternary (60 and 11 Ma) volcanic centers aligned along the northwestern margin of the Tertiary Basin (Hjelle and Lauritzen, 1984). Quaternary volcanic centers: Sverrefjell, Sigurdfjell and Halvdanpiggen (Figure 3-6), are found in the Woodfjorden and Bockfjorden, (Hoel and Holtedahl, 1911; Gjelsvik, 1963; Prestvik, 1978; Skjelvåle *et al.*, 1989). The Sverrefjell stratovolcano consists of interbedded pillow lavas and pahoehoe lava flows (few to several hundreds of meters) containing a wide variety of xenoliths (Skjelvåle *et al.*, 1989). Thermal springs, Jotunkjeldane and Trollkjeldane are located 2 km north and 5 km south respectively of the uneroded Sverrefjell volcanic cone. Sellevoll *et al.* (1991) show that a 3 km thick transitional Moho Zone occurs under the Central Spitsbergen Tertiary Basin that is characteristic of mobilized lower crust or igneous underplating. The northern prolongation of deep-seated faults, in the middle of the Yermak Plateau, is coincident with a positive magnetic anomaly that suggests submarine volcanics. Bonatti and Michael (1989) also suggest that fresh peridotites might be found in this area indicative of oceanic rather than continental mantle material.

Further to the northeast, dolerites and some lavas of Mesozoic ages (145 and 105 Ma, Figure 3-6) occur on both sides of Hinlopenstretet (Johnson and Rich, 1986). Lower Cretaceous doleritic flows (120 Ma) are common on Spitsbergen, Nordaustlandet, Barentsøya, Edgeøya, (Harland, 1971; Prestvik, 1978; Birkenmajer, 1981; Campsie *et al.*, 1988), Kong Karls Land, and in Franz Josef Land, (Campsie *et al.*, 1988). Further east, Siberian plateau basalts are dated as late-Permian/Mesozoic (256 Ma) in Siberia (Green *et al.*, 1984; Johnson and Rich, 1986).

4. HEAT FLOW

In the Norwegian-Greenland Sea, the average heat flow (113 mW/m^2 , Langseth and Zielinski, 1974) is higher than in oceans of comparable age (Figure 3-7). However, a relationship exists between decreasing heat flow and increasing age of the oceanic crust or distance from the active spreading axis (Figure 3-8). Heat flow falls off at a decreasing rate from the mid-oceanic ridge towards the oldest oceanic crust at the margins, but this pattern is interrupted by the occurrence of high values on the margins. In general, the Norwegian-Greenland Sea can be subdivided into two main heat flow provinces: (1) active plate boundaries, by high average heat flow, and (2) passive margins characterized by above average and scattered values averaging about 100 mW/m^2 . The rough basement topography and thin sediments in the rise axis area (approximately within 100 km of the spreading axis) plus volcanic and structural segmentation of the mid-ocean ridge probably cause spatial variability in the heat flow along and across the mid-ocean ridge (Langseth and Zielinski, 1974; Crane, 1985; Crane *et al.*, 1982, 1988, 1991). In chapter 5, heat flow data will be discussed in detail and thermally derived crustal ages are compared to magnetic ages along the eastern margins of the slow-spreading Norwegian-Greenland Sea.

Heat flow reaches 257 mW/m^2 over the Kolbeinsey Ridge and 75 mW/m^2 over the deactivated Aegir Ridge whereas heat flow on the Mohns Ridge reaches up to $143\text{-}268 \text{ mW/m}^2$. Although there are not many data points along the ridges, heat flow values do show a good relationship to age correlated with a well-developed magnetic anomaly pattern adjacent to the Mohns and Kolbeinsey Ridges (Langseth and Zielinski, 1974).

Heat flow measurements from the southern Knipovich Ridge is in excess of 461 mW/m^2 and reaches 371 mW/m^2 over the northern section (Crane *et al.*, 1982, 1988, 1991). At the intersection of the northern Knipovich Ridge with the Molloy Transform Fault along the Western Svalbard Margin, heat flow reaches 197 mW/m^2 . In this location the plate boundary is often covered by sediment allowing one to gather heat flow data more efficiently at the actual center of spreading (Figure 3-9). At the Molloy Ridge, heat flow reaches 285 mW/m^2 and 229 mW/m^2 near the Lena Trough in the

northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea. Exceptionally high heat flow values on the Nansen Ridge (>1164 mW/m²) were reported by Sundvor and Torp (1987).

5. SEISMIC ACTIVITY

Earthquake seismicity data have been collected by using local networks and individual stations in the Svalbard Archipelago, and the Norwegian-Greenland Sea and eastern Arctic Ocean (Sykes, 1965, 1967; Huseybe *et al.*, 1975; Bungum *et al.*, 1982; Chan *et al.*, 1985; Mitchell *et al.*, 1990). Most epicenters are located in a narrow continuous belt at the center of the mid-ocean ridge axes where earthquake clustering is an expression of the stepwise orientation of the present-day plate boundary in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Figure 3-10; Myhre and Eldholm, 1988; Vogt, 1986). The changes from one seismic province to the next are abrupt. Fault plane solutions indicate that the motion along the Mohns, Knipovich, Molloy and Nansen Ridges is normal (Huseybe *et al.*, 1975; Savostin and Karasik, 1981), and along fracture zones (the Jan Mayen and Spitsbergen Fracture Zones) is strike-slip (Sykes, 1967; Savostin and Karasik, 1981). The Mohns Ridge is the most seismically active ridge segment in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea.

Boundaries occur at the Jan Mayen Fracture Zone and the area where the Mohns-Knipovich Ridge system bends. Left-lateral shearing appears in a roughly E-W direction along the Jan Mayen Fracture Zone (Burr and Solomon, 1978). Along the Knipovich Ridge the seismicity has an asymmetric pattern centered over the eastern flanks of the rift valley. Fault plane solutions on the Spitsbergen Shear Zone show right lateral strike-slip movement (Savostin and Karasik, 1981). North of about 77°N, the level of seismic activity decreases on the Knipovich Ridge (Mitchell *et al.*, 1990).

6. MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

Magnetic lineations in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea consist of irregularly spaced, low-amplitude anomalies (Figure 3-11). Along some areas of the plate boundaries, as well as volcanic margins, and marginal plateaus magnetic lineations are either not clear or are absent. Several studies

(Vogt *et al.*, 1979a, 1979b; Åm, 1975; Phillips *et al.*, 1982; Kovacs and Vogt, 1982; Kovacs *et al.*, 1982) interpreted those areas (for example, the northern Vøring Plateau, Senja Margin, northern Knipovich Ridge and southern Yermak Plateau) as "magnetic smooth zones" (Figure 3-12).

Thermal blanketing effect by sediments in the rift valley, slow and oblique spreading, fragmentation due to the shift of the ridge axis, and short lived fracture zones (fossil ridges), are several factors that can modify the nature of magnetic anomalies and make them difficult to interpret. A rapid decrease in depth to the magnetic basement could influence the character of the seafloor spreading-type magnetic anomalies. In general, the depth to magnetic basement is thought to be less than 1 km for the entire Norwegian-Greenland Sea region (Zielinski, 1979; Kovacs and Vogt, 1982). Eldholm *et al.* (1979) suggests that an exceptionally high rate of basalt accumulations (a wider than normal zone of injection) formed either during the initial phase of seafloor spreading or during an abrupt change in the magnetic susceptibility adjacent to the magnetic smooth zone.

The plate boundary around the Spitsbergen Shear Zone is not well-defined because most magnetic anomalies are absent, and the central anomalies are ambiguous. Along the rift valley of the Knipovich Ridge no clear seafloor spreading-type magnetic anomalies are observed (Vogt *et al.*, 1981). They either do not exist (magnetic smooth zones) or have very small amplitudes (Eldholm *et al.*, 1987). However, relatively "punctiform-shaped" high-amplitude magnetic signatures are observed along the Knipovich Ridge presumably associated with major localized volcanic events. Géli *et al.* (1994) observed three high anomaly amplitudes, in excess of 1200 nT, and interpreted them as lava injection centers. A bathymetric high just to the east of the Hovgård Fracture Zone/Ridge is characterized by a high-amplitude magnetic signature suggesting that the feature is an off-axial volcanic feature.

7. GRAVITY

In general, at a slow-spreading mid-ocean ridge, the free-air gravity anomaly signal is mostly dominated by the variations in the seafloor relief. In addition, over the rift valley inner floor, gravity lows are centered on the bathymetric lows. Figure 3-13 shows the distribution of free-air gravity

anomalies in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea. Observations have shown that the Mohns Ridge has a well-defined free-air gravity anomaly pattern characterized along the rift valley by a belt of minimum values. Paralleling the rift valley on both sides, two approximately 80-100 km wide belts of maximum gravity lie in excess of 50 mGal (Talwani and Eldholm, 1977; Grønlie and Talwani, 1982). Outside the rift valley, very high anomaly values are found, up to 110 mGal on the northwestern shoulder, and up to 80 mGal on the southeastern shoulder. Within the ridge axis an en-échelon pattern is present, and the rift valley is defined by the 30 mGal contours (Grønlie and Talwani, 1978, 1982).

The Knipovich Ridge is associated with low gravity anomalies (20 mGal). Grønlie and Talwani (1982) suggest that these low anomalies along the rift valley might be caused by partially melted low density basalts derived from the upper mantle. By contrast, the gravity anomaly on the Hovgård Fracture Zone is broken into two isolated peaks of 173 and 131 mGal indicating high density crust beneath it (Myhre and Eldholm, 1988).

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EASTERN MARGINS

1. MORPHO-TECTONIC TRANSECTS OF THE EASTERN MARGINS

The continental shelves and margins bordering the Norwegian-Greenland Sea are deep and morphologically irregular. Glacial valleys stretch from the land, through fjords, onto the continental shelves as evidence of the ice load since the last ice age (Vogt *et al.*, 1981, 1993). The eastern margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea can be divided into three morpho-tectonically distinct regions: The Norwegian Margin, the Senja-Western Svalbard Margin, and the northern Svalbard-Nordautlandet Margin.

a. Norwegian Margin:

The Norwegian Margin is dominated by the Eastern Jan Mayen Fracture Zone System and the Vøring Plateau (Figure 3-14). The Jan Mayen Fracture Zone System (the Western, Eastern and Central Jan Mayen Fracture Zones, and the Norway Basin Fracture Zone) transects the entire southern

Norwegian-Greenland Seafloor (Torske and Prestvik, 1991). At its western terminus, numerous seamounts comprise the volcanically-active Jan Mayen Island, which rises to an elevation of 2277 m above sea level (Sylvester, 1975). In contrast, nodal deeps (depressions at ridge-transform intersections) occur at the intersection of the southern terminus of the Mohns Ridge with the West Jan Mayen Fracture Zone (Vogt, 1986).

The eastern continuation of the Jan Mayen Fracture Zone System forms a tectonic boundary between Norway (65.5°N, 6°E) and the Lofoten Basins along the Norwegian Margin. The extinct spreading axis of the Aegir Ridge (65°N, 5°W, a broad 25 km long valley with depths of 4000-4500 m) lies in the southern Norway Basin. The Vøring Plateau, bounded by this extinct ridge and the Eastern Jan Mayen Fracture Zone reaches an average depth of 1450 m adjacent to the Norwegian Margin (Mutter *et al.*, 1984; Eldholm *et al.*, 1989). A continent-oceanic crustal transition defines the Vøring Plateau Escarpment and separates the Vøring Basin from the Lofoten Basin (Talwani and Eldholm, 1972; Eldholm *et al.*, 1989; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1989). The escarpment continues to about 69.3°N defining a region between the Vøring Plateau and the Lofoten Fracture Zone (Torske and Prestvik, 1991).

Large-scale marginal faulting along the coastline of Lofoten and Vesterålen Islands (landward of the Lofoten Fracture Zone) has been suggested by many authors and the over deepened marginal channels are thought by some to reflect actual fault lines (Hotendahl, 1960). However, Talwani and Eldholm (1972) concluded that these marginal channels were probably caused by glacial erosion.

b. Senja Margin:

The Senja Margin, oriented obliquely to the Mohns Ridge, is located between 71°N and Bjørnøya (Bear Island) north of 74°N, and is bounded by the Senja Fracture Zone (south of 73°N, Figure 3-15). To the east of the Senja margin, the continental slope of the southwest Barents Sea contains a submarine fan situated in front of the Bear Island Trough which has accumulated more than 7 km of sediment locally since continental breakup about 55 Ma (Faleide *et al.*, 1984). The Bear Island submarine fan is a depocenter and has a width of 220 km at its proximal part and 400 km at a

depth of 2000 m (Vorren *et al.*, 1989; Vogt *et al.*, 1993); it extends over 280 km beyond the shelf break, and is characterized by numerous thin mudflows up to 200 km-long which reach the Mohs Ridge valley (from the SeaMARC-II data, Vogt *et al.*, 1993). On the southern Senja Margin, a large deep-seated salt massif was mapped at a depth of more than 10 km (Myhre *et al.*, 1982).

c. Western Svalbard Margin:

The Western Svalbard Margin extends from Bjørnøya to 80°N adjacent to the Hornsund Fault Zone (Figure 3-15). In this region, the continental shelf is approximately 75 km wide and it narrows to approximately 10 km, near the northwestern tip of Svalbard. The shelf morphology reflects features caused by glacial activity during the last few million years along Svalbard (Vogt *et al.*, 1993). The continent-ocean transition along the Western Svalbard Margin, between 75.5°N and 76.5°N, is defined by the Hornsund Fault, with almost a 5-10 km continuous throw (Sundvor and Austegård, 1990). The Hornsund Fault parallels the active Knipovich Ridge, and extends to the southwestern edge of the Yermak Plateau (80°N, Sundvor *et al.*, 1977, 1982a, 1982b; Okay and Crane, 1993).

d. Northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet Margin:

Three distinct features dominate the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet Margin (Figure 3-15): the southern part of the Yermak Plateau, the Hinlopen Strait (Hinlopenstretet, between Spitsbergen and Nordauslandet Islands) and the Nordauslandet Margin.

The Yermak Plateau, covered by a thick layer of sediments, extends for 235 km from 80°N to 83°N and its arcuate shape averages 125 km in width (Sundvor *et al.*, 1977, 1978, 1982a). The Yermak Plateau is flat-topped and trends in a northeast-southwest direction, narrowing to the northeast (Sundvor *et al.*, 1982a, 1982b). To the west is a narrow shelf (1 km-wide) bordered by a 300 m high escarpment with a 1:10 gradient (Sundvor and Austegård, 1990). The southwestern flank of the plateau drops to a depth of more than 4000 m merging with the Molloy Deep (Sundvor *et al.*, 1982a). The southern plateau lies at the northeastern edge of the active Eurasian Plate boundary, along the Knipovich Ridge and Molloy Transform Fault.

The northern slope of the Yermak Plateau is bordered by the flank of the Nansen Ridge which extends across the Eurasia Basin where it runs into the Siberian Margin near the outflow of the Lena River into the eastern Arctic Ocean. On the opposite side of the Fram Strait from the northern Yermak Plateau lies the Morris Jesup Rise (500 to 1000 m deep) adjacent to the northeastern Greenland Margin (Feden *et al.*, 1979; Vogt *et al.*, 1981).

The central and southern Yermak Plateau is topographically irregular and is broken by numerous normal faults (Sundvor *et al.*, 1982b; Okay and Crane, 1993) that are thought to extend into the northern margin of Svalbard where Cenozoic volcanic centers lie aligned along Woodfjorden (Prestvik, 1978; Amundsen *et al.*, 1987; Skjelvåle *et al.*, 1989). A large submarine canyon (the Sofia Canyon, Sundvor *et al.*, 1982a) incises deeply into the eastern flank of the southern Yermak Plateau. It serves as a major conduit for sediment transport for the northern Svalbard Margin.

