COST

Domain Committee "ESSEM"

COST Action 636

Start Date 14/03/2005 End Date 13/03/2009

Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

This Report stems from the relevant Domain Committee. It contains four parts:

I. Management Report prepared by the COST Office/Grant Holder

II. Scientific Report prepared by the Chair of the Management Committee of the Action.

III. Evaluation Report prepared by the "ad hoc" Evaluation Panel, established by the Domain Committee, and edited by the COST Office. *IV. DC General Assessment* prepared by the Domain Committee

Appendices:

Ledin et al., "Final Scientific Report for COST Action 636 Xenobiotict in the urban water Cycle",

<u>Confidentiality</u>: the documents will be made available to the public via the COST Action web page except for chapter *II.D. Self evaluation* and *IV. DC General Assessment.*

Executive summary of the Scientific Report (max.250 words):

Xenobiotics are of rising concern in the urban water cycle. The major reason is that water supply; urban drainage and wastewater treatment systems originally were designed to solve conventional problems such as supply of potable water, flooding prevention, and sanitation. Pollutants of interest have mainly been the conventional parameters (BOD, COD, N, P, SS and micro-organisms). Thus, there is a need to understand, in an integrated manner, the sources, flow paths, fate (transport, treatment, natural attenuation) and impact of xenobiotics on both humans and environment in this technical system. The xenobiotics (here heavy metals, metalloids and man-made organic compounds) are of importance in the whole urban water cycle as illustrated on Fig. 1. There are numerous sources of xenobiotics in urban water systems. Chemical pollution in rainfall-runoff and wastewater resulting from atmospheric washout, erosion of building materials, traffic emissions, pesticides application, industrial production, use of household chemicals, personal care products and pharmaceuticals. Increase the exposure to xenobiotics, but the conventional urban water cycle approaches are not designed to deal with xenobiotics. Innovative approaches are therefore needed to prevent xenobiotics from being discharged into surface waters where they may give rise to impacts on the chemical water quality and ecological status of receiving waters as already recognised by the EU-Water Framework Directive.

I. Management Report prepared by the COST Office/Grant Holder

I.A. COST Action Fact Sheet

- COST Action 636- Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle
- Domain Earth System Science and Environmental Management "ESSEM"

• Action details:

Draft MoU : 327/04	MoU: 218/05
Start of Action: 14/03/2005	CSO approval date: 01/12/2004
Entry into force: 16/02/2005	End of Action: 13/03/2009

• **Objectives** (from DB as in About COST)

The main objective of the Action is to **assess the role of xenobiotics in the urban water cycle and to set up strategies for minimizing their impact on humans and ecosystems.**

This can be obtained by fulfilling the following secondary objectives:

- Identification of the most critical problems related to xenobiotics in the urban water cycle.
- Suggestion of different strategies for solving these problems, incl. identification of future research needs.
- Creation of a strong network between researchers and other experts all over Europe working on issues related to xenobiotics and the urban water cycle.
- Creation of a strong network among young researchers all over Europe working on issues related to xenobiotics and the urban water cycle.
- Stimulation of an active dialog between researchers/experts and stakeholders all over Europe dealing with issues related to the urban water cycle.

Parties: list of countries and date of acceptance

Country	Date	Country	Date	Country	Date	Country	Date
Austria	18/03/2005	Belgium	16/02/2005	Bulgaria	18/02/2005	Croatia	18/02/2005
Cyprus	19/08/2005	Czech Republic	18/02/2005	Denmark	16/02/2005	Estonia	15/03/2005
Finland	03/03/2005	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	08/03/2006	France	16/02/2005	Germany	16/02/2005
Greece	02/05/2007	Ireland	21/03/2006	Israel	05/05/2006	Italy	18/02/2005
Latvia	16/02/2005	Lithuania	30/05/2005	Luxembour g	28/02/2005	Netherlands	18/02/2005
Norway	09/03/2005	Poland	21/09/2005	Portugal	18/04/2005	Romania	12/05/2005
Serbia	21/03/2006	Slovenia	18/02/2005	Spain	14/02/2005	Sweden	17/02/2005
Switzerland	28/09/2005	Turkey	21/03/2006	United Kingdom	16/02/2005		
Total:	31		-		·		