Further to the east, the Hinlopenstretet divides the island of Spitsbergen from Nordaustlandet. Deep canyons cut the margin north of Hinlopenstretet, west of Kongsfjorden (80°15' N) and off Islefjorden (Ohta, 1972; Pfirman, 1989). The canyon near the Hinlopenstretet forms a channel-like feature crossing the entire margin. This U-shaped depression is about 11-12 km-wide, 400 m-deep and is thought to have been formed by glacial activity (Kristoffersen *et al.*, 1982; Pfirman, 1989).

2. SEISMIC-REFLECTION AND -REFRACTION DATA

The continental margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea and Eastern Arctic Ocean have been studied mostly by multichannel seismic surveys to understand the lithospheric extension processes (Talwani and Eldholm, 1972; Sundvor *et al.*, 1977, 1978, 1979; Mutter *et al.*, 1982; Kristoffersen *et al.*, 1982; Roberts and Ginzburg, 1984; Eldholm *et al.*, 1986; Hinz *et al.*, 1987; Mutter *et al.*, 1988; Larsen and Jakobsdóttir, 1988; Eldholm *et al.*, 1989; Eldholm and Grue, 1994). A large number of multichannel seismic reflection and refraction surveys have been carried out at the Vøring Margin (Eldholm *et al.*, 1979; Mutter *et al.*, 1982, 1984; Hinz *et al.*, 1987; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1989), the Western Svalbard-Barents Margin (Schlüter and Hinz, 1978; Sundvor *et al.*, 1979; Myhre *et al.*, 1982; Eldholm *et al.*, 1987; Myhre and Eldholm, 1988), northern Svalbard and the

southern Yermak Plateau (Sundvor *et al.*, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1982a, 1982b; Sundvor and Austegård, 1990; Faleide *et al.*, 1991) and in the Eurasia Basin (Kristoffersen *et al.*, 1982; Jackson *et al.*, 1984). Most of these studies have imaged the sedimentary column and provided only modest constraints on basement structure.

Hinz and Weber (1976), Hinz (1981) and Mutter *et al.* (1988) first noticed the extensive suites of seaward dipping reflectors on the Vøring Plateau from multichannel seismic reflection profiles. These results indicated seaward diverging wedges beneath the smooth acoustic basement of the Outer Vøring Plateau (on the Norwegian Margin, Eldholm *et al.*, 1987) and Faeroe-Shetland Escarpment (on the Møre Margin, Roberts *et al.*, 1984). Based on sonobuoy refraction and a few two-ship refraction measurements, Mutter *et al.* (1982) showed that the crust beneath the seaward dipping units of the Vøring Plateau was at least twice the thickness of normal oceanic crust. Dipping reflectors located immediately seaward of the Faeroe-Shetland Escarpment are not associated with seafloor spreading magnetic anomaly lineaments (Talwani and Eldholm, 1977).

The Vøring Plateau Escarpment has been mapped to 68.4°N from seismic data (Mutter *et al.*, 1982, 1984; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987). The escarpment was considered by Talwani and Eldholm (1972, 1977) to be an early Tertiary structure, marking a distinct boundary between continental and oceanic crust. They showed that the acoustic basement of the Outer Vøring Plateau formed a basement high with a smooth and highly reflective summit, quite unlike the rough acoustic signature usually associated with oceanic basement. They also recognized that the oldest marine magnetic isochron-24 occurring in the deep, adjacent Lofoten Basin continued into the region of smooth acoustic basement of the Outer Vøring Plateau. Leg 38 of the Deep Sea Drilling Program drilled into acoustic basement of the Vøring Plateau and confirmed Talwani and Eldholm's (1977) prediction that the basement was comprised of basalts (Talwani *et al.*, 1976), supporting the interpretation that the outer plateau was constructed of oceanic crust.

Seaward-dipping reflectors define a zone which consists of an inner part where the dipping wedge commonly rests on a base reflector, and an outer part where there is no apparent base to the wedge (Figure 3-16). Eldholm and Grue (1994) have named it the Zone-III. The continental-oceanic

crustal transition (the Zone-III and -IV boundaries) is placed at the seaward termination of the base reflector (Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987). The base reflector continues into Zone-II which lies between the apex of the wedge and an escarpment, or a distinct flow front. Zone-I represents lavas landward of the escarpments.

Eldholm *et al.* (1984) have placed the continent-oceanic crustal transition near the seaward termination of reflector-K (Figure 3-16). Mutter *et al.* (1984) believe that this reflector may mark the dike/lava transition. The acoustic basement is overlain by a 1-km thick low-seismic velocity zone of uncertain origin (possibly sedimentary sequences), and broken by several normal faults. The Escarpment is also associated with a zone of smooth opaque basement (5.3-6.3 km/s; Mutter *et al.*, 1984) that separates the Inner Vøring Plateau from the Outer Vøring Plateau (an area with the oldest spreading lineations and thin sediments). North of the Vøring Plateau a similar escarpment in the Lofoten Basin has been noticed with a shallow acoustic basement (Eldholm *et al.*, 1979). Below the sediments of the Lofoten Basin lies a typical oceanic crust increasing in thickness from 5 to 8 km under the escarpment.

The marginal highs contain thick seaward dipping wedges (Mutter *et al.*, 1984; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1989; Eldholm and Grue, 1994). Velocities in the dipping wedges increase with depth, reaching ~6.5 km/s in the deepest part (4-6 km below the top). Local shallow low-velocity layers related to the basalt-dacitic flow transition, have been reported in the Zone-II (Mutter *et al.*, 1984; Mutter and Zehnder, 1988; Mjelde *et al.*, 1992). In the absence of a marginal high on the Lofoten margin, Eldholm and Grue (1994) infer the Zone-I and II boundary from lateral change in the velocity (Mjelde *et al.*, 1992).

The entire Western Svalbard-Barents Margin is characterized by a thick Cenozoic low-velocity sedimentary wedge with velocities of 2.9-4.8 km/s superimposed upon oceanic crust with an average seismic velocity of 7.1 km/s (Myhre *et al.*, 1982, 1992). The continent-oceanic crustal transition on the Senja and Western Svalbard Margins (Figure 3-17, between 70°N and 77°N) was established based on the structural boundaries seen in the multichannel seismic data (Myhre, 1984; Myhre and Eldholm, 1988; Faleide *et al.*, 1991). The main feature is a north-northwest trending fault

system on the continental shelf between 74° and 79°N. The existence of a major fault, representing a significant geological boundary, beneath the shelf was first recognized by Sundvor and Eldholm (1979) who named it the Hornsund Fault Zone. Myhre (1984) mapped the continent-oceanic crustal transition close to the Hornsund Fault Zone. Along the Hornsund Fault Zone the seaward dipping reflectors (4.5 and 5.5 km/s, Myhre and Eldholm, 1988) mark the transition. Myhre and Eldholm (1988) confirmed that this fault zone forms a complex region of continent-ocean transition along the Western Svalbard Margin. Between 75° and 77°N, the fault zone is dominated by a system of downfaulted blocks with small individual throws (Sundvor and Austegård, 1990). To the north this fault zone is characterized by a major fault scarp with a throw of almost 6 km (Sundvor and Austegård, 1990).

The southern part of the Yermak Plateau has been covered by a series of multichannel seismic reflection surveys and sonobuoy reflection experiments (Sundvor *et al.*, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1982a; Austegård, 1982). From near the coast of northern Spitsbergen (81.43°N) an opaque reflector, called 0, has been mapped as acoustic basement (Figure 3-18). It is block-faulted and buried with sediments. The multichannel seismic data (Sundvor and Austegård, 1990) confirm this interpretation with a 4.5 km thick layer of 5-5.7 km/s velocity structure. Based on these seismic data, Sundvor and Austegård (1990) established a tentative continent-ocean boundary on the northern Svalbard Margin.

Sundvor *et al.* (1982a) traced this reflector as far south as 25 km from the northwestern part of Spitsbergen and suggested a connection to the high grade Precambrian gneiss complex (Hecla Hoek) exposed onshore. This continuous basement reflector below a sedimentary basin of a maximum thickness of 4.5 km, correlates with a 5-5.7 km/s refractor velocity (Austegård, 1982). This part of the margin also corresponds to the area of smooth magnetic field reported by Feden *et al.* (1979). The distance between magnetic isochron-24 and the suggested continent-oceanic crustal transition is approximately 100 km, or twice the width of crust of unknown origin indicated by Vogt *et al.* (1979). A 5.5 km/s refractor exists in the entire Hinlopenstretet (Sundvor *et al.*, 1978). In many profiles, another seismic refractor (below this 5.5 km/s layer) appears to have velocities of 5.8-6.6 km/s

indicating an acoustic basement along the northern Svalbard-Nordostlandet Margin (Kristoffersen *et al.*, 1982; Sundvor and Austegård, 1990).

3. SEDIMENTATION ALONG THE EASTERN MARGINS

Sedimentation in the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea and eastern Arctic basin was influenced by glaciation of the surrounding continents and shelves. The modern depositional environment of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea is highly asymmetric (in an E-W direction) because of the surface water masses and pack ice cover of the Eastern Greenland current regime. Marginal highs which now are buried, acted as barriers to the terrigenous sediments during the early stage of basin formation (Eldholm and Windisch, 1974). Analyses of results from the Ocean Drilling Project-Leg 104 (Eldholm *et al.*, 1987) established that the Northern Hemisphere experienced a multitude of glaciations during at least the past 2.56 Ma (Thiede *et al.*, 1989). In this region, a thick sequence of hemipelagic and pelagic sediments rests on terrigenous material overlying volcanic basement. Post opening sediment thicknesses range from zero at the axis of the mid-ocean ridge, on some basement highs and on steep escarpments to over 2 km along parts of the continental margin (Grønlie and Talwani, 1978).

On Leg 38 of the Deep Sea Drilling Project the oldest post-opening sediment recovered was late Paleocene-early Eocene, on the crest of the Vøring Plateau (Schrader *et al.*, 1976). A major hiatus was found over the Vøring Plateau which, changed from a high-energy, shallow, terrigenous province to an open-water pelagic, biogenic-dominated depositional region.

The Lofoten-Vesterålen area is characterized by a large accumulation of sediments of which the main part is of pre-Tertiary age, deposited prior to the opening of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Eldholm *et al.*, 1984). The total sediment thickness is small (1 to 2 km) and, apart from an irregular thin local upper layer of Quaternary age, sediments are all consolidated (Talwani and Eldholm, 1972).

The age and distribution of the sediments along the Svalbard Margin agrees with the tectonic model for the opening of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Myhre *et al.*, 1988). Terrigenous accumulations of 6 to 7 km or more (Senja-Western Svalbard Margin) were laid down on igneous

oceanic crust (Eldholm and Windisch, 1974; Myhre *et al.*, 1982, 1992). Sediment sequences of varying thickness overlie an unconformity (upper regional unconformity) along the Senja-Western Svalbard Margin. The thickest sedimentary sequence occurs at the margin and is between 900 and 1000 m's thick (assuming a velocity of 2 km/s in the sediments, Vorren *et al.*, 1989). A massif of salt, found by Faleide *et al.* (1984) was dated as Permian. Nearby in the southwestern Barents Sea a sequence of Lower Cretaceous sediments accumulated with rapid subsidence and subsequent salt mobilization (Myhre *et al.*, 1982).

Further north, along the Western Svalbard Margin, Schlüter and Hinz (1978) mapped an uppermost sedimentary unit which is thought to consist of Pliocene-Pleistocene muds interbedded with turbidites and mass-transported sand related to glacial-interglacial periods. Myhre and Eldholm (1988) proposed that there was a considerable increase in sediment deposition at 5-6 Ma, based on interpretation of seismic profiles. In addition, Myhre and Eldholm (1988) estimate that prior to mid-Miocene the sedimentation rates were approximately 100 mm/y. Since the Miocene (during the last 5 my) the rates have increased to more than 300 mm/y. Faleide *et al.* (1984) suggest an unconformity at the base of the upper Paleocene. It was about this time that block faulting was initiated adjacent to Svalbard. Faleide *et al.* (1984) interpreted the unconformity as a result of tectonic activity near the present continent-oceanic crustal transition. Myhre *et al.* (1982) proposed that all of the sediment north of 76°N and seaward of the Hornsund Fault Zone was deposited since the mid-Oligocene.

Depocenters occur along the Western Svalbard Margin (Kongsfjorden, Isfjorden, Storfjordrenna) with approximate velocities of 1.9-2.2 km/s and thicknesses of 1.6-2.4 s (Myhre and Eldholm, 1988). The location of depocenters seaward of east-west fjord systems and submarine depressions indicate high offshore sedimentation rates associated with late Cenozoic glaciations (Myhre and Eldholm, 1988). During the Deep Sea Drilling Program-Leg 38, Site 344 was drilled just east of the Knipovich Ridge (toward the margin). In this site, about 377 m of glacial marine sediments were recovered above the basement. Talwani *et al.* (1976) dated basal sediments as lower Pliocene or upper Miocene. Along the entire continental margin a wedge of Tertiary sediments has prograded into the ocean forming the present passive margin (Myhre *et al.*, 1982).

During glacial periods, the Norwegian-Greenland Sea is thought to have been more or less covered by sea ice including extensive glacier coverage of the Barents and Greenland shelves (Thiede *et al.*, 1990). The last glacial maximum is documented as approximately 18 thousand years ago (CLIMAP, 1976). Fram Strait may have played an important role in the feedback of the glacial-interglacial cycles (Thiede *et al.*, 1990). During the Ocean Drilling Program-Leg 151 (Arctic Gateway-I, Mhyre *et al.*, 1994) physical property measurements on sediments suggest episodic overcompaction during the Quaternary, which may have resulted from periodic burden by glacial ice. Sediments include minor amounts of biogenic material perhaps because of low productivity due to ice cover, dilution by siliciclastics, and dissolution. Analyses of dropstone abundances indicate an intensification of glacial conditions about 3.5 Ma, marked by the first occurrences of dropstones. These data suggest episodic intensive ice-rafting in the period 3.5 to 1.0 Ma. Sedimentation rates at the Yermak Plateau are extremely high ranging about 10 to 200 m/Ma during the Quaternary.

As the high latitude northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea, and the shallow shelves bordering northern Svalbard might have been covered by a grounded ice sheet during the Quaternary Glaciation, the fault bounded continental margin of Nordaustlandet was substantially modified by glacial scour and later day bottom currents associated with melt waters. Mass sediment transport in response to ice movement is an important process on the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet Margin (Okay *et al.*, 1991). Sediments are texturally heterogeneous due to the major role played by ice in their origin, transport and deposition. Sediment surfaces are often strained by the ice impact leaving plow marks and later modified by strong contour currents (Vogt *et al.*, 1994).

4. HEAT FLOW

Heat flow values are high (average 124 mW/m²) relative to crustal age along/near volcanic marginal plateaus on the eastern margin of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Figure 3-8). On the Norwegian Margin there is an increase of heat flow toward the continental margin (reaching from 41 to 87 mW/m²). Heat flow values on the Vøring Plateau range from 43 to 118 mW/m², while heat flow averages 105 mW/m² near the East Jan Mayen Fracture Zone. Heat flow reaches 96 mW/m² along the

Jan Mayen Ridge and 217 mW/m^2 at its southern terminus with northern Iceland (Langseth and Zielinski, 1974).

Heat flow values near the Senja Fracture Zone range from $81\text{-}103 \text{ mW/m}^2$. In contrast, although the data are fewer, the heat flow values along the east coast of Greenland at a comparable latitude are considerably lower (67 to 84 mW/m^2). Heat flow reaches 140 mW/m^2 along the Western Svalbard Margin at 75°N (over the Hornsund Fault) and 100 mW/m^2 at 78°N .

Along the northern Svalbard-Hinlopen-Nordauslandet Margin heat flow data are scarce but range (from 61 to 109 mW/m^2). Over the southern Yermak Plateau on the northern Svalbard Margin (Figure 3-9), heat flow ranges from 104 to 138 mW/m^2 (Crane *et al.*, 1982; Sundvor, 1986; Crane *et al.*, 1991). In contrast, the northern part of the Yermak Plateau is colder ranging from ($54\text{-}92 \text{ mW/m}^2$, Jackson *et al.*, 1984; Sundvor, 1986; Sundvor and Torp, 1987). Off-axial heat flow highs are also observed along the northeastern Nordauslandet Margin (109 mW/m^2 , Sundvor and Torp, 1987). No heat flow data have been reported from the region of Kong Karls Land and southern Svalbard within the Barents Sea.

A full analysis of heat flow data will be presented in Chapter 5, corrected for variable rates and durations of sedimentation and then reinterpreted in the context of thermal rejuvenation along the margins.

5. SEISMICITY

Intraplate seismicity is highly concentrated between the Knipovich Ridge area and the eastern margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea, as well as on the western Svalbard Platform (Figure 3-19). Earthquakes on Spitsbergen occur along major N-S trending normal faults, such as the Hornsund, Billefjorden, and Lomfjorden Fault Zones. Mitchell *et al.* (1990) suggest that the maximum principal stress axis indicates extension (in the E-W direction), and is perpendicular to the major faults and continental margin orientation.

There are highly active and concentrated seismic zones in Heer Land in southwestern Svalbard (77.8°N , 18°E) and in northern Nordauslandet where earthquake zones are located close to

the landward side of the continental margin (Figure 3-19). The frequency of earthquakes in Heer Land and Nordaustlandet appears to be much higher than that along the oceanic ridge system (Mitchell *et al.*, 1990). In contrast, seismicity is low in the region of the West Spitsbergen Orogeny. Mitchell *et al.* (1990) suggest that the Heer Land Zone correlates intraplate seismicity having b -values of 1.4 to pre-existing faults (mostly compressional and shear movements). On northern Nordaustlandet, two concentrations (extension- and shear-dominated intraplate movements with an apparently NNW-orientation) occur with both b -values of 0.5 (Bungum and Kristoffersen, 1980). If the b -value is a measure of the relative abundance of large and small earthquakes, (an approximate average for b is 1) a small value for b indicates that small earthquakes are not so frequent and that large earthquakes are more likely to occur. Small values for b are usually characteristic of continental rifts and regions with deep earthquake foci, and large values for b are typical of mid-ocean ridges.

6. MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

Much of the eastern margin of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea is characterized by "magnetic smooth zones" without hint of clear magnetic anomaly age identifiers (Figure 3-12). The section of the margin most easily dated is the Vøring Plateau. The Vøring Plateau Escarpment is characterized by a distinctive short-wavelength negative magnetic anomaly (Talwani and Eldholm, 1977). Landward of anomaly 24 lies strips of crust about 50 km wide that are magnetically featureless (Vogt *et al.*, 1981; Srivastava, 1985) and thus may mark the continent-oceanic transition (Vogt, 1986). The magnetic character along the Lofoten Margin (northern Vøring Plateau) alters between seafloor spreading anomalies and magnetic smooth zones (Figure 3-11).

In addition to the magnetic smooth zones which characterize parts of the southeastern margin, the magnetic character of the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea is also confused, not coherent or entirely absent. Åm (1975) from aeromagnetic measurements, suggested Mesozoic doleritic and gabbroic intrusions on the shelf and upper slope along the margin similar to those observed in Svalbard. Although magnetic anomalies align symmetrically about the Mohns Ridge, at its

northernmost terminus, where the ridge abuts the paleo-Senja and Greenland Fracture Zones, no clear definition in the background magnetic field is observed (Kovacs *et al.*, 1982).

Even further to the north the high amplitude magnetic anomalies over the Hovgård Fracture Zone may indicate a displacement of this fragment from the Western Svalbard Margin (Myhre *et al.*, 1992). Like parts of the southeastern margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea, a magnetic smooth zone was reported by Feden *et al.* (1979) on the southern part of the Yermak Plateau, north of the Spitsbergen Fracture Zone. In contrast, the northern Yermak Plateau is characterized by high amplitude and long-wavelength magnetic anomalies, varying from 300 to 1600 nT (Figure 3-11; Feden *et al.*, 1979; Kovacs *et al.*, 1982; Jackson *et al.*, 1984).

7. GRAVITY

The eastern margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea are characterized by locally varying free-air gravity anomalies (from -50 to more than 100 mGal, Figure 3-13, Grønlie and Talwani, 1982; Faleide *et al.*, 1984). On the Vøring Plateau, free-air gravity anomalies reach 50 mGal (or more). However, a negative gravity anomaly (37 mGal) occurs inside the plateau escarpment. A prominent landward-dipping escarpment has been mapped at 68.9°N coincident with a steep gravity gradient (Talwani and Eldholm, 1972). The Eastern Jan Mayen Fracture Zone is characterized by negative gravity anomalies (37 mGal) and the active portion of the fracture zone is characterized by positive values (>100 mGal). North of the Vøring Plateau in the Lofoten Basin, a large positive anomaly (>100 mGal) may indicate some high density crust under the Lofoten-Vesterålen Islands.

The Senja Gravity High (in excess of 135 mGal) is oriented in a N-NW direction between 70°N and 73.5°N at the Senja Margin (Figure 3-13). In this region, the gravity high is correlated with seaward dipping reflectors and also high heat flow. It is difficult to resolve low-amplitude magnetic features on the shelf and upper slope, where the gravity-field is very quiet except for some local areas of high-amplitude anomalies (Åm, 1975). The anomaly could be explained by a 30 to 60 km-wide subbasement-reflector intrusive. Talwani and Eldholm (1977) suggest that the Senja Gravity High defines the eastern extension of the Greenland Fracture Zone now buried by sediments. Around the

Greenland Fracture Zone a large positive anomaly (approximately 117 mGal) may also be indicative of high-density crust located beneath the ridge (Grønlie and Talwani, 1982). A 20- to 50-km wide belt of positive isostatic anomalies is located just seaward of the Greenland Escarpment.

At approximately 74°N, southwest of Bjørnøya, there is a gravity high (10 to 200 km-long) of 80 mGal trending in a NE direction (Faleide *et al.*, 1984; Myhre and Eldholm, 1988). A series of positive landward anomalies, linearly aligned between Bjørnøya and 76.5°N appear parallel to the shelf edge. The Bjørnøya Gravity Anomaly has a shape and amplitude similar to the anomalies over the neighboring mid-ocean ridge (Myhre, 1984), yet differs from the Senja and the Hornsund anomalies. Myhre (1984) explains this difference and suggests that this gravity anomaly is associated with a structural high trending obliquely to the continental margin. This gravity high has a smaller amplitude than the elongate belts of the Senja and Hornsund Highs and it appears to form a link between them.

In contrast, the Hornsund Fault anomalies trend in a N-NW direction from Bjørnøya to 77.5°N landward of the shelf edge and are thought to mark the continental-oceanic crustal boundary. The anomalies are split into two maxima: Myhre and Eldholm (1988) suggest that the maxima (145 mGal at 75.5°N and 132 mGal at 77°N) are caused by local intrusive bodies surrounded by less dense continental crust along the Hornsund Fault. The shape and location of the main anomaly excludes a topographic edge effect origin (Grønlie and Talwani, 1982; Myhre and Eldholm, 1988). Eldholm *et al.* (1987) suggests that the anomalies may indicate the emplacement of subaerial Icelandic-type basalts during the earliest stage of sea-floor spreading. Along the margin between the Senja and Hornsund Fault Zone, the acoustic basement reflector, forming a marginal high, terminates along northeastern trending faults which are offset along lineaments subparallel with the Senja Fracture Zone and the Hornsund Fault Zone (Myhre and Eldholm, 1988).

C. SUMMARY

The present-day plate boundaries in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea are morpho-tectonically complex features. Both paleo- and presently-active propagating ridges (Aegir, Mohns, and Knipovich)

impacted paleo-shear zones (East Jan Mayen, Vøring, Lofoten, Vesterålen, Senja, and Spitsbergen) which defined the nascent transtensional volcanic margins (Norwegian, Senja, and Svalbard). Most recently, the Mohns Ridge intersected and was deflected into the Spitsbergen Shear Zone forming the Knipovich Ridge in the process. If the present is the key to the past then mid-ocean ridge deflection occurred commonly in the early history of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea.

Studies indicate that complex margin formation in the Norwegian-Greenland Sea is associated with episodic magmatic behavior such as early Tertiary and Neo-volcanic activities (Talwani and Eldholm, 1977; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987; Mutter *et al.*, 1988; Eldholm, 1991; Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993; Eldholm and Grue, 1994). By drilling into the eastern margins' seaward-dipping structure, it was discovered that a series of volcanic flows extruded through continental rock before and during seafloor spreading, thus the continental margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea are dominated by deep fracture zones associated with volcanism. The fracture zones were originally defined by a prominent, elongate, gravity anomaly. Seismic, magnetic and gravity data support this relationship (Eldholm *et al.*, 1987; Myhre and Eldholm, 1988). High positive free-air gravity anomalies are associated with seaward dipping reflector sequences, indicative of high density crust creating the eastern volcanic margins.

The magnetic character also suggests an exceptionally high rate of basalt accumulations at the continent-oceanic transition. Earthquakes occur along the continental-oceanic crustal transition, as well as on the Svalbard Platform with extension-dominated intraplate movements along major N-S trending normal faults associated with diffuse magmatic activity.

The Norwegian-Greenland Sea lies within a broad region of anomalously high heat flow. This high heat flow implies that relatively high temperatures exist at shallow depths in the crust. Thus, in response to the heating, the seafloor in the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea is thermally elevated. However, the questions remain about the level of present-day volcanic activity along the margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea and its correlation to the unusual patterns of intraplate seismicity and presently high heat flow in the regions where crust was thought to be many ten's of millions of years old. To resolve this problem, we collected SeaMARC-II data to investigate whether or not this area of

high seismicity had been volcanically active in the recent past. Our findings from these data are described in the following chapter.

Chapter 4

MORPHO-TECTONIC STUDIES ALONG THE SVALBARD MARGINS:

SeaMARC-II RESULTS

A. SeaMARC-II SURVEYS

Two SeaMARC-II expeditions (1989 and 1990) investigated the previously unmapped seafloor in the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea and the adjacent part of the eastern Arctic Ocean (Crane *et al.*, 1990; Sundvor *et al.*, 1990, 1991; Vogt *et al.*, 1990, 1991, 1993; Okay *et al.*, 1991, 1993; Doss *et al.*, 1991). These expeditions were made possible by the collaboration of several institutions. The Naval Research Laboratory chartered the SeaMARC-II system from the Hawaii Institute of Geophysics. The University of Bergen provided a research vessel, *Håkon Mosby*, including navigation equipment and shipboard-geophysical sensors (3.5 and 38 kHz echo sounders, towed proton precession magnetometer, and gravimeter). Scientific staff, representing the City University of New York, the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, the Hawaii Institute of Geophysics, the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, the Naval Research Laboratory, and the University of Bergen participated. The main objective of my study during these expeditions was to map the regional volcano-tectonic structures and investigate their relationships to the thermal evolution of transtensional volcanic margins adjacent to the northeastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea.

The SeaMARC-II system, as a mapping tool, collects a combination of back-scatter, side-looking sonar imagery and swath-type bathymetry (see Appendix A for a review). This combination facilitates sufficiently accurate descriptions of the seafloor. Volcanics (flows, cones and craters), normal faults, sedimentary and glacial features, and erosional channels are visible on the sonar imagery allowing us to investigate the geological processes operating in the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea and in adjacent parts of the Eastern Arctic Ocean.

During the SeaMARC-II/1989 Expedition the northern part of the Knipovich Ridge, Molloy Transform Fault along the Western Svalbard Margin and southwestern Yermak Plateau were mapped. In the fall of 1990 more than 200 km of Arctic sea-ice melted north of the Svalbard Archipelago. Favorable ice conditions (more open water than at any time in the previous 43 years) allowed the deep ocean research northeastward to 81.8°N, 29°E. The break from impossible conditions also enabled us to obtain the first side-looking sonar data from the deep-water Arctic Ocean. The SeaMARC-II/1990 investigation covered the NE Lofoten Basin, the Bear Island Fan (Bjørnøya), the Hovgård Fracture Zone, and the northern Svalbard and Nordaustlandet margins. The entire area was surveyed in less than 4 weeks under (sometimes) severe-ice and weather conditions. SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar imagery and swath-bathymetry were generally of good quality throughout the cruise although rough weather resulted in the loss of gravity data along the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet Margin.

This chapter combines the results of regional examples of side-looking sonar images, and preliminary geological-geophysical interpretations. Figure 4-1 depicts the track chart of both the 1989 and 1990 SeaMARC-II Expeditions (on a polar stereographic projection). Figure 4-2 illustrates a combination of swath-bathymetry and side-looking sonar imagery mosaics from these expeditions (Plate 1 in Appendix B). These images represent the first side-looking sonar data from the deep-water Eastern Arctic Ocean. As a result of the two-year high-latitude investigations, about 15,000 line kilometers of data were collected, representing approximately 150,000 km² of side-scan imagery and 75,000 km² swath-bathymetry. The SeaMARC-II side looking sonar images have recently been compiled by Crane and Solheim in the Seafloor Atlas of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Crane and Solheim, 1995).

B. GEOLOGY OF THE SVALBARD REGION

1. TECTONICS

Along the northern coast of Svalbard, NW-SE and NNE-SSW trending depressions are dominant on the shelf area. These two directions correspond closely with the conjugate fracture

system in the Caledonian rocks (Birkenmajer, 1981; Ohta, 1982; Max and Ohta, 1988). The area from central to southern Spitsbergen is characterized by large NNW-SSE trending fault zones that were first active in Devonian times (Ohta, 1982). These are of Tertiary age and older than the NNW-SSE striking graben and horst structures (Ohta, 1982; Steel *et al.*, 1985). They indicate a right lateral transpressive stress, perhaps caused by the northward movement of the Greenland plate in Eocene times prior to the opening of the northernmost Atlantic Ocean (Kristoffersen and Talwani, 1978). The fault zones bounding this area, the Lomfjorden Fault and Billefjorden Fault Zone in the east and the Forland Fault Zone in the west, join to become one fault zone in the Heer Land region; and this junction borders the southern Central Tertiary Basin of Spitsbergen (see Figure 3-19 in Chapter 3). Some minor faults trending NE also occur along the west coast of Spitsbergen.

The Central Tertiary Basin in the southern part of Spitsbergen is generally asymmetric and associated with both strike-slip and thrust faulting. The Basin developed east of a strike slip boundary between the Eurasian and Greenland plates in response to the initiation of rifting, and later seafloor spreading in the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Müller and Spielhagen, 1990). The Tertiary deformation appears to be less pronounced eastward and most of the Tertiary faults are younger than the folding (Harland, 1985; Steel *et al.*, 1985). Kellogg (1975) suggested that the main tectonic face may have been initiated in the late Eocene with culmination in the Oligocene. The compressive phase was followed by a period of tensional stresses resulting in formation of the down-faulted blocks and grabens. Holtendahl (1960) suggested an offshore continuation of this Tertiary fault pattern onto the northern Svalbard margin.

2. SURFACE GEOLOGY

There is a succession of sedimentary rocks from the Cambrian to the Tertiary in Svalbard (Figure 4-3). Within the context of plate tectonics, the late Paleozoic and Mesozoic rocks are similar to those in northern Canada, perhaps indicating a continuous sedimentary basin from the Canadian Arctic across Ellesmere Islands to Svalbard prior to the rifting in the Arctic Ocean (Kellogg, 1975). In the late Cretaceous an epirogenic uplift occurred in Svalbard, therefore no late Cretaceous sediments

are observed on land. Most of the Tertiary rocks are located in the southern part of Spitsbergen (the Tertiary Central Basin).