Contacts		
MC Chair	Science Officer:	Administrative Officer:
Professor Anna LEDIN	Carine PETIT	Chandrasa SJAMSUDIN
Tel. +45 452 516 17 Fax. +45 459 328 50 anl@env.dtu.dk	COST Office cpetit@cost.esf.org +32 2 533 38 31	COST Office csjamsudin@cost.esf.org +32 2 533 38 41

- Action Web site: http://COST636Xenobiotics.er.dtu.dk
- Grant Holder Representative(name, e-mail)
- Working Groups (list of WGs and names and affiliations of participants)

WG 1 Identification, sources and fluxes: Cajsa Wahlberg (S) and Kai Bester (DK) WG2 Methods for treatment: Norbert Kreuzinger (A) and Mike Revitt (UK) WG 3 Impact assessment: Ralph Hobby (D) and Anders Baun (DK) WG4 Analytical issues: Ester Heath (SI) and Frank Sacher (D)

I.B. Management Committee member list

Management Committee		
Chair	Vice Chair	DC Rapporteur
Professor Anna LEDIN	Dr. Dominique PATUREAU-STEYER	Professor Maris KLAVINS
Department of Environmental	Laboratoire de Biotechnologie de	Faculty of Geographical and Earth
Engineering	l'Environment	Sciences
Technical University of Denmark	INRA-LBE	University of Latvia
Building 113	Avenue des Etangs	Raina blvd. 19
Miljoevej	11100 Narbonne FR	1586 Riga LV
2800 Lyngby DK	patureau@supagro.inra.fr	mklavins@lanet.lv
anl@env.dtu.dk		

Austria

Dr. Norbert KREUZINGER

MC Member

Institute for Water Quality, Resources and Waste Management

Vienna University of Technology

Karlsplatz 13/226-1

1040 Wien AT

norbkreu@iwag.tuwien.ac.at

Belgium	
Professor Peter-Paul KNOPS-GERRITS	Dr. Heleen DE WEVER
MC Member	MC Member
WATER-LEAU GWT	Flemish Institute for Technological Research
Radioweg, 18	νιτο
3020 Herent BE	Boeretang 200
ppkg@waterleau.com	2400 Mol BE
	heleen.dewever@vito.be

Bulgaria

Mr. Yana RAYKOVSKA **MC Member** Central Laboratory of General Ecology (CLOE) Gagarin Str. 2 1113 Sofia BG **yana.raykovska@ecolab.bas.bg**

Croatia	
Mr. Ivan KOVAC	Dr. Marijan AHEL
MC Member	MC Member
Faculty of Geotechnical Engineering	Rudjer Boskovic Institute
Hallerova aleja 7	P.O. Box 1016
42000 Varazdin HR	10000 Zagreb HR
	ahel@ruder.irb.hr

Cyprus

Dr. Despo FATTA KASSINOS MC Member School of Engineering University of Cyprus 75, Kallipoleos P.O.Box 20537 1678 Nicosia CY dfatta@ucy.ac.cy

Czech Republic

Dr. Jiri WANNER	Dr. Tomas VANEK
MC Member	MC Member
Institute of Chemical Technology	Laboratory of Plant Biotechnologies
Technicka 5	Institute of Experimental Botany AVCR
166 28 Prague 6 CZ	Rozvojova 263
jiri.wanner@vscht.cz	165 02 Praha 6 CZ
	vanek@ueb.cas.cz

Denmark

Mr. Bo N. JACOBSEN	Professor Anna LEDIN
MC Member	MC Chair
Avedore Wastewater Services	Department of Environmental Engineering
Kanalholmen 28	Technical University of Denmark
2650 Hvidovre DK	Building 113
bnj@spvand.dk	Miljoevej
	2800 Lyngby DK
	anl@env.dtu.dk

Finland		
Mr. Markku PELKONEN	Dr. Pirjo SAINIO	
MC Member	MC Member	
Laboratory of Environmental Engineering	Finnish Environment Institute	
Helsinki University of Technology	PO Box 140	
PO Box 5200	00251 Helsinki FI	
02015 HUT (Espoo) FI	pirjo.sainio@ymparisto.fi	
markku.pelkonen@hut.fi		

Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia

Professor Vera MESHKO

MC Member

Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy

University "Sts Cyril & Methodius"