The youngest rocks are believed to be of Oligocene, possibly Miocene age (Flood *et al.*, 1971). The uplifted areas have been a source region for the Tertiary deposits. The older rocks in the northern Spitsbergen and Nordaustlandet were most likely exposed prior to Cenozoic, however, the Hecla Hoek Complex along the Western Svalbard Margin became exposed during the middle Tertiary (Kellogg, 1975). The uplift along the continental margin occurred along a system of northwards trending faults with subsequent erosion of the early Tertiary, Mesozoic and late Paleozoic deposits.

Volcanics are exposed in Spitsbergen and Nordaustlandet, discussed in chapter 3 (Harland, 1971; Prestvik, 1978; Birkenmajer, 1981). Quaternary volcanic centers and active thermal springs are located in western Spitsbergen while Tertiary plateau basalts are found on eastern Spitsbergen. Both Lower Cretaceous and Mesozoic volcanics (tholeiitic-type) are exposed on both sides of Hinlopenstretet, on Nordaustlandet and Kong Karls Land (Figure 3-6).

C. SeaMARC II-DATA INTERPRETATIONS

1. NORTHERN SVALBARD-NORDAUSTLANDET MARGIN

a. Bathymetry:

Figure 4-4 depicts a bathymetric chart of the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet Margin obtained from the SeaMARC-II swath-bathymetry data. Bathymetric contours indicate the arcuate shape of the southern Yermak Plateau, deepening to the northeast (2600 m). The shelf along the northern Svalbard Margin is very shallow (600 m). The bathymetric chart indicates that on the shelf north of Svalbard and Nordaustlandet there are depressions (presumed canyons) perpendicular to the coastline. The shelf is transected by wide transverse channels which appear to be continuations of the main fjord system. The bathymetry of the continental shelf along the northern Nordaustlandet Margin is characterized by several N-S trending canyons reaching depths of 3000 m (Figure 4-4), which may be superimposed upon pre-existing fault grabens which cross through the region.

b. Geological Interpretations:

i. Sedimentary and Glacial Features

The main geological features from Plate 1 of SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar images in Appendix B are documented in Figure 4-5. These are sedimentary features, iceberg-plow marks, glacial canyons, erosional channels, faults, volcanic seamounts and volcanic cones surrounded by lava flows and volcanic constructional terrain.

Figure 4-6 is a SeaMARC-II sonar image depicting iceberg-plow marks and sedimentary lineations (on the northwestern tip of Spitsbergen at 8°E). In general, the iceberg-plowmarks are trending in the NE-SW direction, and parallel the western edge of the southern Yermak Plateau. Further north, the orientation of iceberg-plow marks suggests that either large icebergs were carried by the Spitsbergen Current from the Norwegian-Greenland Sea into the Arctic Ocean or that they were formed by a grounded ice sheet moving across the shallow Yermak Plateau. These marks were most likely created during a prior glacial event, because icebergs of such size are no longer observed in this area (Sundvor *et al.*, 1982; Vogt *et al.*, 1994).

A sonar image of the eastern flank of Hinlopenstretet (Figure 4-7), shows erosional channels that indicate large-scale mass wasting and sediment transport along the northern Nordaustlandet Margin (Figure 4-5). If these interpretations of the sonar imagery are correct then glacially derived sediments were/are transported as far as the 3600 m isobath (in Figure 4-4). Active-glacial ice streams are still carving into the heads of fjords, and transporting the glacial sediments. Lineations on the surface sediments indicate the presence of strong bottom currents. For example, bottom currents flow northward around Svalbard and may help to modify the glacial valleys and channels along the northern Nordaustlandet Margin (Pfirman, 1989). Canyons appear to be controlled by the many pre-existing N-S trending faults dominating this margin. These faults may still be associated with volcano-tectonic activity in the region. If one traces the faults onto or adjacent to Nordaustlandet, one notices several igneous provinces bounding the steeply dipping scarps.

ii. Volcano-Tectonic Features

Volcanics and faults along the northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet Margin are indicated in Figure 4-8 (Prestvik, 1978; Hjelle and Lauritzen, 1984; Lauritzen and Ohta, 1984). Basalts are very common in Spitsbergen and Nordauslandet (Harland, 1971; Prestvik, 1978; Birkenmajer, 1981). Indeed, volcanic and tectonic features often coincide on Svalbard. For example, Quaternary volcanic centers and active thermal springs are located along the Raudfjorden Fault Zone in western Spitsbergen. Tertiary plateau basalts are found along the Billefjorden Fault Zone on eastern Spitsbergen. Lower Cretaceous and Mesozoic volcanics are exposed along the Lomfjorden, Rijpfjorden, and Lady Franklinfjorden Fault Zones on both sides of Hinlopenstretet, on Nordauslandet and Kong Karls Land.

In the marine environment, three distinctive regions of high reflectivity-high backscatter seafloor associated with highly-reflective fault scarps are observed from sonar images of the northern Svalbard Margin (Figure 4-8). The three regions are: the Yermak Seamount, the Hinlopenstretet and Nordauslandet highly-reflective ("presumed volcanic") Terrain. Because they are marine extensions of terrestrial volcanism, it is highly likely that they are volcanic as well.

In the first marine presumed-volcanic region, an elongate seamount (the Yermak Seamount) is found at 12°E. The feature can be seen in both the side-looking sonar imagery and bathymetry (Figure 4-9). The seamount has an overall diameter of about 15-20 km. Highly reflective flank flows are located at its base. Several linear features adjacent to the volcanic feature are characterized by high acoustic back-scatter. These linear features are interpreted to be highly reflective fault scarps that face inwards toward the seamount. The NW-SE trending faults are located directly north of several extensional fault zones, namely: the Raudfjorden Fault Zone (12°E), and the Bockfjorden Fault Zone (13°E) associated with Quaternary volcanic centers in northern Spitsbergen.

The second presumed-volcanic region is observed between 14°E and 17°E (Figure 4-10) and is characterized by several volcanic seamounts, bordered by faults, detected in the sonar imagery. Linear features with high acoustic back-scatter are interpreted to be highly reflective fault scarps trending NW-SE and are located directly north of several extensional fault zones, namely:

Billefjorden Fault Zone (16°E), and Lomfjorden Fault Zone (18°E), associated with Tertiary and Quaternary volcanic centers in Spitsbergen. These faults are oriented in the direction parallel to the Billefjorden Fault Zone, which cuts through Spitsbergen south to north along Hinlopenstretet and where Tertiary volcanic centers are exposed. This region is interpreted to be volcanic constructional terrain (the Hinlopen Volcanic Terrain) covered by volcanic lavas, because the seafloor is also characterized by a large-amplitude magnetic anomaly (Figure 4-10). The Hinlopen presumed-Volcanic Terrain is 60-80 km wide and located between the Widjefjorden and Hinlopenstretet. A volcanic cone (at 16.5°E) is detected in this volcanic constructional terrain. This volcanic cone (*Mosby Peak*) is located directly north of the Quaternary volcanic center in the Woodfjorden region, and is marked by a significantly high amplitude magnetic anomaly (250 nT, Figure 4-10).

The third presumed-volcanic region (Nordaustlandet Terrain) is located north of northeastern Nordaustlandet (Figure 4-11). Here, strong acoustic reflections suggest either volcanic flows or regions covered by glacially derived talus. However, subaerial fissure-fault controlled lavas, associated with Mesozoic plateau basalts on Nordaustlandet and Kong Karls Land probably extend into the submarine Nordaustlandet Terrain. These faults also coincide with the erosional channels that cross the northern Nordaustlandet Margin. In Figure 4-11 a bathymetric profile indicates the rough nature of the seafloor. The faults are located directly north (24°E) of the Rijpfjorden and Lady Franklinfjorden Fault Zones in Nordaustlandet. For this reason it is highly likely that the Nordaustlandet Terrain is at least in part of volcanic origin.

c. Geophysical Characteristics:

The surveyed region lies outside of the clearly defined magnetic anomaly province which is characteristic of the Eastern Arctic Ocean Seafloor. However, high amplitude magnetic fields are indicative of seafloor volcanism in the region (Figure 4-12). The Yermak Seamount in particular is marked by an increase in magnetic amplitude of 102 nT over background (Figure 4-9). The Hinlopen Volcanic Terrain is characterized by a large-amplitude magnetic anomaly and a high heat flow province (Figure 4-10). The region of high heat flow on the southwestern Yermak Plateau (104-138

mW/m², Crane *et al.*, 1982) corresponds to a high-amplitude total-magnetic field (250 nT, Okay *et al.*, 1991) which is comparable to the magnetic field of Mosby Peak and nearby Quaternary volcanic centers on Spitsbergen (Prestvik, 1978). Another region where the total magnetic field is noticeably high is at 29°E where faults and volcanic-looking features are detected along the northern Nordaustlandet Margin (Figure 4-11). In addition, along the northeastern Nordaustlandet Margin, the mapped high amplitude total magnetic field (750 nT) correlates with off-axial heat flow highs (77 and 109 mW/m² in Figure 4-13; Sundvor and Torp, 1987). High heat flow, and magnetic data suggest that the Nordaustlandet Volcanic Terrain may not be as old as the dacitic lavas in the surrounding area. If this is correct then the crust must be rejuvenated by some sort of magmatic source beneath the Svalbard Archipelago.

The distribution of present-day earthquake activity in the northern Svalbard and Nordaustlandet regions is shown in Figure 4-13. Noticeable earthquake activity has been recorded along the major faults (the Rippfjorden and Lady Franklinfjorden Fault Zones) of Nordaustlandet (Mitchell *et al.*, 1979; Bungum *et al.*, 1982; Mitchell *et al.*, 1990). This concentrated earthquake zone extends along the seafloor, where faults can be observed on sonar imagery. Two concentrated seismic zones are observed around 16°-24°E and 30°E (Figure 4-14). Mitchell *et al.* (1990) suggest that fault plane solutions indicate the maximum-principal stress axis to lie E-W (normal to the western continental margin). As this region is more than 200 km away from the plate boundary, earthquake concentrations plus high heat flow and high amplitude magnetic anomalies suggest that the region may be undergoing thermal rejuvenation and diffuse rifting.

2. THE MOLLOY TRANSFORM FAULT AND WESTERN SVALBARD MARGIN

a. Geological Interpretations:

i. Sedimentary and Glacial Features

A geological interpretation of the SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar imagery (Figure 4-15) along the Western Svalbard Margin is illustrated in Figure 4-16. The main features mapped are: faults, volcanic flow, volcanic cones, craters, pock marks, and fault controlled slumping on the

Western Svalbard Margin where the propagating Knipovich Ridge intersects the oblique Molloy Transform Fault.

Thick sediments that cover older features on the seafloor (Figure 4-15). Due to its close proximity to the continental margin, the plate boundary (comprised of the northern Knipovich Ridge and the Molloy Transform Fault) are often covered by the thick sediments which cover older features on the seafloor. The continental margin is characterized by rapid progradation heavily influenced by repeated Quaternary glaciations and possibly bottom currents. Adjacent to the Svalbard margin the seafloor is subjected to constant mass wasting. Only a few slump scars were imaged near the thickly sedimented slopes at the Molloy Transform area (Figure 4-16). Possible bottom-current generated features appear in side-looking sonar images near the Molloy Transform (Figure 4-15). Their location in proximity to this active fault means that a tectonic origin cannot be ruled out.

Pockmarks were imaged, scattered across the thick sediments atop anticlinal structures. Pockmarks appear as small dark speckles, barely resolvable in the side-looking sonar imagery (Figure 4-16). These features are thought to have been derived by methane venting and their distribution is associated with high heat flow that might cause the thawing of subjacent clathrates below the sedimentary layers (Figure 4-17).

ii. Volcano-Tectonic Features

The most prominent volcano-tectonic features in this region are at the intersection of the northern Knipovich Ridge and the Molloy Transform Fault. This section of the plate boundary is characterized by ultra-slow, oblique and asymmetric spreading along the transform fault. The neo-tectonic Molloy Transform, a double-fault, is covered by thick sediments. Near the intersection of the northern Knipovich Ridge and Molloy Transform Fault (78°30' N, 7°E), the offset between the double faults reaches 8 km. In the intersection region, ridge related faults (and some off-axial faults) bend towards the transform trend, north to northwest. A broader belt of deformed lineations striking 30° to 45° with respect to the transform trend, can be found up to 10-30 km SW of the transform axis.

Volcanic cones, craters and lava flows are located only in the northern Knipovich Ridge valley (Figure

4-17). South of the intersection region, faults on the flank of the rift valley make a V-shaped pattern which point towards the intersection of the northern Knipovich Ridge and Molloy Transform Fault (Doss *et al.*, 1991; Okay *et al.*, 1993).

b. Geophysical Characteristics:

Seismological data from Sykes (1965), Bungum *et al.* (1979, 1982, 1990) and Mitchell *et al.* (1979, 1990) indicate significant earthquake activity concentrated at the intersection of the northern Knipovich Ridge and the Molloy Transform along the Western Svalbard Margin (Figure 4-16). However, near 7°E, the distribution of earthquakes is highly concentrated in a N-S direction and extends significantly north of the Knipovich Ridge/Molloy Transform Fault intersection. Superposition of the epicenters on the structural map shows the close correlation between sonar imagery, and fault lineations and earthquakes, suggesting that these orthogonal lineations are most likely active faults. That the N-S oriented faults are a direct extension of the Knipovich Ridge suggests that recent propagation of the ridge may be proceeding north of the Molloy Transform Fault.

High heat flow ($>150 \text{ mW/m}^2$) along the Western Svalbard Margin (Figure 4-17) was observed at the tip of the northern Knipovich Ridge and the Molloy Transform Fault. In addition, certain regions along the northern Knipovich Ridge, Molloy Transform Fault and Western Svalbard Margin were characterized (during the SeaMARC-II Survey) by a total magnetic field higher than 54,400 nT (Figure 4-17). Five of the six zones of high-amplitude magnetic field lie within a corridor of relatively high heat flow ($125\text{-}150 \text{ mW/m}^2$), which extends northwest of the intersection of the Knipovich Ridge with the Molloy Transform, into and along the seismically active faults mentioned above, lending further credence to the idea that rift propagation is ongoing north of the Molloy Transform Fault. Sandwiched between these two belts of high heat flow lies a 40 km long lenticular-shaped region of low heat flow ($<100 \text{ mW/m}^2$) parallel and north of the Molloy Transform Fault (Figure 4-17).

D. SUMMARY OF SeaMARC-II DATA RESULTS

SeaMARC-II data were collected to resolve the plate tectonic evolution of the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea margins and to map seafloor and geological features. SeaMARC-II data show the interaction between the slow spreading center of the northern Knipovich Ridge and one of the worlds longest fracture zones (the Spitsbergen Fracture Zone). Evidence of further northward rift propagation of the Knipovich Ridge was found on the western Svalbard Margin to the north of the Molloy Transform. The following represent key geological processes which were detected by the SeaMARC-II imagery:

1. Iceberg-plow marks are observed on the northwestern tip of Spitsbergen (paralleling the edge of the Yermak Plateau). The direction of iceberg-plow marks indicates that large icebergs were either carried by the Spitsbergen Current from the Norwegian-Greenland Sea into the Arctic Ocean during the last glacial event, or were part of an extensive grounded but moving ice sheet in the region. Lineations carved into sediment are evidence that strong bottom currents may at times scour the shallow slopes.

2. SeaMARC-II images along the Western Svalbard Margin reveal the double-faulted Molloy Transform Fault at its intersection with the Knipovich Ridge. Heat flow, magnetics, earthquakes and pockmark terrain on the western Svalbard Margin indicate that the Knipovich Ridge is propagating northwards. Near 7°E, seismic activity is evidence for recent tectonic activity north of the Molloy Transform Fault. Three of the six zones are aligned along the N-S oriented margin boundary faults north of the Molloy Transform and within the corridor of high heat flow described above, suggesting that subjacent magmatic intrusion is now taking place. In addition to this, the identification of multiple ridge/transform trending normal faults (north of the ridge/transform intersection) suggests that the plate boundary is in the process of propagating to the North.

3. SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar imagery along the Northern Svalbard-Nordautlandet Margin reveals off-axial zones of presumed magmatic intrusions that have been active along the faults located directly north of the major fault zones of Spitsbergen and Nordautlandet such as:

Raudfjorden, Bockfjorden, Billefjorden, Lomfjorden, and Rijpfjorden Faults. According to interpretations of magnetic, seismic, structural, and heat flow data, I conclude that these NW-SE trending faults associated with the secondary and Tertiary detachment faults bordering the northern Svalbard-Nordautlandet Margin are the loci of off-plate boundary volcanic intrusions and thermal rejuvenation.

In addition, regions of high total magnetic field, earthquake activity and off-axial high heat flow are coincident with highly-reflective seafloor (presumed-volcanic terrain). The combination of the above suggests that the seafloor in these regions may be volcanic in nature. The SeaMARC-II images also reveal the presence of the Yermak Seamount, a volcanic cone, and the Mosby Peak within the Hinlopen Volcanic Terrain. Higher heat flow, and magnetic data indicate that the crust in the region of the Nordautlandet Volcanic Terrain is not as old as the dacitic lavas and therefore the continental margin in this region must be rejuvenated by either a subjacent or lateral heat source.

Chapter 5

HEAT FLOW MODELING STUDY OF THE EASTERN NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEA MARGINS

A. ANALYSIS OF HEAT FLOW

1. DISTRIBUTION OF HEAT FLOW DATA FROM THE NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEA

Figure 5-1 illustrates collected heat flow in the North Atlantic, Norwegian-Greenland Sea, and eastern Arctic Ocean regions (Lachenbruch and Marshall, 1968; Langseth and Zielinski, 1974; Crane *et al.*, 1982; Jackson *et al.*, 1984; Sundvor, 1986; Sundvor and Torp, 1987; Crane *et al.*, 1988). Heat flow generally decreases with increasing crustal age and distance from the active spreading centers. However, heat flow was found to increase along the eastern margins, and in particular on the volcanic plateaus (Figure 5-1). In general, heat flow averages 124 mW/m^2 on the eastern margins, and is far in excess of heat flow on the western margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (64 mW/m^2). Six regions of high heat flow can be distinguished along the eastern margins: the Vøring Plateau on the Norwegian Margin (87 mW/m^2), the Senja Margin (121 mW/m^2), the Hornsund Fault region (140 mW/m^2) on the western Svalbard Margin (at 75°N), the intersection of the northern Knipovich Ridge and Molloy Transform Fault on the Western Svalbard Margin at 78.5°N (197 mW/m^2), the southern Yermak Plateau adjacent to the northwestern Svalbard Margin (138 mW/m^2), and Northern Svalbard-Nordautlandet Margins (109 mW/m^2).

In this thesis, I analyze heat flow data collected in the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea and eastern Arctic Ocean to refine an evolutionary scenario of volcanic plateau formation and thermal rejuvenation of the continental crust along transtensional passive margins. In this region, magnetic anomalies are nearly absent, thus heat flow is a significant tool to reveal the time of geologic events.

Magnetic anomalies are absent along much of the Knipovich Ridge and the Senja, Western Svalbard and Northern Svalbard-Nordauslandet Margins. Therefore, thermal-crustal ages can be compared to magnetic ages at only a few of the analyzed heat flow stations.

In this complex region, I analyze heat flow to estimate thermal crustal ages. In the following sections, I demonstrate how a propagating lateral heat source (controlled by far field kinematics) can create off-axial heat flow highs along transtensional passive margins. Data are applied to heat flow and numerical lithospheric extension models to constrain the evolutionary scenario of the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea. I also demonstrate how elevated heat flux can be generated by enhanced lateral thermal gradients created by asymmetric simple-shear lithospheric extension in regions where magma is intruded into paleo-shear zones. Each modeled section compares observed heat flow and seafloor bathymetry along with the best known seismic structure for the area. Seismic records are used to determine the proximity of heat flow stations to the sediment-basement boundaries. Cross-sections are constructed from data derived from multichannel seismic refraction stations, DSDP and ODP drill sites, and sonobuoy experiment results (Appendix B).

There are still many data gaps in this study area. Due to lack of data the average sedimentation rate (from site to site) was assumed. Another uncertainty was the age of oceanic crust. For instance, poor constraints on the age, extent and direction of spreading of oceanic crust in the region of the southern Yermak Plateau led me to use an alternative method of analyzing the heat flow by applying numerical lithospheric extension modeling. It is worth noting that measured heat flow must be corrected for the blanketing effect due to sedimentary coverage (Hutchison, 1985). Where sediments are thin, such as near the axis, the rough basement topography also causes spatial variability in heat flow. Rapid and irregular sedimentation patterns and fluctuating bottom-water temperatures make measurements of heat flow in the shallow marginal areas, such as the Yermak Plateau, difficult. However, all stations penetrated below the seasonal thermal boundary layer in the sediment making it possible to analyze these data.

2. HEAT FLOW CORRECTIONS

Two methods are applied to analyze heat flow. Firstly, I apply the Hutchison Model (Hutchison, 1985) to correct for the blanketing effect due to sedimentary coverage, and calculate the heat flow at the base of the sediment unit. Secondly, I apply the cooling oceanic crust model to estimate thermal-crustal ages for corrected heat flow.

a. Blanketing Effect:

Calculating heat flow correction due to sedimentation allows a comparison of observed heat flow values with those predicted by lithospheric extension models. These require a given initial temperature at depth and a constant temperature for a lower boundary condition (Sclater and Francheteau, 1970; Parsons and Sclater, 1977). To correct heat flow accurately, the thermal effects of sedimentation are taken into account. Observed surface heat flow is disturbed in areas of high-relief topography (Von Herzen and Uyeda, 1963). Adjacent to margins, the rapid sediment deposition causes a reduction in the observed surface heat flow (Hutchison, 1985). As continuous plate motion carries the crust away from the axial zone, a gradually thickening sedimentary blanket seals the features, turning the heat transport over to molecular conduction (Vogt *et al.*, 1981; Thiede *et al.*, 1989). A correction for the blanketing effect is used to compensate for this reduction (Appendix A).

This model can be used to help predict the thermal crustal age when magnetic anomalies are not available. Because the sedimentation in this region does not appear to be tied closely to the subsidence rate of a newly evolving oceanic lithosphere (Myhre *et al.*, 1982; Crane *et al.*, 1991), I analyzed the heat flow using the Hutchison (1985) model which accounts for changes in the sedimentation rate, variation in sediment type, compaction of the sedimentary column and surface temperature changes. Assuming that the heat flow has been constant and the sedimentation rate has been uniform (as the thermal regime can recover slowly) the heat flow at the surface of the sediments can be estimated (Hutchison, 1985). The effects of sedimentation on temperature and heat flow of a

slab are determined by using a one dimensional finite difference model (Beaumont *et al.*, 1982; Hutchison, 1985).

I corrected heat flow by using best estimates for sediment type and thickness based on seismic profiles and sound velocity profiles, including DSDP- and ODP-Site results, and core samples taken in these regions. One to two sedimentary layers are assumed based on the in-depth analyses of sedimentation in this region (Myhre *et al.*, 1982). Variable sedimentation rates and durations are input into the Hutchison model (Hutchison, 1985) yielding outputs which are compared to observed values.

b. Extension Rates and Estimation of the Thermal-Crustal Age:

Calculating the blanketing effect of sediment gives a comparison between corrected heat flow values and heat flow values predicted by a model of cooling oceanic lithosphere (Parsons and Sclater, 1977; McKenzie, 1978). The cooling oceanic crust model of McKenzie (McKenzie, 1978) assumes that the oceanic lithosphere cools as it moves from the ridge axis. As a result of this, high heat flow occurs at mid-ocean ridges and decreases with distance from it (Sclater and Francheteau, 1970; Langseth and Zielinski, 1974). The gradual decrease in heat flow with increasing crustal age is explained by the cooling and thermal contraction of the lithosphere, which is consistent with the gradual deepening of the ocean floor with time (Parsons and Sclater, 1977).

Stein and Stein (1992) also suggest that the systematic variation of ocean depth and heat flow with age became the primary constraint on models of the thermal evolution of the lithosphere. Parsons and Sclater (1977) found that such a model, for a 125 km-thick plate with a basal temperature of 1350°C, provided good fits to the observed heat flow and bathymetry. The model describes the general shape of the depth curve, including the flattening for ages >70 Ma and the heat flow for ages >50 Ma. Both theoretical cooling curves (Parsons and Sclater, 1977 and Stein and Stein, 1992) overlap at crustal ages younger than ~60 Ma.

In this thesis, I attempt to determine local spreading rates by matching the heat flow data, corrected for sedimentation, with a model for the age-dependent cooling of oceanic crust (called the PS-cooling curve by Parsons and Sclater, 1977; Appendix A).

3. TESTING LITHOSPHERIC-EXTENSION MECHANISMS

After sedimentary corrections have been made, corrected heat flow data can be used to constrain which of the lithospheric extension models are most applicable to describe the evolution of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (pure- and simple-shear, or combination of both). Heat flow and seafloor bathymetry computed by numerical modeling indicate the effects of heat entering the lithosphere. The purpose of using numerical modeling is to constrain a kinematic development model for the evolution of the Norwegian-Greenland Seafloor. A kinematic model can explain how a continent breaks up, what type of lithospheric extension and heat sources develop, and how a shear zone can trap a mid-ocean ridge forming transtensional volcanic passive margins, plateaus and off-axial multiple-zones of intrusions in this section.

The numerical modeling technique exercises a finite difference method, which simulates two-dimensional time-dependent lithospheric extension. This method employs statistics for the Continuity Equation (Appendix A), which equates horizontal and vertical velocity gradients and conserves volume. The model deals with the advection of heat by prescribing the asthenospheric flow field without regard to material strength or body force (Buck *et al.*, 1988). Heating results from the thinning and extending of the lithosphere. Other additional heat sources such as induced convection and radiogenic heating are not considered in this method. The model properties, assumptions and equations used are discussed in Appendix A.

Model results depend on extension geometries and their development through time even though they all have the same heat input. Pure-shear extension occurs across a zone whose width is changing (depending on time, Figure 2-4). A simple-shear extension occurs on a planar low-angle detachment fault, which cuts the entire lithosphere (Figure 2-1). The most significant parameter of lithospheric extension is the total amount of extension that has occurred which represents the total heat input into the lithosphere.

B. ANALYSIS OF HEAT FLOW FROM THE EASTERN NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND
SEAFLOOR: VOLCANIC MARGINAL PLATEAUS AND TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC
MARGINS

1. THE VØRING PLATEAU ALONG THE NORWEGIAN MARGIN

Heat flow collected from the Norwegian Margin is shown in Figure 5-2 (most data were collected during the Vema expeditions, Langseth and Zielinski, 1974). Cross sections from the Mohns Ridge to the margin indicate the normal cooling of oceanic crust (from 268 mW/m² at the plate boundary to 58 mW/m² at the margin ocean/floor interface). However, further landward on the Inner Vøring Plateau, heat flow increases to 87 mW/m² along the Inner Vøring Plateau; distinctly warmer than that predicted by local magnetic anomalies. Landward of the plateau is an area associated with a magnetic smooth zone (amplitude relief less than 100 nT reported by Talwani and Eldholm, 1972; in Figure 3-11). The heat flow profile (AA') presented, crosses the magnetic smooth zone and is aligned perpendicular to the Vøring Plateau Escarpment. Heat flow stations, physical properties and seafloor bathymetry are illustrated in Figure 5-3. Detailed information about the stations are given in Figure A-6 (Appendix B). Heat flow corrected for the thermal blanketing effect of sedimentation, is presented in Table 5-1. The decompacted sediment thickness is then estimated for each heat flow station. Sedimentation rate, physical properties and corrected heat flow values are summarized in Tables 5-1a to 5-1d. Velocity structure and sediment thickness information were obtained from several sources (Eldholm and Windisch, 1974).

Limitations of the seismic data lie in the assumption that velocities greater than 4.9 km/s are assumed to be basement. There is some uncertainty in determining the actual sedimentary thickness in this region, however, I believe that the termination of the main basin westward at the marginal escarpment (Figure 5-3) is well documented. The oldest post-opening sediment (between oldest oceanic crust and continental crust on the crest of the Vøring Plateau) was late Paleocene-early Eocene (Schrader *et al.*, 1976). Two layers and averaged velocities are assumed for each site. Average

sedimentation rates are estimated using decompacted sediment thickness and magnetic crustal ages obtained from magnetic anomalies in the region.

Corrected heat flow values are compared to observed heat flow values in Figure 5-4. In Figure 5-5, corrected heat flow is compared to the PS-cooling curve. Determined thermal-crustal ages are compared to magnetic ages in Figure 5-6. In summary, corrected heat flow values indicate that thermal-crustal ages are much younger (by 18 Ma) than the age estimated by magnetic anomaly lineations. Episodes of thermal rejuvenation occurred at both 35 mybp in regions where magnetic ages were determined to be 60-65 Ma, and at 25 mybp in regions where the magnetic ages are thought to be ~45 Ma (Figure 5-5).

2. THE SENJA TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGIN

The heat flow profile (BB' profile in Figure 5-2) transects the northern Norwegian Margin to the Senja Margin (Figure 5-7). The heat flow profile indicates that the highest heat flow (121 mW/m^2) is located on the Senja Escarpment. In the same region, a wide magnetic smooth zone (landward of the Senja Margin) is interpreted as shallow magnetic basement (<2 km in depth). However, south of this region between 12°E and 15°E magnetic anomalies are discernible. By projecting the anomalies into our region of interest we can obtain an estimated magnetic crustal age. Detailed information for the stations are given in Figure A-6 (Appendix B).

In this region, sedimentary sequences of varying thickness lie on igneous oceanic crust along the Senja-Western Svalbard Margin (Eldholm and Windisch, 1974; Myhre *et al.*, 1982). The thickest sedimentary sequence occurs at the margin and is between 900 and 1000 m' s thick (assuming a sound velocity of ~2 km/s in the sediments, Vorren *et al.*, 1989). According to Talwani and Eldholm (1972) most of these sediments are pre-Tertiary in age.

To model corrected heat flow, two sedimentary layers of average velocities are assumed at each heat flow site. Decompacted sediment thicknesses are calculated for each heat flow station. Sedimentation rates are determined using estimated decompacted sediment thicknesses and magnetic crustal ages. The results of sedimentation rates, corrected heat flow and thermal-crustal ages are

tabulated in Tables 5-2a to 5-2c. Observed surface heat flow is compared to corrected heat flow in Figure 5-8.

In Figure 5-9, corrected heat flow is compared to the PS-cooling curve. Thermal crustal ages are compared to magnetic crustal ages in Figure 5-10. Two high heat flow episodes on crust supposedly 35 and 60 Ma old suggest that the crust in these regions has been thermally rejuvenated to 25 and 10 Ma respectively. Thermal crustal ages are on average 22 my's younger (based on the cooling oceanic plate model) than the estimated magnetic ages.

3. THE EASTERN JAN MAYEN-VØRING-SENJA MARGIN PROFILE

The heat flow profile CC' crosses the margin between 7°W and 16°E and transects the Eastern Jan Mayen Fracture Zone, Vøring Fracture Zone, Vøring Plateau, Lofoten Fracture Zone, and Senja Fracture Zone (Figure 5-2). Observed surface heat flow, seafloor bathymetry and seismic velocity structure along the profile (modified from Eldholm and Windisch, 1974) are indicated in Figure 5-11a and 5-11b. All of these sites are regions of high heat flow located at considerable distances from the axis of the Mohns Ridge.