Ruger Boskovic 16

1000 Skopje MK

meshko@ian.tmf.ukim.edu.mk

France Dr. Dominique PATUREAU-STEYER Dr. Marie-Noëlle PONS MC Vice Chair MC Member Laboratoire des Sciences du Genie Chimique Laboratoire de Biotechnologie de l'Environment INRA-LBE CNRS-ENSIC-INPL 1 rue Grandville Avenue des Etangs 11100 Narbonne FR BP 451 patureau@supagro.inra.fr 54001 Nancy Cedex FR marie-noelle.pons@ensic.inpl-nancy.fr

Germany

Germany	
Dr. Verena HöCKELE	Professor Klaus KüMMERER
MC Member	MC Member
Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH	Institut fur Umweltmedizin und Krankenhaushygiene
Postfach 3640	Universitatsklinikum Freiburg
76021 Karlsruhe DE	Breisacher Strase 115 B
verena.hoeckele@ptka.fzk.de	79106 Freiburg DE
	klaus.kuemmerer@uniklinik-freiburg.de

Greece	
Professor Triantafyllos ALBANIS (ALMPANIS) Dr. Dimitra LAMBROPOULOU	
MC Member	MC Member
Natural Sciences	W4
University of Ioannina	Ioannina
Panepistimioupolis 1	University of Ioannina
45110 IOANNINA GR	PANEPISTIMIOUPOLIS
talbanis@uoi.gr	45110 Ioannina GR
	dlambro@cc.uoi.gr

Ireland		
Dr. James PARKES		
MC Member		
Inter-Euro Technology Ltd.		
Dun Eoghain		
Chapelstown		
Carlow IE		
jparkes@iet.ie		

Israel

Dr. Isam SABBAH

MC Member

Regional R&D Center, The Galilee Society Industrial Zone, No. 6 20200 Shefa-Amr IL

isabbah@gal-soc.org

Italy

Mrs. Maria Concetta TOMEI MC Member Istituto di Ricerca Acque CNR Via Reno 1 00198 Roma IT tomei@irsa.rm.cnr.it

Latvia

Ms. Linda EGLITE MC Member University of Latvia Raina Blvd. 19 1586 Riga LV linda.eglite@gmail.com

Lithuania

lda Zita VOSYLIENE	Dr. Audrone Regina MILUKAITE
ember	MC Substitute
ical physiology and Toxicology	Laboratory of Environmental Physics
	and Chemistry
	Institute of Physics
ziol@takas.lt	Savanoriu ave.231
	02300 Vilnius LT
	amk@ktl.mii.lt
	ember iical physiology and Toxicology ziol@takas.lt

Luxembourg	
Dr. Paul SCHOSSELER	Dr. André WEIDENHAUPT
MC Member	MC Member
CRP Henri Tudor	CRTE/CRP Henri Tudor
Technoport Schlassgoart	66, Rue de Luxembourg (BP 144)
b.p. 144	4002 Esch-sur-Alzette LU
4002 Esch-sur-Alzette LU	andre.weidenhaupt@eau.etat.lu
paul.schosseler@tudor.lu	

Netherlands	
Mrs. Titia Z.D DE MES	Dr. Jelle ROORDA
MC Member	MC Member
DHV B.V	Infrastructure & Environment
Laan 1914	Grontmij
P.O. Box 1132	P.O. Box 203
3818 EX Amersfoort NL	3730 De Bilt NL
titia.demes@dhv.com	jelle.roorda@grontmij.nl

Norway

Dr. Tor Gunnar JANTSCH

MC Member Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA) PO Box 173 Kjelsaas Brekkeveien 19 0411 Oslo NO tor.gunnar.jantsch@niva.no

Poland

r olalia	
Dr. Korneliusz MIKSCH	Dr. Sebastian ZABCZYNSKI
MC Member	MC Member
PL	Silesian University of Technology
korneliusz.miksch@polsl.pl	2 Akademicka Str.
	44-100 Gliwice PL
	sebastian.zabczynski@polsl.pl

Portugal	
Professor Madalena ALVES	Professor Maria REIS ASCENSAO
MC Member	MC Member
Universidade do Minho	Faculdade de Ciencias e Tecnologias
Campus de Gualtar	Universidade Nova de Lisboa
4710-057 Braga PT	Quinta da Torre
madalena.alves@deb.uminho.pt	2829-516 Caparica PT
	amr@dq.fct.unl.pt

Romania	
Ms. Lidia BENCA	Professor Maria VLAD
MC Member	MC Member
The European Centre of Excellence for the Environment	Metalurgy and Materials Science, Faculty
RO	`Dunarea de Jos` University of Galati
	Domneasca No.111 Street,Galati
	800005 Galati RO
	mvlad@ugal.ro or vlad_maria@yahoo.com

Serbia	
Dr. Ivana TEODOROVIC	Professor Ivana IVANCEV-TUMBAS
MC Member	MC Member
Faculty of Science	Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics
University of Novi Sad	University of Novi Sad
Trg Dositeja obradovica 3	Trg D. Obradovica 3
21000 Novi Sad RS	21000 Novi Sad RS
teodorovic@ib.ns.ac.yu	i.ivancev-tumbas@ih.ns.ac.yu

Slovenia

Professor Boris KOMPARE	Dr. Ester HEATH
MC Member	MC Member
Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geodesy	Group of Organic Analysis
University of Ljubljana	Jozef Stefan Institute
Jamova 2	Jamova 39
1000 LJUBLJANA SI	1000 Ljubljana SI
bkompare@fgg.uni-lj.si	ester.heath@ijs.si

Spain	
Professor Juan M. LEMA RODICIO	Dr. Francisco OMIL PRIETO
MC Member	MC Member
Escola Tecnica Superior de Enxenaria	Escuela Tecnica Superior de Ingenieria
Universidade de Santiago de Compostela	University of Santiago de Compostela
Rua Lope Gomez de Marzoa s/n	Avda. Da Ciencias s/n
15782 Santiago de Compostela La Coruna ES	15782 Santiago de Compostela ES
jmlema@usc.es	eqomil@usc.es

Sweden

Ms. Cajsa WAHLBERG	
MC Member	
Stockholm Vatten VA AB	
Henriksdals Reningsverk	
Varmdovagen 23	
131 55 Stockholm SE	
cajsa.wahlberg@stockholmvatten.se	

Switzerland	
Professor Vera SLAVEYKOVA	Professor Juliane HOLLENDER
MC Member	MC Member
Institute of Environmental Science and Technology	Eawag
Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne	Uberlandstrasse 133
Station 2	8600 Dubendorf CH
1015 Lausanne CH	juliane.hollender@eawag.ch
vera.slaveykova@epfl.ch	

Professor FERHAN ÇEÇEN
MC Member
Institute of Environmental Sciences
Bogazici University
34342 Bebek Istanbul
ISTANBUL TR
cecenf@boun.edu.tr

United Kingdom

Professor Mike REVITT MC Member School of Health and Social Sciences Middlesex University Queensway EN3 4SA Enfield UK m.revitt@mdx.ac.uk

I.C. Overview activities and expenditure

Generated on 30-Apr-200)9					
Meetings						
Meeting Type	Date	Place	Paid part	Cost	Status	Total
Kick-off	14-Mar-2005	Brussels (BE)	16	8829,64	Paid	
Management Committee	06-Sep-2005	Kongens Lyngby, Co	50	39430,95	Paid	
Working Group	03-Apr-2006	Ljubljana (SI)	8	7350,07	Paid	
Working Group	24-Apr-2006	Lisbon (PT)	5	3776,91	Paid	
Working Group	27-Apr-2006	Nancy (FR)	9	6684,19	Paid	
Working Group	20-Jun-2006	Duisburg (DE)	5	3935,9	Paid	
Joint Management Comr			51	41357,04		
		Karlsruhe (DE)	16			
Working Group		Karlsruhe (DE)	14			
Working Group		Stockholm (SE)	12	8049,28		
Working Group	23-May-2007		16	12210,91	Paid	
Joint Management Comr	10-Sep-2007	Santiago de Compos	67	64518,38	Paid	
Working Group		Kgs Lyngby (DK)	10	8103,27	Paid	
Working Group	10-Apr-2008		8	6006,78	Paid	
Working Group		Dübendorf (CH)	12	8099,73	Paid	
Working Group		Dübendorf (CH)	14	8826,57		
Joint Management Comr			53		Partly paid	
Final Evaluation Conferen			99	79200		
					reimbursed	
						381398,
STSM	-	_	_		-	
Beneficiary	Date	From	То		Status	Total
Ms Tina Kosjek		Ljubljana (SI)	Almería (ES)	1100		
Ms Sonja Kaisarevic		21000 Novi Sad (RS)			Paid	
Dr Erica Donner	25-Nov-2007		Kgs. Lyngby (DK)	1995		
Dr Dimitra Lambropo		· · · /	Freinburg (DE)	2005		
Mr Ricardo Salgado		Caparica (PT)	Koblenz (DE)	1725		
Pr João Paulo Noronha		Caparica (PT)	Koblenz (DE)	1825		
		Göteborg (SE)	2800 Kgs. Lyngby (DK)		Paid	
Ms Tina Kosjek		Ljubljana (SI)	Kgs. Lyngby (DK)		Blocked	
Mr Luca Vezzaro			412 96 Göteborg (SE)		Paid	
Dr Erica Donner	01-Dec-2008	London (uk)	Kgs. Lyngby (DK)	2000	Paid	
						13 60