To correct each heat flow value for sedimentation, decompacted sediment thicknesses are calculated at each station. Sedimentation rates are estimated using decompacted sediment thicknesses and magnetic ages. Determined sedimentation rates, magnetic crustal ages, corrected heat flow and thermal crustal ages are tabulated Table 5-2 and 5-3. Observed surface heat flow is compared with corrected heat flow in Figure 5-12.

Corrected heat flow is compared to the PS-cooling curve in Figure 5-13. Computed thermal crustal ages are compared to magnetic crustal ages in Figure 5-14. Between 24 mybp and 11.5 mybp the crust was repeatedly heated (Figure 5-13). Heat flow analyses imply that there may have been another paleo-fracture zone in the southern Norwegian-Greenland Sea, which I name "the Vesterålen Fracture Zone". The most recent thermal rejuvenation event occurred at 18 mybp along the Senja Fracture Zone, at 24 mybp on the Vøring Fracture Zone, at 25 mybp on the Vøring Plateau, at 17 mybp on the Lofoten Fracture Zone, and at 16 mybp on the Vesterålen Fracture Zone.

In summary, thermally derived crustal ages suggest that the Vøring, Lofoten, Vesterålen Fracture Zone and Senja Fracture Zone (Senja Margin Escarpment) have been repeatedly reheated. Comparing the crustal age differences from both margins (Norwegian and Senja Margins) suggest that heating was more dominant and longer-lived along the Senja Margin. The Lofoten Fracture Zone is closer to the Senja Margin and is presently warmer and hence more recently rejuvenated than the Vøring Fracture Zone.

4. THE MOLLOY TRANSFORM FAULT-WESTERN SVALBARD MARGIN

Observed heat flow data along the western Svalbard Margin are illustrated in Figure 5-15. Figure 5-16 depicts a heat flow profile transecting from west to east the Western Svalbard Margin (at 78.5°N). High heat flow is observed at the tip of the northern Knipovich Ridge (371 mW/m²) and the intersection with the Molloy Transform Fault (197 mW/m²) and along the Western Svalbard Margin (100 mW/m²). As described in earlier heat flow manuscripts, crustal ages in this region can only be interpreted by using heat flow, because magnetic anomalies are nearly absent.

Sedimentation rates, reduction factors of heat flow, corrected heat flow and thermal crustal ages are tabulated in Table 5-4. Along the Western Svalbard Margin, Myhre and Eldholm (1988) estimate that, prior to mid-Miocene the sedimentation rates were approximately 10 cm/Ma. Since the Miocene (during the last 5 Ma) the rates have increased to more than 30 cm/Ma. They divided the sediments into two layers, referred to as layer 1 and layer 2 (Figure 5-16). The upper layer was deposited during the last 5.5 Ma. Faleide *et al.* (1984) suggest an unconformity at the base of the upper Paleocene. It was about this time that block faulting was initiated adjacent to Svalbard. Faleide *et al.* (1984) interpreted the unconformity to be a result of tectonic activity near the present continent-oceanic crustal transition. Talwani *et al.* (1976) dated basal sediments as lower Pliocene or upper Miocene. Tertiary sediments were prograded toward the ocean basin forming the present passive margin (Myhre, 1984).

Observed surface heat flow is compared to corrected heat flow in Figure 5-17. Thermal crustal ages and seafloor bathymetry are illustrated in Figure 5-18. The thermal crustal age along the Svalbard Margin is younger (13 Ma old) than expected for a crust 70 km away from the continent. Figure 5-19 compares this corrected heat flow with modeled heat flow predicted by the PS-cooling curve.

Figure 5-20 indicates the thermally derived crustal ages in the area, combined with other thermal modeling results as suggested by Crane *et al.* (1991). On the southern side of the Molloy Transform Fault at the northern Knipovich Ridge, thermal crustal ages approach 0-3.3 mybp. However, heat flow data north of the Molloy Transform Fault and on the West Svalbard/Yermak Plateau Margin show crustal ages of 13 mybp. Crane *et al.* (1988) suggest that the thermal crustal age of the Svalbard margin, further south (at 75°N), is 36 Ma. The young age of the northeastern Svalbard Margin is suggestive of large scale, widespread regional heating.

5. THE SOUTHERN YERMAK PLATEAU

a. High Heat Flow:

A region of high heat flow (up to 138 mW/m²) on the southern Yermak Plateau trends NNW-SSE from 81°N along the northern Svalbard Margin (Crane *et al.*, 1982, 1988; Okay and Crane, 1993; Figure 5-21). Magnetic anomalies are absent from this part of the plateau. In the region of the high heat flow transect-AA' a basement reflector is detected, which was interpreted as a volcanic constructional unit by Sundvor *et al.* (1978, 1982a). This basement reflector is highly faulted, and disappears under low velocity sediments (<2.5 km/s, Figure 5-22). Sundvor and Austegård (1990) have established the basement reflector (>5.5 km/s) as a tentative oceanic-continental crustal transition.

b. Correction of Heat Flow:

The compacted sediment thicknesses measured beneath the heat flow stations are corrected for a thermal cold blanketing effect. Decompacted sediment thicknesses are calculated for each time of onset and duration of intrusion. Following this, sedimentation rates are estimated using two-way traveltimes and sediment seismic velocities (Tables 5-5 and 5-6). Sedimentation rates are calculated using decompacted sediment thickness, based on the age of the crust below the heat flow station.

c. Spreading Rate-Corrections and Thermal-Crustal Age Estimations:

Thus surface heat flow is corrected (for each onset age of intrusion and duration of heating) to determine its value at the base of the sedimentary unit. For each heat flow station (assuming that extension has taken place by an intrusive event during a fixed period of time) corrected heat flow is determined by using the Hutchison Model (Table 5-7).

High heat flow (on supposedly thinned continental crust) indicates that some intrusive activity exists on the southern Yermak Plateau. Crane *et al.* (1982) suggest that intrusive activity initiated at 16 Ma and is continuing to the present. This region most likely has undergone the same Cenozoic volcanism that started about 11 mybp, approximately 100 km to the SE on Spitsbergen (Prestvik, 1978; Amundsen *et al.*, 1987, 1988). This interpretation is supported by the Quaternary volcanism and thermal springs (Amundsen *et al.*, 1988; Skjelvåle *et al.*, 1989) in the Woodfjorden area of Svalbard. Rifting, adjacent to Svalbard, began between 35 and 40 mybp as suggested by Crane *et al.* (1988). Therefore, I assumed 40 Ma for the maximum onset age of intrusion in the southern Yermak Plateau. Seven thermal episodes (between 0 and 40 Ma) are used to define the duration of intrusion (Tables 5-7a to 5-7g). Two of these thermal episodes imply that intrusion is still continuing (0 mybp to 35 mybp and 0 mybp to 40 mybp). Five thermal episodes imply that the intrusion ceased 10 to 18 mybp. Lithospheric extension rates (between 0.2 cm/yr and 0.5 cm/yr) are determined for each duration of intrusion responsible for stretching and extension of the plateau (Figures 5-23a to Figure 5-23g).

Thermal episodes are assumed for the different onset ages of intrusion and for the duration of heating, of the continental lithosphere, in the southern Yermak Plateau (Tables 5-8a to 5-8c). Corrected heat flow values are compared to modeled heat flow of the PS-cooling curve (Figures 5-24a and 5-24b). The best fit of surface heat flow and corrected heat flow is assumed to yield the most reasonable onset age of intrusion and duration of heating for the region. The best mean difference between modeled and corrected heat flow (Δq) is 24 mW/m^2 (Thermal Episode 4, for an ongoing intrusion from 35 mybp to present, Table 5-8b). The mean value of worst fit of the thermal episodes is 118 mW/m^2 . If the cooling oceanic plate model adequately represents the intrusive activity in this region, the crust was most recently rejuvenated 11 mybp. Figure 5-25 depicts thermal crustal ages demonstrating time of intrusion and thermal rejuvenation on the Yermak Plateau, as determined from Thermal Episode 4. This thermal-crustal age coincides with the commencement of volcanism in the Woodfjorden area of Spitsbergen.

d. Testing the Lithospheric Extension Mechanisms:

If the southern Yermak Plateau is primarily continental crust, the cooling oceanic plate model is not adequate. In this case, it would be more appropriate to apply continental lithospheric extension models to the plateau. I use numerical modeling to determine the thermo-mechanical structure of the plateau and to determine whether or not the plateau is undergoing breakup and rifting by symmetric pure-shear extension (Parsons and Sclater, 1977; McKenzie, 1978) or undergoing asymmetric simple-shear extension along a major detachment fault. The best fit to observed data suggest a spreading rate of 0.32 cm/yr .

In Figures 5-26 and 5-27 the numerical modeling results illustrate different results assuming an initial rift width and total extension rate for crustal thinning, heat flow, thermal uplift and subsidence. Model results depend on the temperature structure of the model lithosphere and the distribution of crustal thickness. Figure 5-26 is a result of the pure-shear lithospheric extension mechanism. Figure 5-26 shows corrected heat flow superimposed on synthetic heat flow. Heat flow and topography (seafloor bathymetry) numerically produced by pure-shear extension is unlikely to

match the heat flow on the southern Yermak Plateau. For a slow extension rate (0.3 cm/yr) the rifting cannot produce enough heat approaching the mantle solidus anywhere in the lithosphere. Numerical modeling of simple-shear is used for various dip angles of the detachment fault, such as, a shallow dipping (22°) detachment fault extending 0.3 cm/yr. Observed heat flow and topography (indicated with circles) are superimposed on synthetic heat flow and topography (Figure 5-27). This model does not match observed topography and corrected heat flow.

The best fit between observed data (the heat flow and the net topography) and the synthetic data is obtained for a detachment fault dipping 45° (Figure 5-28). In this example, an intrusion started at 35 mybp. The extension of the crust (initially 32 km thick) has continued to the present with a total extension rate of 0.32 cm/yr. The modeling result indicates a broad zone of intrusion which, created forty kilometers of mechanically weakened and thermally rejuvenated continental crust. In this model, the detachment fault (dipping to the SW) should intersect another deep-seated major detachment fault dipping beneath the Knipovich Ridge (Crane *et al.*, 1991).

6. THE NORTHERN SVALBARD MARGIN

A heat flow transect aligned from the Nansen Ridge to the Southern Yermak Plateau along the northern Svalbard Margin (near 8°E, Figure 5-29) reveals gradually decreasing heat flow with increasing distance from the mid-ocean ridge. However, around 82°N heat flow increases towards the northern Svalbard Margin and reaches 138 mW/m² on the southern Yermak Plateau. Observed heat flow and seafloor bathymetry are presented in Figure 5-30. Average seismic velocities of the Nansen Basin are indicated in Table A-4 in Appendix B. Magnetic ages are estimated from Jackson *et al.* (1984). Sedimentation rates, magnetic ages, heat flow and thermal crustal ages are tabulated in Table 5-9. During the Ocean Drilling Program-Leg 151 measurements revealed that sedimentation rates at the Yermak Plateau are extremely high ranging from 10 to 200 m/Ma during the Quaternary (Leg 151 Shipboard Party, 1994).

Observed heat flow is compared to corrected heat flow in Figure 5-31. Corrected heat flow is compared to the model heat flow of the PS-cooling curve in Figure 5-32. Magnetic ages are compared to thermal crustal ages in Figure 5-33. Off-axial high heat flow and the younger thermal crustal ages represent thermal rejuvenation in the region. In summary, the age of the crust was found to be as young as 10 Ma old and indicates a period of time (35-10 mybp) when the southern Yermak Plateau was continuously or sporadically reheated (Figure 5-32).

7. THE NORTHERN NORDAUSTLANDET MARGIN

A heat flow profile (BB'), around 30°E, transects the flank of the Nansen Ridge to the northern Nordaustlandet Margin (Figure 5-29). Heat flow data were collected by Crane *et al.* (1982) and Sundvor and Torp (1987). From the Nansen Ridge to 85°N heat flow data show a gradual decrease with increasing crustal age (Figure 5-34). Around 85°N heat flow values increase towards the northern Nordaustlandet Margin and reach 109 mW/m². There are no clear magnetic anomalies to define the crustal ages in this region. However, extrapolating from the closest magnetic anomaly, sedimentation rates can be estimated. The distance between magnetic isochron 24 and the suggested boundary is approximately 100 km (Vogt *et al.*, 1979). Using an average half spreading rate of 1 cm/yr the swath of unidentified crust represents an interval of 10 Ma implying an opening time of 66 Ma.

Sundvor *et al.* (1978) discussed the seismic velocity structure for the area north of Nordaustlandet and for the Nansen Basin. They used average seismic parameters and sedimentation rates (Table A-4, Appendix B). Magnetic ages, corrected heat flow and thermal-crustal ages are tabulated in Table 5-10. Observed heat flow values are compared with calculated heat flow in Figure 5-35. Corrected heat flow is compared to the model heat flow of the PS-cooling curve in Figure 5-36. Estimated magnetic ages are compared with calculated thermal crustal ages in Figure 5-37. Figure 5-36 illustrates that sections of the Nordaustlandet Margin are thermally only 20 Ma old compared to its magnetic crustal ages estimated to be >60 Ma.

8. THE EASTERN GREENLAND AND NORTHERN SVALBARD-NORDAUSTLANDET MARGINS PROFILE

The heat flow profile (CC') transects the Eastern Greenland Margin to the northern Svalbard-Nordautlandet Margins (between 14.39°W and 32.22°E; Figure 5-29). Heat flow highs are, from west to east, 69 mW/m² (on the Eastern Greenland Margin), 229 mW/m² (near the Lena Trough), 138 mW/m² (on the southern Yermak Plateau), and 109 mW/m² (on the northern Nordautlandet Margin, Figure 5-38). Sedimentation rates, corrected heat flow and thermal crustal ages along CC' are tabulated in Table 5-11. From the Lena Trough to 5°E, heat flow data show a gradual decrease with increasing crustal ages.

Observed heat flow is compared to calculated heat flow in Figure 5-39. Corrected heat flow values are compared to the theoretical heat flow values for the PS-cooling curve in Figure 5-40. Estimated crustal ages (where the magnetic lineaments are available) are compared to thermal crustal ages in Figure 5-41. Around 5°E the heat flow increases toward the southwestern Yermak Plateau and reaches 138 mW/m². This off-axial heat flow high (at considerable distance from the Knipovich and Nansen Ridges) and the younger crustal ages represent heat injection and thermal rejuvenation in the region. On the eastern part of the southern Yermak Plateau (on Hinlopenstretet) heat flow decreases, and crustal ages increase, thus Hinlopenstretet may act as a thermal boundary between the southern part of the Yermak Plateau and the northern Nordautlandet Margin. However, because the data are so scarce, further interpretation requires additional heat flow stations in the northern Hinlopenstretet region.

In Figure 5-40 four distinctive groups of heat flow highs and young thermal crustal ages are noticeable along the PS-cooling curve. The first group is located near the Lena Trough region, and suggests that the crust is approximately 6 my old. The second group is located on the southern Yermak Plateau and suggests that the age of the crust is at least 10 my old (in agreement with the Quaternary and recent lavas and thermal spring activity in Svalbard). The third group is located between the islands of Svalbard and Nordautlandet, and suggests that the age of the crust in this region is 37 Ma (consistent with the ages of Tertiary lavas on Svalbard). The fourth group is situated

on the Nordaustlandet Margin, where high heat flow and thermal crustal ages indicate that intrusion took place here from 18-20 mybp.