Workshops						
Title	Date	Place		Cost	Status	Total
						0
General Support	Grants					
Title	Date			Cost	Status	Total
General	01-Apr-200			2,000		
General	26-Mar-200			2,000	Paid	
website	01-Jan-200)8		2,000	Paid	
						6,000
Schools						
Туре	Date	Place	title	Cost	Status	Total
						0
Honoraria						
Title	Date	Expert		Cost	Status	Total
	11-Mar-200	09 HALLING-SOEREN	ISEN BENT	500	TBR	
	11-Mar-200	09 KLAVINS MARIS		500	TBR	
						1,000
Grant						
Grant Holder	Date			Cost	Status	Total
						0
Dissemination						
Title	Date			Cost	Status	Total
						0
					Action Total	401998.2

II. Scientific Report

A short version of the scientific report is given below under III *Previous scientific report(s)* which presents the major activities in the action. A much more comprehensive description is given in "Final Scientific Report for COST Action 636 Xenobiotict in the urban water Cycle", written by Ledin, et.al., and appended to this report.

II.A. Innovative networking

- Innovative knowledge resulting from COST networking through the Action. (Specific examples of Results vs. Objectives)
- Significant scientific breakthroughs as part of the COST Action. (Specific examples)
- Tangible medium term socio-economic impacts achieved or expected. (Specific examples)
- Spin off of new EC RTD Framework Programme proposals/projects. (List)
- Spin off of new National Programme proposals/projects. (List)

All these topics are addressed in Ledin et al., "Final Scientific Report for COST Action 636 Xenobiotict in the urban water Cycle", appended to this report.

II.B. Inter-disciplinary networking

- Additional knowledge obtained from working with other disciplines within the COST framework. (Specific examples)
- Evaluation of whether the level of inter-disciplinarity is sufficient to potentially provide scientific impacts. (Specific examples)
- Evaluation of whether the level of inter-disciplinarity is sufficient to potentially provide socioeconomic impacts. (Specific examples)

All these topics are addressed in Ledin et al., "Final Scientific Report for COST Action 636 Xenobiotict in the urban water Cycle", appended to this report.

II.C. New networking

• Additional new members joining the Action during its life.

The action was kept open for anyone that would like to join. Invitations to the workshops organised within the action were sent out to more than 200 researchers and experts around Europe. Selection of participants for reimbursement from COST was based on a specific set of criteria decided by the Management Committee, including e.g. activity in the action (giving oral presentations and contribution to the discussions, contributing with work in between the meetings, local host for workshops, etc). We also took age into consideration (young researchers were prioritized) and tried to achieve an equal distribution over Europe.

• Total number of individual participants involved in the Action work. (Number of participants. Give % of female and of Early Stage Researcher participants)

These numbers will be very time consuming to compile, based on the material on hand (list with participants attending any of the 14 workshops and the final conference organised within the action). The COST office has been asked to supply us with this information, but we have not

received it yet.

• Involvement of Early Stage Researchers in the Action, in particular with respect to STSMs, networking activities, and Training Schools. In addition, justification should be provided if less than 4 STSMs were carried out during the year.

Special emphasis was given to involve young researchers in the action, e.g. by prioritising them when selecting participants given reimbursement. Although not knowing the correct number or percentage of young researchers joining and being active in the action, it is my strong believe that at least 40% of the active participants in the action have achieved their PhD within the last 5 years, or are still working in their PhD.