9. SUMMARY OF HEAT FLOW RESULTS

Figure 5-42 illustrates where thermally rejuvenated crust is associated with present-day earthquake activity within the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea and adjacent regions. Thermal-crustal ages on the marginal plateaus and fracture zones are much younger than magnetic crustal ages, indicating thermal rejuvenation (Figure 5-43).

Anomalously high temperatures in the lithosphere result in high heat flow at the surface of the crust. A large amount of heat brought up and injected into the lithosphere during the formation of basaltic crust, is a signature of major tectonic events. Langseth and Zielinski (1974), Sclater *et al.* (1980), and Stein and Stein (1992) suggested that there is a balance between spreading rate and heat brought vertically from the asthenosphere to the base of the lithosphere. Their general observation that heat flow and seafloor bathymetry change as a function of age in most oceans, has held. In addition, they proposed that if the vertical heat flux (a mantle plume) governs the thickness of the lithosphere and induces the continental breakup (in the sense of symmetric pure-shear lithospheric extension), then a faster spreading rate will coincide with a higher heat flow. I believe that this concept does not adequately describe the thermal evolution of the ultra-slow spreading ridges (such as the Knipovich Ridge within the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea) and off-axial high heat flow distant from active spreading centers.

Table 5-12 summarizes the thermal-crustal ages and episodes of thermal rejuvenation along the eastern margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea. The continent-oceanic crustal transition on the Norwegian Margin at its intersection with the Lofoten-Vesterålen Fracture Zone was first formed at 60 mybp and heating continued on this margin until 16 mybp. Heat injection along the Vøring Plateau continued until 26 mybp, and thus the region was the site of magmatic intrusions for up to 16 mybp after its oceanic crust was imprinted by magnetic anomalies. Thermal rejuvenation ages along the

Senja Margin are 25 to 10 mybp with continued heating for 12 mybp (after the margin was first formed). At present the distribution of earthquakes is highly concentrated around these thermally rejuvenated regions (Figure 5-42).

AGES OF THERMAL REJUVENATION
EASTERN MARGINS OF THE NORWEGIAN-GREENLAND SEA

	A G E	
	Magnetic	Thermal
	Ma	
Vøring Plateau	60	26
E Jan Mayen FZ	60 (?)	18
Vøring FZ	45 (?)	24
Lofoten FZ	60-40	17
Vesterålen FZ	(?)	16
Senja FZ/Senja Margin	60 (?)	12
Hornsund Fault (75°N) (181 km)	60 (?)	36
Knipovich Ridge (78°N) along the W. Svalbard Margin (0 km)	(?)	0
Intersection of the northern Knipovich Ridge and the Molloy Transform Fault along the W. S. Margin (<100 km, 78.5°N)	(0)	3.5
the southern part of the Yermak Plateau	50-60 (?)	11
Hinlopenstretet (170 km)	50-60 (?)	37
Nordautlandet Margin (200 km)	750-60 (?)	20

Table 5-12. Summary of magnetic- and thermal-ages of thermal rejuvenation along the eastern margin of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea. Question marks (?) indicate magnetic smooth zones in the areas, and (km) indicates the distances from the mid-ocean ridge.

The thermal-crustal age (13 mybp) along the northwestern Svalbard Margin is younger than expected (35 mybp) according to age estimates of the southwestern Svalbard Margin which corresponds with a plate boundary shift from the Senja Fracture Zone to the Hornsund Fault Zone along the Western Svalbard Margin. Along the northern Svalbard-Nordaustlandet Margin, off-axial thermal rejuvenation events occurred from 35 to 10 mybp. The duration of intrusion (estimated from thermal modeling) indicates that the southern Yermak Plateau was continuously heated between 35 mybp and 10 mybp. These results are in an agreement with the recent volcanics and activity of thermal springs in Svalbard.

Several intersecting detachment faults are proposed for the structural model of the northern Norwegian-Greenland Sea based on numerical modeling results of the northern Knipovich Ridge, the Southern Yermak Plateau, and the Nordaustlandet region. The best fit to the observed data suggest that asymmetric simple-shear lithospheric extension with a 45° major detachment fault dips to the East underneath Svalbard and intersects the seafloor at the northern Knipovich Ridge, as proposed by Crane *et al.* (1991), and Okay and Crane (1993). In addition, secondary and Tertiary (westward dipping) detachment faults probably cut through both the southern Yermak Plateau and the northern Nordaustlandet Margin and intersect the main detachment surface (Okay and Crane, 1993).

All of the detachment faults are thought to have been inherited from the fault system associated with the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone. This indicates that the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone was not a singular structure, but consisted of several deep-seated pre-existing continental faults. These faults, as the secondary-Tertiary detachment faults, make excellent conduits for heat and magma transport. The lateral translocation of magma is probably associated with asthenospheric underplating of Svalbard and parts of the Barents Sea via these faults. The intrusion and extrusion of basalt may still occur within these deep-seated faults and the southern part of the Yermak Plateau (ten's of kilometers from the Knipovich Ridge axis-the major detachment fault) and 100's of kilometers away near the island of Nordaustlandet.

The thermal-crustal age of the Hinlopenstretet region located between the thermally rejuvenated sections of Svalbard and Nordaustlandet is 37 Ma. This result is consistent with the episode of Tertiary lava extrusion on Svalbard. The thermal-crustal age of the margin of eastern

Nordautlandet is found to be younger. In this region thermal rejuvenation has occurred as recently as 20 mybp. A thermal-crustal age contour map of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea indicates that the eastern margins are much younger than expected (Figures 5-42 and 5-43).

In this study, it is primarily those regions where fracture zones intersect the margin, where thermal rejuvenation occurs. Apparently, fracture zones are excellent conduits for the transport of heat, and thus they may continue to transfer heat 10's of million of years after seafloor spreading ceased in the region. In addition, seismic activity is enhanced in these locations. One possible cause of this seismicity is that the reduction in mechanical plate thickness under regions of localized intrusion and the added heat injection decreases the strength of lithosphere making it far more susceptible to seismic activity (McNutt, 1984).

In addition, thermal data suggest that when the northwards propagating Mohns Ridge intersected the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone, only a portion of the ridge entered the shear zone. The remaining deep-seated "propagating asthenospheric corridor" probably continued moving in its original direction, gradually underplating Svalbard in the process. The timing and the magnitude of thermal rejuvenation can be related to the rate at which the propagating asthenosphere moved underneath Svalbard. Once this asthenospheric corridor intersected Secondary and Tertiary detachment faults (dipping towards the newly formed Knipovich Ridge), then intrusion and extrusion into/along these faults occurred. If the present is the key to the past then it is likely that a similar process occurred along the Vøring Plateau Escarpment when the paleo-Aegir Ridge intersected the paleo-Jan Mayen Fracture Zone.

Further research should be directed to answering to following questions:

1. Will the Knipovich Ridge continue to propagate northwards and deactivate the Molloy Transform Fault completely?
2. Will Nordautlandet become a site of extensive volcanic activity?
3. Will the underplating of the Svalbard-Barents Sea region evolve into a completely new plate boundary?

Chapter 6

DISCUSSION

A. THERMAL REJUVENATION: EVIDENCE FOR ASTHENOSPHERIC CORRIDORS

The Norwegian-Greenland Sea lies within a broad region of anomalously high heat flow. The heat flow profiles from two marginal plateaus and along transtensional volcanic passive margins, presented in the previous chapter, show a significant surface heating distant from the active spreading centers. The high heat flow implies that a significant amount of heat lies at the base of the lithosphere creating relatively high temperatures at shallow depths. In response, the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea seafloor (including the Vøring and Yermak Plateaus and all transtensional margins) as well as the western Svalbard-Barents Platform are anomalously elevated.

Buck and Mutter (1989) suggest, that when a continent breaks up and a passive margin forms along a paleo-shear zone, a transition zone develops between continental and oceanic crust. According to Parsons and Sclater (1977) and McKenzie (1978) the surface expression of the heat flow should be symmetric and dome shaped indicating the cooling of the oceanic crust toward the margins away from the ridge. However, in the case of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea, heat flow often increases towards the margins. This high heat flow at the margin may mark the point (in time) where partial melting increased because of large lateral thermal gradients either at the onset of rifting or during a later intrusive event. In addition, early extensive partial melting in these regions resulted in lava ponding onto the surface and the creation of seaward dipping basaltic units and marginal volcanic plateaus. These events died down as more new oceanic crust formed and oceanization continued.

However, thermal ages along the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea indicate that the eastern margins are much younger than expected. This contradicts the symmetric, pure-shear extension model of McKenzie (1978) but not asymmetric simple-shear extension model. Furthermore, younger

thermal ages are also suggestive of recent intrusion of magma both into pre-existing fracture zones and along the margin of the Barents/Svalbard Platform. This may be suggestive of ongoing underplating of the western Svalbard Platform and the Barents Sea. In all likelihood, the propagating ridges (Aegir, Mohns, and Knipovich) were trapped by paleo-shear zones (East Jan Mayen, Vøring, Lofoten, Vesterålen, Senja, and Spitsbergen) within the Norwegian-Greenland Sea and created volcanic plateaus (such as the Vøring and Yermak) along the transtensional eastern margins (Norwegian, Senja and Western Svalbard). Although some magma was intruded into the pre-existing shear zones, in all likelihood, the deep-seated asthenospheric material probably continued to propagate along its original path underplating and thermally uplifting the margin of the Barents Sea in the process. The details of the propagation events are outlined in the following sections.

B. THE VØRING PLATEAU AND NORWEGIAN VOLCANIC MARGIN

The separation of Greenland and Norway along the Harland Line started about 60 mybp (Birkenmajer, 1981). It was associated with a short-lived but voluminous volcanic activity (Eldholm and Grue, 1994). Eldholm *et al.* (1989b) suggested that early Tertiary magmatism, in some way, was related to the Iceland Hotspot. White (1988) suggested a mushroom-shaped mantle plume (in 2000 km diameter) was centered near the Iceland plume at the time of rifting along the Norwegian Margin. The extinction of the paleo-Aegir Ridge is evidence (subaerial volcanism suggested by Eldholm and Grue, 1994) that the effects of the plume abated rapidly (3.5 Ma) from its center. This observation argues against the concept of the plume model. Eldholm *et al.* (1989a) suggested that instead of a mushroom shape, the upwelling mantle was shaped like a higher temperature carpet.

The main difficulty with the approach of White (1988) is his idea regarding the magnitude of the asthenospheric temperature and the time of volcanic activity due to the mantle plume. The mantle plume should cause symmetric dynamic uplift of the entire area prior to rifting (White, 1988). However, the rocks at the margin off Norway have no record of dynamic uplift (Eldholm *et al.*,

1989b). The Paleocene uplift at the Vøring Plateau (limited to the area adjacent to the line of break-up) was initiated after the onset of rifting (Eldholm *et al.*, 1989a), reflecting the isostatic response to the changing lithosphere (continent to oceanic). The passive margin and volcanic plateaus along the eastern Norwegian-Greenland Sea can probably be best explained by simple-shear dominated lithospheric extension mechanisms where the impact of a propagating ridge upon an obliquely oriented paleo-shear zone releases a short-lived but voluminous magmatic production.

Although magnetic anomaly lineaments show the Harland Line (the Aegir Ridge and paleo-Mohns Ridge; Birkenmajer, 1981) propagated along the Jan Mayen Transform System (Figure 6-1). Hagevång *et al.* (1983) suggest formation of the crust in the negative polarity interval between magnetic anomalies' (Chron 24-25: 58.7 to 56.6 mybp). A jump in the plate boundary occurred about 57 mybp causing the duplication of the 24A and 24B on the southern Vøring Plateau. At 55 mybp the plate boundary "straightened out" and symmetric spreading started along the Mohns Ridge (Hagevång *et al.*, 1983). Hagevång *et al.* (1983) proposed that maximum magnetic amplitudes occur seaward of the outer Vøring Plateau. Leg 38 of the Deep Sea Drilling Project also showed that Fe-Ti-rich basalts with a high remnant magnetization increases westward, at the plateau, and are classified as hotspot-type by Raschka and Eckhardt (1976). However, Hey *et al.* (1980) suggest that the basaltic rocks responsible for high amplitude magnetic anomalies can occur at the tips of propagating rifts.

Although, we cannot demonstrate this, following Hagevång *et al.* (1983), rift propagation may well have occurred prior to Chron 23 time. By analyzing the early spreading rates Hagevång *et al.* (1983) find there are irregular anomalies probably caused by a combination of intrusives and relief in the underlying oceanic crust. The duplication of Chron 24 line (Hagevång *et al.*, 1983) indicates that the paleo-Mohns Ridge axis was offset by segments of the Jan Mayen Transform System (East Jan Mayen Fracture Zone, Vøring Fracture Zone, Lofoten Fracture Zone, and probably Vesterålen Fracture Zone).

During the propagation of the Harland Line, Eldholm *et al.* (1987) and Mutter *et al.* (1988) suggest that early Eocene lavas were extruded, for 2 to 3.5 my, at a high flow rate, and distant from the spreading center. Basalt samples from the Outer Vøring Plateau indicate that high amplitude magnetic anomalies were created at the tip of the northward propagation tip of the Aegir Ridge (Eldholm *et al.*,

1987). During propagation along the Eastern Jan Mayen Fracture Zone (and during the adjustment of the plate boundary along the northern margin), an abrupt crustal transition zone was created (seismic Reflector-K in Figure 3-16; Skogseid and Eldholm, 1987).

Heat flow analyses indicate that the crust on the Norwegian Margin was first heated 60 mybp and continued to be intruded by volcanism up to 16 mybp (Table 5-12). Segments of the Eastern Jan Mayen Fracture Zone, Vøring Fracture Zone, Lofoten Fracture Zone and Vesterålen Fracture Zone, probably trapped or channeled the respective intrusions. The interaction of the Aegir Ridge with the paleo-Jan Mayen Fracture Zone likely resulted in a pulse of voluminous subaerial volcanism forming the Vøring Plateau about 57 mybp. Relatively lower present-day heat flow in this region is probably the result of rapid heat loss during the period of lava extrusion. However, thermal rejuvenation occurred up to 24 my ago on the Vøring Fracture Zone and 26 my ago on the Vøring Plateau.

The succession of these events probably coincided with the rapid propagation of the paleo-Mohns Ridge northward along the Vøring and Lofoten Fracture Zones. In all likelihood, deep-seated propagating magma from the paleo-Mohns Ridge moved beneath the northern Norwegian Margin and rejuvenated the crust underneath the Lofoten and Vesterålen Islands (16 mybp; Figure 5-42). Free-air gravity anomalies also suggest shallow-paleo magma sources in this region (Figure 3-13) where even today, earthquakes occur (Figure 3-10).

C. THE SENJA AND WESTERN SVALBARD TRANSTENSIONAL VOLCANIC MARGIN

The northeastern propagating Mohns Ridge interacted with the paleo-Senja Shear Zone between approximately Chron 23 and Chron 13. Until the plate reorganization, no-oceanic crust formed along the Senja Margin (Figure 6-2). The Mohns Ridge propagated northward along the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone into the Arctic Basin rather than rifting the continental lithosphere in the Svalbard Platform. However, young thermal-crustal ages underneath and adjacent to Svalbard are evidence that underplating by mantle material is probably occurring in this region.

The paleo-Senja Shear Zone most likely acted as a barrier to the surface expression of the propagating Mohns Ridge blocking the rifting that would have penetrated through the Barents Platform. However, at depth, the asthenosphere associated with the propagating ridge apparently succeeded in underplating the Senja Margin thermally rejuvenating the Svalbard-Barents Platform in the process (Table 5-12). The distribution of earthquakes (*the Barents Seismic Corridor*) indicates recent tectonic activity within the mechanically strong continental lithosphere in the regions where thermal rejuvenation has taken place (Figure 5-42). Thus, underplating and thermal uplift of the Western Barents seafloor may still be occurring. At 35 mybp, transtensional movement occurred from the Senja Fracture Zone to the Hornsund Fault (one of the faults within the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone; Müller and Spielhagen, 1990; Faleide *et al.*, 1991). After Chron 13, when the tensional regime became predominant, a system of down-faulted blocks developed west of the major Hornsund fault. These were later buried by the increasing amount of sediment deposited west of the Hornsund Fault (Myhre, 1984). However, spreading evolved along this transtensional fault system creating the Knipovich Ridge in the process (Figure 6-2a).