• Involvement of researchers from outside of COST Countries. (Number of participants from non-COST Countries approved by the CSO. Give % of such participants from countries with reciprocal agreements. Specify their contribution)

Attempts were made during the first year to involve researchers from outside COST countries (U.S., Australia, Canada, and Ukraine). However, the researchers in these countries reported large difficulties to get national funding in their countries to be spent on COST action 636 activities. Furthermore, when the number of COST countries that signed the action continued to increase, and finally ended at 31, it was decided to focus on involving researchers from all these countries instead of focusing on some few researchers from outside COST countries.

• Advancement and promotion of scientific knowledge through publications and other outreach activities.

These are:

- A special issue of Water, Air and Soil Pollution Focus: Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle: Sources and Fluxes 8, 5-6, p 405-585, 2008 (Editors Eriksson and Scholes). For details see appendix 2.
- A special issue of Environmental Science and Pollution is in preparation (Editors Kassinos and Ledin)
- A special issue of Environmental Pollution is in preparation (Editors Kassinos and Ledin)
- A special issue Water Science and Technology is in preparation (Editors Ledin and Kassinos)
- Textbook Environmental Analysis-Standard and Emerging Strategies in Water Sciences in preparation (editors Düring, Hummel and Ledin), Springer Publishing
- Textbook "Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle: Mass Flows, Environmental Processes and Mitigation Strategies" (Editors Kassinos, Bester and Kümmerer), Springer Publishing
- Webpage for the action (editor Eriksson)
- Webpage on Impact assessment of xenobiotics potential users are the public (Editors Hobby and Baun)
- International conference Xenowac 2009, Cyprus 11-13 March 2009
- DVD publication with papers presented at Xenowac 2009 (Editor Kassinos)
- Chapter presenting COST action 636 in "Pharmaceuticals in the Environment Sources, fate, Effects and Risks written by Ledin and Patureau (Editor Kümmerer) Springer Publishing
- Chapter presenting COST Action 636 in Macedonian journal of chemistry and chemical engineering written by Meshko.
- Report and Abstracts presenting the intercalibration study submitted to conferences
- A number of papers, prepared and published as a result of the action

For more details see Ledin et al., "Final Scientific Report for COST Action 636 Xenobiotict in the urban water Cycle", appended to this report.

• Activities and projects with COST network colleagues

Reported in Ledin et al., "Final Scientific Report for COST Action 636 Xenobiotict in the urban water Cycle", appended to this report.

• The capacity of the Action members to raise research funds.

Partly reported in Ledin et al., "Final Scientific Report for COST Action 636 Xenobiotict in the urban water Cycle", appended to this report and presented on the webpage.

III. Previous scientific report(s)

III.A. Results achieved during the period 15 March 2005 to 13 March 2009

One of the major achievements obtained by running COST Action 636 is the establishment of a very well-known and well working network in Europe within the area of xenobiotics and urban waters. 31 countries signed this action, which corresponds to almost all eligible countries within the COST organisation.

Another very important achievement was the establishment of an open atmosphere welcoming all participants in attending and contributing to the discussions. All workshops organised within the action have been open for anyone that would like to attend them. However, reimbursement was restricted to those that were actively contributing to the success of the action by e.g.:

- Giving oral presentations and actively contribute in the discussions
- Organise meetings
- Organise and contributing to other activities within the action such as the intercalibration study
- Writing articles about the action in different journals and textbooks
- Giving oral presentations at conferences and meeting promoting the action
- Acting as editors for the publication of COST action 636 related papers in a special issue of the international journal Water, Air and Soil Pollution and for the two textbooks (see below).

The MC decided, in an early stage of the action, that anyone with interest within this very important area are welcome to contribute to the work in order to fulfil the goals that were set up for the action:

- To assess the role of xenobiotics in the urban water cycle.
- To set up strategies for minimizing their impact on humans and ecosystems.

The number of participants attending the workshops that have been organized within the action was high and increasing during the time for the action. There have been numbers of participants attending the workshops without getting reimbursement and instead attending on there own expenses. This is illustrating that the action had a very good reputation for organizing inspiring

workshops on relevant topics. It also shows that the action was fulfilling one of the secondary objectives; to create of a strong network between researchers and other experts all over Europe working on issues related to xenobiotics and the urban water cycle.