As the ridge propagated northward, it intersected the Spitsbergen Shear Zone producing massive extrusion and compression along the northern Svalbard Margin. Wrench movements along the Hornsund Fault Zone (Sundvor and Eldholm, 1979) were driven by dextral-transpression (Max and Ohta, 1988) related to the northward propagation of the Mohns and Knipovich Ridges. The Bjørnøya Marginal High was also formed by this early Eocene volcanism. Even at present, these intrusions are characterized by high heat flow and the Senja and Hornsund Gravity Highs (Myhre *et al.*, 1982; Crane *et al.*, 1991). Present-day intraplate seismicity is also considerably higher where the Mohns Ridge bends and the propagation continues northwards along the Knipovich Ridge (Figure 6-3).

It is likely that when the stress regime shifted from shear to tension, along the nascent Knipovich Ridge, simple-shear lithospheric extension evolved along the former shear zone creating a detachment fault zone in the process. Based on the analysis of heat flow, the northward propagating Mohns Ridge entered the first of many major detachment faults (part of the Spitsbergen Shear Zone) forming the Knipovich Ridge (Crane *et al.*, 1991). Later deep-seated propagation probably intersected

other detachment surfaces and intruded the northwestern Svalbard Margin. A propagation and migration of the Knipovich Ridge from 75°N to 78°N occurred in the middle Miocene. This event deactivated the Hovgård Ridge/Fracture Zone (Myhre *et al.*, 1982; Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay *et al.*, 1993) resulting in local excess volcanism (15 mybp).

Interpretations of SeaMARC-II side-looking sonar imagery indicate that the northern Knipovich Ridge is at present propagating north of the double-troughed Molloy Transform Fault near its intersection with the Knipovich Ridge at 78.5°N (Figure 4-15). In fact, this double-fault transform is probably the result of a recent transform migration (Okay *et al.*, 1993) caused by the northward propagation of the Knipovich Ridge (the age of which we do not know) on the northwestern Svalbard Margin (Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay *et al.*, 1993). Perhaps more recently, the northwards propagation of the Knipovich Ridge caused the thermal rejuvenation of the northern Svalbard Margin, where the continent-oceanic crustal transition boundary developed 13 my ago (at 79°N; Okay *et al.*, 1993).

The seismic pattern changes abruptly from the Mohns Ridge to the Knipovich Ridge (Figure 3-10). The Svalbard Archipelago is the most active region on the seismicity map of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea (Figure 4-14). Earthquake epicenters on Spitsbergen occur along major pre-existing structures, such as the Hornsund Fault Zone, Billefjorden Fault Zone, and Lomfjorden Fault Zone. Most likely, the oblique-intersection of the Knipovich Ridge with the Spitsbergen Shear Zone continued to generate a compressional front on both the Western Svalbard Margin and on Svalbard (the Heer Land Seismic Zone in southern Spitsbergen) where fault plane solutions show compressional stresses on Heer Land (Mitchell *et al.*, 1990). In addition to compressive stress, it is likely that oceanic mantle has underplated the Svalbard Platform thermally rejuvenating a region extending from the Mohns Ridge bend, underneath the Barents Seafloor to a region north of Nordaustlandet (*the Barents Asthenospheric Corridor*).

Elverhøi and Solheim (1987) likewise suggest that during the last 5 my or so the Western Barents Shelf has thermally uplifted. Sellevoll *et al.* (1982) show that a 3 km-deep Moho Zone occurs only under the Central Spitsbergen Tertiary Basin and is characteristic of mobilized lower crust or igneous underplating. According to Amundsen *et al.* (1987) evidence from xenoliths and geophysics

show that the crust is ca. 27 km thick and has been thinned by rifting for only 10-15 my. Both upper mantle ultrabasic and lower continental crustal high-temperature granulite xenoliths in the volcanics demonstrate subsided continental crust. Thus, shallow Moho depth (average 27 km, Amundsen *et al.*, 1988; Sellevoll *et al.*, 1991; Müller, 1993) suggests that the crust is thinner under the Svalbard Platform and that diffuse rifting and thermal rejuvenation have occurred in the Svalbard Platform as well. Apparently, while lavas erupted out of the Knipovich Ridge (the major detachment fault), the deep-seated Barents Asthenospheric Corridor continued to propagate northeast of the Mohns Ridge under Svalbard up to the northeastern Nordaustlandet region (35 to 20 mybp).

D. THE SOUTHERN YERMAK PLATEAU AND NORTHERN SVALBARD-NORDAUSTLANDET VOLCANIC MARGIN

The southern Yermak and Vøring Plateaus have similar thermo-mechanical histories. They both were created during the development of transtensional volcanic margins, on the compressional sides of ridge/shear-zone impacts. Several studies (Sundvor *et al.*, 1972, 1982b; Jackson *et al.*, 1988) indicate that the southern Yermak Plateau was also deformed by crustal thinning, stretching by later dike intrusion besides undergoing large scale thermal rejuvenation (Okay and Crane, 1993). The high heat flow on the southwestern part of the plateau suggests that intrusive activity occurred from 16 Ma to the present (Crane *et al.*, 1982). Extensional faults oriented in the NW-SE direction range from the region of high heat flow on the southern Yermak Plateau towards the volcanically active Woodfjorden area of Svalbard (Gjelsvik, 1963; Prestvik, 1977; Crane *et al.*, 1982; Amundsen *et al.*, 1988; Skjelkvåle *et al.*, 1989). Heat flow measurements (Sundvor, 1986) also indicate that high heat flow continues onto the southern section of the plateau where crustal thinning was observed by Johnson *et al.* (1982). Sundvor and Austegård (1990) proposed that this process also could have ruptured the continental crust, creating some oceanic crust in the process (Jackson *et al.*, 1984; Sundvor and Austegård, 1990).

In contrast, the northern part of the Yermak Plateau has lower heat flow values in the range of 50-92 mW/m² (Jackson *et al.*, 1984; Sundvor and Torp, 1987). Sundvor and Austegård (1990) suggest that these heat flow values correspond to crustal ages between 41 and 26 Ma which are consistent with ages determined on the basis of extrapolated magnetic lineations (Feden *et al.*, 1979). These observed heat flow values (without corrections of the blanketing effect due to sedimentation) when compared with the theoretical cooling curve (Parsons and Sclater, 1977) represent oceanic crust 56 my old, the assumed age of the shelf edge that marks the continent-oceanic transition in this region (Sundvor and Austegård, 1990).

The southern Yermak Plateau intrusions and extrusions were probably trapped by several deep-seated faults related to the continuation of the Hornsund Fault Zone) trending southeastward from 81°N towards the coast of Western Svalbard just seaward of the continent-ocean transition (Figure 6-4). The northern continuations of the Raudfjorden Fault and Bockfjorden Fault on Spitsbergen dissect the southern Yermak Plateau. These faults likely acted as secondary detachment faults, interacting with the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone, and consequently channeled the heat from the Knipovich Ridge causing intrusions in the southern Yermak Plateau at 11 mybp (Crane *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993). Two detachment surfaces (Knipovich and Yermak) could be inherited from the faults associated with the paleo-Spitsbergen Shear Zone; Figure 6-5).

There is no-indication of pure-shear extension on the plateau to explain the off-axial Yermak Plateau's volcanic formation. In addition, field observations do not support a symmetric extension process. Thermal modeling results reveal that heat sources (presumably from *the asthenospheric corridor*) propagated and intruded into the region by an asymmetric simple-shear extension mechanism. Bonatti and Michael (1989) report that fresh peridotites can be found in this area at shallow depth along the northern Svalbard Margin, indicating that the Yermak Plateau had been underplated and heated by oceanic mantle material most likely originating from a source under the Knipovich Ridge (rather than continental mantle). Heat flow analyses also indicate that some of the Barents/Yermak underplating asthenosphere erupted near the Hinlopen Volcanic Terrain creating the Mosby Peak along the northern Svalbard Margin between 35 and 11 mybp. SeaMARC-II side-looking

sonar imagery suggests that well defined normal faults cut the seafloor directly north of the active multiple-fault zones on Spitsbergen (the Raudfjorden, Bockfjorden, Billefjorden, and Lomfjorden fault zones). The northern prolongation of these faults is coincident with a positive magnetic anomaly that suggests submarine volcanics (Figure 4-13).

It is likely that the Knipovich Ridge propagated northwards while its subjacent asthenosphere progressed northeastwards, intersecting and intruding the multiple-faults associated with the Paleoshear zone creating the southern Yermak Plateau, the Mosby Peak, the Yermak Seamount at 12°E and the Woodfjorden volcanics in the process. The regions of high total magnetic field detected during the SeaMARC-II Expedition in 1990, are interpreted as probable dike injections associated with the underplating of the Barents-Svalbard Platform by the Spitsbergen Shear Zone/Knipovich Ridge system. Each distinctive magnetic high is associated with highly reflective seafloor, which also correlates with off-axial heat flow highs and zones of concentrated earthquakes (Figure 4-16). This suggests that these regions have undergone and are probably still undergoing thermal rejuvenation.

SeaMARC-II data (Sundvor *et al.*, 1991; Okay *et al.*, 1991; Okay and Crane, 1993) indicate that there are also NW-SE trending faults (probably secondary and Tertiary detachment faults associated with the greater Spitsbergen Shear Zone System; Figure 6-5) bordering the northern Nordaustlandet Margin (northern extensions of the Rijpfjorden and Lady Franklinfjorden Fault Zones). These are also probably the loci of off-axial volcanic eruptions (Okay *et al.*, 1991). Based on the analysis of heat flow, a thermal boundary probably exists between the southeastern Yermak Plateau and the northern Nordaustlandet Margin. This boundary between high heat flow and low heat flow coincides with the magnetic and structural boundaries along Hinlopenstretet, but the continental-oceanic crustal transition zone is unclear. According to heat flow analyses, the latest intrusion of magma occurred at ~37 mybp and was associated with Tertiary volcanics.

I suggest, that as the Mohns Ridge propagated northward, creating the Knipovich Ridge in the process, episodic transtensional stress propagated in space and time from Kong Karls Land to the Spitsbergen Shear Zone. Between 60-55 mybp and 35 mybp the Barents Asthenospheric Corridor likely propagated northwards, causing the eruption of dacitic lavas on northeastern Nordaustlandet and

Kong Karls Land (Figure 6-4). Dike injections also occurred along the Lady Franklinfjorden Fault. This fault is related to the other multiple-faults (secondary and Tertiary detachment faults on the northern Svalbard Margin) that trapped the deep-seated asthenosphere.

Fault plane solutions show extensional stresses active today on Nordaustlandet (Mitchell *et al.*, 1990). Mitchell *et al.* (1990) reported small *b*-values for the Nordaustlandet Seismic Zone. This indicates, rather unique, diffuse rifting in the region. Focal mechanisms are not typical of earthquakes that are characterized by compressive stresses occurring at the passive margin or regions of continental rifting (Sykes, 1978). The earthquakes instead are caused by an extensional regime with a strike-slip component (Chan *et al.*, 1985; Mitchell *et al.*, 1990) rather than by intraplate deformation. Savostin and Karasik (1988) suggested a Spitsbergen Microplate which was bordered by the seismic zones (Heer Land and Nordaustlandet), and they suggested that the Billefjorden Fault Zone, a major strike-slip feature in the area, is a major plate boundary. However, the Billefjorden Fault Zone is also a deep-seated secondary extensional fault (Lamar *et al.*, 1986) that most likely served as a magma conduit or a vertical thermal-mechanical boundary. In general, the analyses of these new geophysical data are highly suggestive of large-scale underplating of the Barents-Svalbard Platform and imply that either the axis of spreading now centered along the Knipovich Ridge may either be migrating laterally as well as propagating to the North, or the data may suggest that the plate boundary is very broad in this region (≈ 450 km).

Chapter 7

CONCLUSIONS

1. Transtensional volcanic plateaus and passive margins form along paleo-shear zones. The orientation of the paleo-shear zones have absolute control on a migrating and propagating ridge during its entrapment by the shear zone. An oblique impact of a ridge and shear zone creates an asymmetric unstable stress configuration generating both extension and compression on either side of the intersection. The impact angle controls the direction of deflection of the propagating ridge, the rate of spreading and style of newly forming oceanic crust. Marginal plateaus, seismic activity, underplating by deep-seated asthenosphere, multiple zones of intrusions, heat injection and thermal rejuvenation of the continental crust are found on the compressional side of the ridge/paleo-shear zone intersection. In these locations, the continent-oceanic crustal transition is characterized by seaward dipping seismic reflectors, high heat flow, high gravity and magnetic smooth zones located on the compressional side of impact along the transtensional margin.

Based on heat flow analyses of the eastern margin of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea the thermal crustal ages indicate that the thermal structure in many sections of the passive margin have been reset to values appropriate for much younger lithosphere. Shear zones and their deep-seated extensional multiple-faults act as vertical magma conduits from the deep-seated asthenosphere associated with a propagating ridge to the surface. Rejuvenation occurs with lateral heat injection via these paleo-shear zone related deep-seated extensional faults.

2. Pre-existing deep-seated multiple-faults in extensional terrain may also act as detachment faults. Shear zones control the lithospheric extension style (simple-shear lithospheric extension by a detachment fault), continental breakup, position, and mode in the upper crust. Major detachment faults are often accompanied by secondary detachment faults. These secondary detachment faults are

responsible for the development of a wide continent-oceanic crustal transition (as suggested by seaward-dipping seismic reflectors).

3. An asymmetric simple-shear lithospheric extension mechanism (or perhaps a combination of it with symmetric pure-shear) is responsible for the emplacement of thick (but narrow) basaltic crust adjacent to the passive margin. Symmetric lithospheric extension models do not explain the wide variations in the passive margin geometry such as marginal plateau formation. There is a notable absence of symmetrical rift structures on the opposing margins of the Norwegian-Greenland Sea. If this region is representative of other transtensional margins, then it is reasonable to suggest that structural asymmetry is a general feature of transtensional volcanic passive margins. By analyzing heat flow it is possible to distinguish the events which occurred during the development of a transtensional volcanic margin and to determine whether or not simple-shear extension has taken place.

4. Suggestions for further studies:

Few heat flow data are available from other transtensional margins in the world. Future analyses of heat flow collected in these areas should be directed towards understanding the thermal characteristics of a changing paleo-shear zone, the off-axial intrusion phenomena, and the magnitude of thermal rejuvenation on a passive margin.

a. For a further study of lithospheric extension modeling, a transect of heat flow stations extending normal to the Eastern Jan Mayen Fracture Zone and additional drilling of the Vøring Plateau is suggested. An important test of the detachment fault model would be to mount a drilling program into basement rocks in the Vøring outer highs, to demonstrate the presence of deeper level sediments and/or metamorphic rocks.

b. Few (or no) heat flow measurements have been collected from the Lofoten Islands, the western and eastern Barents Sea region, the area between Kong Karls Land and Franz Josef Land, and the Hinlopenstretet region, leaving substantial need to complete this picture.

c. A thermal boundary exists somewhere between the Yermak Plateau and Nordaustlandet. This boundary between high heat flow and low heat flow probably coincides with the magnetic and structural boundaries along the margin. The continental-oceanic crustal transition zone along the northeastern coast of Svalbard is unclear and thus both the heat flow and seismic structure in this region need to be resolved to make any further estimation of the intrusion dates and nature of this thermal boundary.