Young researchers actively participating in the workshops by giving presentations were prioritized when the selection for reimbursement was made in order to create of a strong network among young researchers all over Europe, which was also on of the secondary objectives. Young researchers have also been prioritised by sending 10 of them on STSM to senior researchers within the action. The reason for this relatively low number is that the MC decided during the first years of the action to limiting the number of STSM due to the limited budget available. The MC thought it was more efficient to organise workshops and prioritise that young scientists got reimbursement when they actively contributed in these workshops.

To stimulate an active dialog between researchers/experts and stakeholders all over Europe dealing with issues related to the urban water cycle is another secondary objective which at least partly has been fulfilled by inviting stakeholders to the workshops and the final conference. However, an even larger number of stakeholders participating would have been an advantage.

An intercalibration study was organised by WG4. Fourteen laboratories from all over Europe joined the intercalibration study and the results were very good. The activity was coordinated by the chairs for WG 4 Ester Heath, SI and Frank Sacher, G. Samples were prepared by a team at Technical University of Denmark lead by Henrik Andersen and Hans-Christian Holten Lützhøft. Statistical evaluation was performed by Liliana Crucero, R.

An international conference on "Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle" (Xenowac) was organised in Paphos on Cyprus in March 2009, within the frame of COST Action 636. The conferences had almost 200 participants listening to almost 113 oral presentations and looking upon and discussing around 46 posters.

III.B. Dissemination of results

- Action related Publications and Reports
 - A special issue of Water, Air and Soil Pollution Focus: Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle: Sources and Fluxes 8, 5-6, p 405-585, 2008 (Editors Eriksson and Scholes)
 - Textbook Environmental Analysis-Standard and Emerging Strategies in Water Sciences in preparation (editors Düring, Hummel and Ledin), Springer Publishing
 - Textbook "Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle: Mass Flows, Environmental Processes and Mitigation Strategies" (Editors Kassinos, Bester and Kümmeren), Springer Publishing
 - Webpage for the action (editor Eriksson)

- Webpage on Impact assessment of xenobiotics potential users are the public (Editors Hobby and Baun)
- International conference Xenowac 2009, Cyprus 11-13 March 2009
- DVD publication with papers presented at Xenowac 2009 (Editor Kassinos)
- Chapter presenting COST action 636 in "Pharmaceuticals in the Environment Sources, fate, Effects and Risks written by Ledin and Patureau (Editor Kümmeren) Springer Publishing
- Chapter presenting COST Action 636 in Macedonian journal of chemistry and chemical engineering written by Meshko.
- Report and Abstracts presenting the intercalibration study submitted to conferences
- Workshops
 - COST 636 Workshop in Copenhagen, 6-8 September 2005 WG 1, 2, 3 and 4. The workshop aimed at formulating action plans for all four working groups and to establish strong and fruitful collaboration between the groups.
 - COST 636 Workshop in Ljubljana, 3-4 April 2006 WG 4. The WG organized a workshop on analysis of xenobiotics in different environments. An intercalibration study was planned and the possibility for preparing a book or review issues was discussed.
 - COST 636 Workshop in Lisboa, 24-25 April 2006 WG 1. The WG organized a workshop on sources for xenobiotics in the urban water cycle.
 - COST 636 Workshop in Nancy, 27-28 April 2006 WG 2. The WG organized a workshop regarding general aspects in assessing removal of xenobiotics.
 - COST 636 Workshop in Duisburg, 20-21 June 2006 WG 3. The WG organized a workshop on impact thresholds in the environment & humans.
 - COST 636 Workshop in Vienna, 25-27 September 2006 WG 1, 2, 3 and 4. WG 1 had a workshop with focus on sinks, processes and chemical properties. WG 2 had a workshop that was a continuation of the work initiated in Nancy regarding fact sheet on processes and mechanisms in treatment technologies for drinking water, wastewater and stormwater. WG 3 had a workshop regarding impact assessment of xenobiotics in the urban water cycle, started to prepare for a document on "the best biotest battery" and initiated the planning for a research school. WG 4 had a workshop on sampling and continued the discussions regarding organizing an intercalibration study on estrogens in wastewater and to write a textbook regarding analysis of xenobiotics in urban waters.
 - COST 636 Workshop in Karlsruhe, 29-30 March 2007 WG3 and 4. WG 3 had a workshop regarding impact assessment of xenobiotics in different compartments and on gaps in impact assessment. WG 4 had a workshop on analysis of endocrine disrupting compounds and continued the planning of both an intercalibration study and a textbook. The two WGs had a common workshop on "Effect-related analytical methods – The game of gaps".
 - COST 636 Workshop in Stockholm 19-20 April 2007 WG1 had a workshop together with the EU project ScorePP on Mitigation – upstream control.
 - COST 636 Workshop in Rome 23-25 May 2007 WG2 had a workshop on biological processes.

- COST 636 Workshop in Santiago de Compostella 25-27 September 2007 WG1, 2, 3 and 4. WG 1 had a workshop on Fluxes. WG2 had a workshop on Assessing treatment efficiency. WG 3 had a workshop with focus on following up on the "Game of Gaps" from the Karlsruhe workshop and discussed available biotests. WG 4 had a workshop on Analytical methods for determination of pharmaceutical compounds and their degradation products.
- COST 636 Workshop in Copenhagen 10-12 April 2008 WG3 had a workshop on Best biotest battery.
- COST 636 Workshop in Berlin 10-11 April 2008 WG 1 had a workshop on Sinks in the urban environment.
- COST 636 Workshop in Dübendorf 14-15 April 2008 WG2 and 4. WG2 had a workshop on Monitoring and assessment of treatment efficiency, while WG 4 focused on the results from the intercalibration study. A session for both WGs was also organised regarding sampling strategies for different purposes.
- COST 636 Workshop in Novi Sad 6-8october 2008 WG1, 2, 3 and 4. WG 1 had a workshop on Stormwater. WG2 had a workshop on Treatment trains. WG 3 had a workshop with focus on development of the webpage on impact assessment. WG 4 had a workshop on Emerging contaminants.
- COST 636 International Conference on Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle in Paphos 11-13 March 2009.
- Web site

The action has had both an Internet (http://636xenobiotics.er.dtu.dk) and an Intranet site, where the latter is open for those that have express interest for the activities in the action. The website presents the action with respect to aim and activities. It also presents a database regarding on-going research projects within the area of xenobiotics and urban waters.

• Scientific and Technical Cooperation The action is open for anyone that would like to participate in the activities and it is therefore not possible to identify cooperation outside the action.

III. Evaluation Report prepared by the "ad hoc" Evaluation Panel established by the Domain Committee and edited by the COST Office (approximately 2 pages)

- Evaluation panel and evaluation procedures
 List the members of the panel: Title, name, affiliation, Tel., Fax, E-mail.
 Describe briefly the Action's activities and documents used by the members of the panel and the procedures followed for the evaluation.
- 2. Results versus objectives Describe how and to what extent the results obtained match the objectives.

3. Innovative networking

Describe the outcome and achievements in terms of :

- innovative knowledge which resulted from COST networking through the Action,
- significant scientific breakthroughs as part of the COST Action,
- tangible and important socio-economic impacts,
- spin off of new EC RTD FP and/or National Programme proposals.
- 4. Inter-disciplinary networking
 - Describe the level of inter-disciplinarity, its benefits and impacts :

- additional knowledge obtained from working with other disciplines within the COST framework,

- evaluation of whether the level of inter-disciplinarity was sufficient to potentially provide scientific and/or socio-economic impacts.

- 5. New networking
 - Describe the main outcome and achievements in terms of :
 - evolution of members joining the Action,
 - total number of individual participants involved in the Action work,

- involvement and contribution of Early Stage Researchers (ESR), female researchers, and researchers from outside of COST Countries,

- advancement, promotion, and dissemination of scientific knowledge through publications (by Action members that resulted from COST networking through the Action) and other outreach activities,

- activities and projects with COST colleagues, including from other Actions,
- capacity of the Action members to raise research funds.
- 6. Coordination and management

Describe the effectiveness of coordination and management.

7. Strengths and weaknesses

Describe the main strengths and weaknesses shown by the Action.

IV. DC General Assessment prepared by the Domain Committee

DC comments on the quality of the Action in no more than one page. It should illustrate the "success story" (if applicable) of the Action, with concrete examples and names of persons who can be contacted for further details.